Zn(II) Complexes of Glutathione Disulfide: Structural Basis of Elevated Stabilities
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Glutathione disulfide (GSSG), a long disregarded redox partner of glutathione (GSH), is thought to participate in intracellular zinc homeostasis. We performed a concerted potentiometric and NMR spectroscopic study of protonation and Zn(II) binding properties of GSSG ((γE)G) and a series of its nine analogs with C-terminal modifications, tripeptide disulfides: (γECS)2, (γEC)2, (γEγN)2, (γEC-OEt)2, and (γEC)2, dipeptide disulfides, (γE)2 and (γEC-OEt)2, and mixed disulfides, γECγEC and γECγEC-OEt. The acid–base and Zn(II) complexation properties in this group of compounds are strictly correlated to average C-terminal electrostatic charges. In particular, it was demonstrated that GSSG assumes a bent (head-to-tail) conformation in solution at neutral pH, which is controlled by electrostatic attraction between the protonated γ-amino groups of the Glu residue and the deprotonated C-terminal Gly carboxylates. This interaction modulates the ability of GSSG to coordinate Zn(II), both indirectly, by affecting the basicities of the amino groups, and directly, through the participation of the Gly carboxylates in the outer coordination sphere of the Zn(II) ion. A specific coiled structure of the major [Zn—GSSG]2− complex is additionally stabilized by the formation of hydrogen bonds between glycinyl carboxylates and two Zn(II)-coordinated water molecules. The elevated stability of Zn(II)—GSSG complexes was demonstrated by competition with FluoZin-3, a fluorescent sensor with high Zn(II) affinity, commonly used in in vitro and in vivo studies. The potential biological functions and reactivity of GSSG complexes of Zn(II) ions are discussed.

Introduction

Glutathione disulfide (GSSG), also known as oxidized glutathione, is the primary product of oxidation of GSH, the predominant nonprotein cellular thiol, which is crucial for antioxidant defense, xenobiotic metabolism, maintenance of cellular membranes, and many other functions.1,2 The cytosolic concentration of GSSG, much lower than GSH, is controlled by a specific export system.1,2 The earlier research has therefore concentrated on GSSG, with GSSG disregarded as a mere byproduct of GSH reactivity. Novel findings stress the importance of the actual GSH/GSSG balance. It is estimated to account for over 90% of the control of the intracellular redox potential,3 and as presented recently, it controls the pacing of protein turnover, via the control of cathepsin B activity.4 The latter function may be one example of an emerging concept of redox signaling, as a major system of coordination of cell functions.5 The formation of mixed disulfides in a reaction of GSSG with protein thiols is one of several postulated pathways in this system.6 Another specific function, discovered for GSSG, is the regulation of Zn(II) content of metallothionein, which couples the redox state of the cell with zinc metabolism.7–9 Typical total zinc concentrations of a eukaryotic cell range between approximately 200 and 300 μM and do not vary significantly from one physiological state to another.9,10 However, concentrations of thermodynamically and kinetically available zinc (“free” zinc) vary
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by several orders of magnitude and are strongly dependent on cellular compartmentalization. Recent studies clearly demonstrate “free” zinc concentration at a level of hundreds of pM in various types of eukaryotic cells, indicating a strong binding and tight buffering of this metal ion. In particular, studies made with HT-29 human colorectal adenocarcinoma cells shed more light on correlations between cellular redox states dependent on GSH/GSSG ratios (potential variation between −260 and −160 mV) and “free” zinc, metallothionein (MT), and thionein (apometallothionein, TR) levels. The elevation of GSSG concentration in HT-29 cells induced by cell differentiation or apoptosis caused an increase of “free” zinc concentration and a significant decrease of the T/MT ratio (due to the formation of MT and oxidized thionein, TR). Studies in human cell lines indicate that both GSH and GSSG have their separate roles in zinc toxicity. It has also been hypothesized that zinc and GSH, together with nitric oxide, collectively protect against a host of diseases.

The overall GSSG concentration within the cell under normal conditions is ca. 0.5% that of GSH. Taking into account that the GSH level may reach 10 mM, the “normal” GSSG concentration in the cell cytosol might be as high as 50 μM. Moreover, compartmentalization of GSH/GSSG may lead to elevated GSSG concentrations locally. GSH/GSSG ratios as low as 1:1 are thought to occur in the endoplasmic reticulum. Also, under various stress conditions, the overall GSSG level may be elevated.

The low level of GSSG inside the cell is maintained by active export of this molecule across the cellular membrane. Therefore, high GSSG concentrations should be expected locally near the outer cell surface. However, no quantitative data are available in this respect.

A molecule of GSSG contains two amine functions and four carboxylates. This donor set is qualitatively similar to that of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), although with a much bigger separation of respective coordination sites (Scheme 1). Therefore, GSSG can be expected to be a versatile ligand for many metal ions. Despite that, not so many studies of GSSG coordination abilities were published, all with the metal ions of the first transition row. The amine/carboxylate donor set of the Glu moiety is the primary metal binding site. This set, analogous to those present in simple α-amino acids, is a unique feature of GSH and GSSG, due to the presence of a γ-peptidic bond. The two such sites in GSSG may bind metal ions in a concerted way, with an ML-type stoichiometry (MHnL, with n between 3 and −1), or independently, resulting in M2L-type complexes (M2HnL, with n between 0 and −4). The equilibrium between ML-type and M2L-type complexes depends primarily on metal-to-ligand ratios. The metal ions in an M2L complex may, in principle, be the same, or different, but no heterometallic cases have been reported to date.

Only three preceding papers on Zn(II) coordination to GSSG were published. Potentiometry was applied as the sole experimental technique in two of them. ZnHL, ZnL, and Zn2L complexes were postulated to exist in solution.
The remaining study used $^{1}$H and $^{13}$C NMR. However, the relatively high stability of the ZnL complex, apparent from those studies, has neither been noted nor discussed in thermodynamic or structural terms. These issues have now gained importance in the light of biochemical discoveries regarding the potential physiological roles for Zn(II)–GSSG interactions, mentioned above. The participation of the Gly carboxylate in Zn(II) coordination was discussed on the basis of 1D NMR spectra, with a conclusion that no interaction occurred.

Our study on conformational preferences of GSH and its analogs indicated a strong influence of the C-terminal residue on acid–base properties of the N-terminal moiety in these molecules. This property, overlooked in preceding works, was demonstrated clearly in a series of C-terminally substituted peptides. Therefore, we decided to repeat this successful strategy and undertook a systematic study of Zn(II) complexion to GSSG and its analogs, using NMR and potentiometry in a concerted fashion, to correlate thermodynamic and structural properties of these complexes and thereby obtain better insight into their possible interactions in vivo.

**Experimental Section**

**Materials.** Peptides glutathione disulfide (GSSG), monomethyl ester of glutathione (GSH-OEt), glutathione sulfonic acid (GSOH) and S-methylglutathione (GSMe), 1-glutamic acid, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt dihydrate (EDTA), (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt dihydrate (EDTA), (4-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), NaNO\(_2\) (40% w/v in D\(_2\)O), 4-nitrophenyl acetate, and tris (4-nitrophenyl) phosphate were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. The peptides γ-EC and γ-EC-OEt were purchased from Bachem. Zinc sulfate heptahydrate (ZnSO\(_4\) \(\cdot\) 7H\(_2\)O), potassium nitrate (KNO\(_3\)), 0.1 M NaOH solution in water (tiritant), methanol, and ethanol were obtained from Merck KGaA. FluoZin-3 (Fluka, Germany). Fmoc protection groups were removed by treatment with piperidine in DMF. The coupling was monitored using the coupling with external iodide in water solution or by oxidation with atmospheric oxygen in alkaline water solution (controlled with NaOH) followed by purification on HPLC, as described above. The pH value of 9.2 was chosen as optimal for air oxidation of glutathione and its analogs, on the basis of our previous experiments. The mixed disulfides γEC-γEC and γECG-γEC-OEt were obtained by oxygenation of equimolar solutions of respective thiol peptides at constant pH, 9.6 and 9.8, respectively. The reactions were monitored and purified by HPLC as described above. The purities and identities of all synthesized peptides for the purpose of this study were finally confirmed by mass spectrometry on a Finnigan MAT TSQ 7000 instrument. The m/z values found/calculated were for (γECS): 673.1/673.2 (M + H\(^+\)) and 337.5/338.1 (M + 2H\(^+\)); for (γEC-ECS): 756.8/757.2 (M + H\(^+\)) and 379.7/380.1 (M + 2H\(^+\)); for (γEC-NH\(_2\)-H\(_2\)O): 610.6/611.2 (M + H\(^+\)) and 307.3/307.1 (M + 2H\(^+\)); for (γEC-OEt): 668.6/669.2 (M + H\(^+\)) and 336.3/336.1 (M + 2H\(^+\)); for (γECS): 499.3/499.1 (M + H\(^+\)) and 250.6/251.1 (M + 2H\(^+\)); for γEC-γEC:ECS: 555.8/556.1 (M + H\(^+\)) and 279.2/279.6 (M + 2H\(^+\)); for γECG-γEC-OEt: 640.9/641.2 (M + H\(^+\)) and 321.7/322.1 (M + 2H\(^+\)); for (γECG): 631.5/632.3 (M + H\(^+\)) and 307.3/308.1 (M + 2H\(^+\))

**Peptide Synthesis.** The reduced thiol γ-Glu-Cys-Ser (γECS), γ-Glu-Cys-Glu (γEC-ECS), and γ-Glu-Cys-Gly-NH\(_2\) (γECG) were synthesized in the solid state according to the Fmoc strategy, as described before. The γ-Glu-d-Cys-Glu peptide (γECG) was also synthesized using the Fmoc strategy, but on a 2-chlorotritol chloride resin. The N-Fmoc-protected amino acids N-Fmoc-Gly-OH and N-Fmoc-d-Cys-(Mtt)-OH were obtained from Nova Biochem (Merck KGaA), while the N-terminal N-Boc-Glu-(α×-Bu) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. The coupling agents 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) and 1-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-3-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) were obtained from POCH (Gliwice, Poland). DCC and DCI (30% w/v in D\(_2\)O) were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. 3-(Trimethylsilyl)-1-propanesulfonic acid (DSS) was from Dr. Glaser AG. Acetic anhydrate (Ac\(_2\)O), sodium hydroxide (solid), 35% aqueous hydrochloric acid, diethyl ether (Et\(_2\)O), and hydrogen peroxide (H\(_2\)O\(_2\), 30%, analytical grade) were obtained from POCH (Gliwice, Poland).

**Thermodynamic Studies.** The protonation and Zn(II) stability constants of GSSG in the presence of KNO\(_3\) (I = 0.1 M) were determined at 19, 25, 30, and 37 °C using pH-metric titrations over the pH range 2.5–11.0 (Molsin automatic titrator, Molsin Ltd.) with 0.1 M NaOH as a titrant. Changes of pH were monitored with a combined glass–Ag/AgCl electrode (InLab 422, Mettler Toledo) calibrated daily in hydrogen concentrations using 4 mM HNO\(_3\) titrations (I = 0.1 M). Sample volumes of 1.5–2.0 mL and concentrations of 1–2 mM ligands and 0–2 mM Zn(II) were used. The experimental data were analyzed using the SUPERQUAD software. Standard deviations computed by SUPERQUAD refer to random errors. The ΔH and TΔS values were obtained from linear fits of temperature dependences of AG values, calculated from values of ln K\(_t\) (T) of individual protonation and complex formation reactions. Standard deviations of these quantities derive from these linear fits. The protonation and Zn(II) stability constants for other compounds reported in this paper were obtained, at 25 °C only, by the same methodology.

**NMR Spectrometry.** $^{1}$H NMR spectra of GSSG were recorded on a Bruker AMX-300 spectrometer at 300 MHz or a UNITY500plus spectrometer (Varian Inc.), equipped with a waveform generator unit Performa II, a high stability temperature unit, and a 5 mm $^{1}$H($^{13}$C/$^{15}$N) PFG triple probe, at 500.606 MHz. D\(_2\)O was used as a solvent for all samples. The spectra are expressed in δ (ppm) relative to DSS, which was used as an internal reference.

internal standard in one-dimensional spectra at 300 MHz. The 1D and 2D spectra at 500 MHz were calibrated using the HDO signal at 4.76 ppm. 36 10 mM GSSG and 0 or 10 mM Zn(II) were used for \(^1\)H experiments at 300 MHz. In a separate set of \(^1\)H experiments at 500 MHz, the 1:1 samples of GSSG and Zn(II) were measured in a concentration range of 0.1–100 mM. Simulations of 1D NMR proton spectra which yielded chemical shifts and coupling constants of Gly protons were performed with the program NRMSIM, kindly provided by Professor W. Danikiewicz, Institute of Organic Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland. The 1D 13C spectra of GSSG and its Zn(II) complex in D\(_2\)O, pH* (uncorrected readings in D\(_2\)O) 8.2, at a 1:1 ratio, were measured on a UNITY500plus spectrometer at 125 MHz. 37,38 256 increments and with 0.25 or 0.4 s mixing times. The small value of the second one (4.3 MHz was added for the calculations. Two further dihedral angle restraints were included for four distances (two intramolecular and two intermolecular) in the simulated annealing procedure for the Zn(II)–GSSG complex. The numbers of restraints for the Zn(II)–(γECG-OEt)\(_2\) complex were 14 and 6, respectively. Each restraint included restrictions for four distances (two intramonomeric and two intermonomeric) in the simultaneous application of average distances (type SUM using XPLOR software). 41 This fact stems from the symmetry of complexes studied. The restraints enforcing the equivalence of both monomeric units of complexes were additionally included for every NOE. Harmonic distance restraints were applied for bonds connecting the Zn(II) ion and its four donor atoms provided by GSSG or (γECG-OEt). One should emphasize the fact that no restraints between water molecules and GSSG or (γECG-OEt) were included in the calculations. Two further dihedral angle restraints were added for χ\(_1\) dihedrals of Cys residues. The χ\(_1\) dihedral governs the values of the J\(_{AB}\) coupling constants in the Cys residue, according to the formula given by Perez at al. A high value of one of these coupling constants (11.4 ± 0.2 Hz for Zn(II)–GSSG and 10.7 ± 0.2 Hz for Zn(II)–(γECG-OEt)) and a small value of the second one (4.3 ± 0.2 Hz and 4.2 ± 0.2 Hz, respectively), together limited the χ\(_1\) value to the regions around 60° or −180°. Six-hundred conformations were calculated for each complex using a simulated annealing procedure with square-well potential constraints included, 300 with χ\(_1\) = 180 and 300 with χ\(_1\) = −60. The initial structure was minimized with only harmonic restraints applied on distances between Zn(II) and its four donor atoms. Then, 30 ps of high-temperature (1000 K) molecular dynamics was performed, followed by a process of cooling down to 100 K over 6 ps, and by a potential energy minimization. The resulting structures were refined (20 ps dynamics at 300 K) and minimized. During the annealing and refinement procedure, all restraints were applied. The full Lennard-Jones potential and distance-scale electrostatic interactions were used in this refinement. The 30 lowest energy structures were analyzed and subdivided into families. The maximum backbone RMSD between any two members of one family was set to 0.5 Å. The VMD 1.8.1 software was used for structure visualization. 43

**Fluorimetry.** The Zn(II) competition between the Zn(II)-sensitive fluorescent chelating sensor FluoZin-3 was studied by fluorescence changes using Jasco FP 750 spectrophotofluorometer at 25 °C. 44 Samples containing 0.1 μM FluoZin-3 tetrapotassium and 0.05 μM ZnSO\(_4\) in a 50 mM HEPES buffer (pH = 7.4, I = 0.1 M from KNO\(_3\)) were titrated either with a 30 mM or with a 300 mM solution of GSSG in fluorimeter quartz cuvettes (1 cm × 1 cm) followed by 5 min of equilibration. Relative changes of the Zn(II)–FluoZin-3 complex concentration were monitored by fluorescence measurements (F). These data were used for determination of the exact FluoZin-3 concentration and for calculations of competition equilibria between FluoZin-3 and GSSG. The fluorescent complex was excited at 492 nm and measured in the range of 495–600 nm (maximum emission at 517 nm). Concentration of free zinc ([Zn\(^{2+}\)]) was calculated as described previously by independent measurement of fluorescence in the presence of ZnSO\(_4\) excess (F\(_{\text{max}}\)) and EDTA (F\(_{\text{min}}\)) according to the formula [Zn\(^{2+}\)] = K\(_d\) × (F – F\(_{\text{min}}\))/(F\(_{\text{max}}\) – F\(_{\text{min}}\)). K\(_d\) is a dissociation constant (8.9 mM) of the Zn(II)–FluoZin-3 complex measured under the same conditions used here (50 mM HEPES buffer, pH = 7.4, I = 0.1 M). 9,44,43

**Results**

**Acid–Base Properties of GSSG and Its Analogos.** Protonation constants of GSSG (Scheme 1) were obtained by potentiometry at four temperature values, 19, 25, 30, and 37 °C, to enable calculations of thermochemical parameters of protonation phenomena. These constants are presented in Table 1 and are in good agreement with the previous determinations at 25 °C 27,40–48 and at 37 °C. 49,50 The constants determined at 25 °C were published previously in our study on Ni(II) complexes of GSNO and GSSG. A reliable determination of the sixth most acidic constant by potentiometry was impossible under our experimental conditions. Several potentiometric determinations of this constant were published at 25 and 37 °C. 27,47,52–54 The broad range of values obtained, from
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1.60 to 2.34,48,52,55 corresponds to a low reliability of potentiometric determination of this constant, as pointed out previously.53

NMR provided an alternative method of establishing all protonation constants of GSSG, including the most acidic one.56,57 However, NMR titrations provide data on the acidities of individual groups, rather than overall dissociation constants, produced by potentiometry. To convert the former (Supporting Information Table S1) to the latter, one can use a range normalization function (eq 1) where $p$ and $u$ indexes indicate protonated and unprotonated states, respectively.

$$F = \frac{(\delta - \delta_p) / (\delta_u - \delta_p)}{(\Delta - \Delta_p) / (\Delta_u - \Delta_p)}$$

(1)

This function was constructed for chemical shifts of $\alpha$-Glu (separately in low and high pH) and Gly protons (only low pH) and fitted to eq 2, valid for two overlapping protonation processes.8,59

$$F = \frac{10^{p\text{H} - pK_u} + 2 \times 10^{2p\text{H} - (pK_1 + pK_2)}}{1 + 10^{p\text{H} - pK_u} + 10^{2p\text{H} - (pK_1 + pK_2)}}$$

(2)

Protonation constants established for GSSG by potentiometry and NMR are included in Table 1. The assignment of $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra of GSSG, based on homo- and heteronuclear correlation spectra, was in agreement with the literature.59,60 The match between the NMR and potentiometry-derived values is excellent, as presented in Figure 1, on which the NMR titration curves are overlaid on the protonic species distribution derived from potentiometric data.

A more detailed analysis of dependence of chemical shifts on pH revealed a specific sensitivity of the Gly protons to the amine deprotonation, shown in Figure 2. The Cys protons did not show such dependence, although they are located much closer to the amine residues in the peptide sequence. The corresponding $pK$ values are provided in Supporting Information Table S1.

The thermochemical parameters of GSSG protonation reactions are presented in Table 1 as well. These reversible reactions were defined as association processes to make the sign of $\Delta G$ negative. The $\Delta H$ and $\Delta S$ values were calculated from linear relationships $\ln K_i$ (T).

The protonation equilibria of analogs and derivatives of GSSG were studied by potentiometry at 25 °C. All constants obtained are presented in Table 2.

Table 1. Thermodynamic Description of GSSG Protonation at $I = 0.1$ M (KNO$_3$)$^\dagger$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>log $\beta_i$</th>
<th>$pK_i$ at 25 °C</th>
<th>thermochemical constants $^\dagger$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19 °C</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>30 °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_2L^2^-$</td>
<td>$K_1 H_2L^2 = H_3L^+ + H^+$</td>
<td>9.831(6)</td>
<td>9.695(4)</td>
<td>9.552(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_2L^2^-$</td>
<td>$K_2 H_2L^2 = H_3L^+ + H_3L^+$</td>
<td>18.806(5)</td>
<td>18.583(4)</td>
<td>18.340(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_3L^+$</td>
<td>$K_3 H_3L = H_4L^++H^+$</td>
<td>22.685(9)</td>
<td>22.524(6)</td>
<td>22.320(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_4L^+$</td>
<td>$K_4 H_4L = H_5L^++H^+$</td>
<td>28.00(2)</td>
<td>28.10(1)</td>
<td>28.08(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_2L^2^-$</td>
<td>$K_5 H_2L^2 = H_3L^+ + H^+$</td>
<td>29.39(9)</td>
<td>29.71(8)</td>
<td>29.88(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^\dagger$Statistical errors of constant determinations are given in parentheses. $^\ddagger$ $\beta_i = [H_iL_i] / ([L_i][H^+]^i)$. $^\ddagger$ Calculated for individual protonation reactions at 25 °C, using potentiometric values of $pK_i$ and their temperature dependencies. $^\dagger$ Measured in D$_2$O and $I = 0.01$, and recalculated. $^\ddagger$ Units are joules per Kelvin per mol (J K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$). *Beyond the measurement range, extrapolated on the basis of statistical considerations (see text).
Table 2. Cumulative Protonation Constants (log β Values) for Derivatives and Analogs of GSSG at T = 25 °C, I = 0.1 M (KNO₃).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>peptide</th>
<th>HA</th>
<th>H₂A</th>
<th>H₃A</th>
<th>H₄A</th>
<th>H₅A</th>
<th>H₆A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(γEC)₂</td>
<td>9.75(1)</td>
<td>18.43(1)</td>
<td>22.14(2)</td>
<td>24.73(2)</td>
<td>26.62(5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(γEC)₃</td>
<td>9.91(2)</td>
<td>18.88(7)</td>
<td>23.99(1)</td>
<td>28.25(1)</td>
<td>31.73(1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(γEC-NH)₂</td>
<td>9.42(6)</td>
<td>18.11(7)</td>
<td>20.77(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(γEC-OEt)₂</td>
<td>9.56(49)</td>
<td>18.30(5)</td>
<td>21.04(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(EcG)₂</td>
<td>9.72(1)</td>
<td>18.51(1)</td>
<td>22.45(3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dipeptide disulfides</td>
<td>9.79(93)</td>
<td>18.77(2)</td>
<td>20.05(2)</td>
<td>23.42(9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γEC-γEC</td>
<td>9.76(1)</td>
<td>18.66(1)</td>
<td>22.53(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γEC-γEC-OEt</td>
<td>9.65(18)</td>
<td>21.82(2)</td>
<td>24.17(6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table 3. Cumulative Stability Constants for Zn(II) Complexes with GSSG at I = 0.1 M (KNO₃) and Various Temperatures.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>species</th>
<th>19 °C</th>
<th>25 °C</th>
<th>30 °C</th>
<th>37 °C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZnHL⁻</td>
<td>14.24(4)</td>
<td>14.19(8)</td>
<td>14.08(2)</td>
<td>14.03(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnL²⁻</td>
<td>8.09(3)</td>
<td>8.04(9)</td>
<td>7.94(0)</td>
<td>7.89(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnL₃⁻</td>
<td>10.86(5)</td>
<td>10.71(8)</td>
<td>10.57(6)</td>
<td>10.41(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnL₄⁻</td>
<td>-1.74(6)</td>
<td>-1.69(6)</td>
<td>-1.67(5)</td>
<td>-1.58(4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thermodynamic Aspects of Zn(II) Complexation. The analysis of potentiometric titrations, performed at various temperatures, uniformly indicated the formation of four different complex species in the Zn(II)–GSSG system, monomeric ZnHL⁻, ZnL²⁻, Zn₂L₃⁻, and a bimetallic Zn₃L⁻. The stability constants for these complexes are presented in Table 3, while Table 4 presents thermodynamic constants for formal and actual Zn(II) binding reactions in the Zn(II)–GSSG system. The Δ_H and Δ_S values for these reactions were calculated, as with protonation constants, from linear relationships ln K_i (T).

The coordination of Zn(II) to GSSG analogs was studied only by potentiometry at 25 °C, except for the complex with γEC-G-OEt₂, which was additionally studied by 2D NMR (see below). Stability constants of complexes of disulfide analogs are presented in Table 5, and those of the nondisulfide ones are given in Table 6. These tables also contain competitiveness index (CI) values, which allow for a comparison of overall Zn(II) binding abilities of ligands forming complexes of various stoichiometries.

Structural Aspects of Zn(II) Complexation. Figure 3 presents the global comparison of pH dependence of chemical shifts of individual GSSG protons without and with Zn(II). The signals from chemically equivalent β-Glu and β-Cys protons were averaged to simplify the presentation. The primary role of the Glu residue in Zn(II) binding at and above pH 6 is clear. Figure 3 (right-hand panels) presents subtle effects in chemical shifts exerted by the presence of Zn(II). The complex formation removed the equivalence of β-Glu protons and increased the signal separation of β-Cys protons. Also, one of the Gly protons (GlyH₁) was specifically sensitive to Zn(II) binding. Figure 4 compares selected chemical shift profiles with potentiometry-based distributions of complexes at 25 °C, calculated for concentrations used in ¹H NMR titrations. This figure demonstrates the match between the results of these two experimental methods. One can clearly see that the α-Glu profile reflects the formation of all four complex species, and that the GlyH₁ proton is selectively sensitive to the formation of the ZnL₂⁻ complex.

An absence of dimerization/oligomerization of the complexes at a pH* of 8.2, which effectively corresponds to a pH of 8.0⁵⁶ (the ZnL²⁻ species predominates at ca. 90% of total Zn(II) under such conditions), was confirmed by the absence of changes in chemical shifts of complexed GSSG in ¹H NMR spectra recorded for equimolar Zn(II) and GSSG in the range of concentrations between 0.1 mM and 100 mM, and in the ¹³C NMR spectra of the same samples, between 25 mM and 100 mM (data not shown). The ROESY spectrum of the GSSG–Zn(II) complex was recorded with the sample containing 20 mM GSSG and 20 mM Zn(II) in D₂O at a pH* of 8.4, which effectively corresponds to a pH of 8.2 (pH chosen in such a way to match the highest complex occupancy). This sample contained ca. 92% of the ZnL₂⁻ species. The spectrum provided eight of nine expected intrarresidual crosspeaks, as well as nine interresidual crosspeaks: three between the Glu and Cys residues, three between the Cys and Gly residues, and three between the Glu and Gly residues. The analogous ROESY spectrum was also recorded for 20 mM γEC-G-OEt₂ with 20 mM Zn(II) at a pH* of 8.8, corresponding to a pH of 8.5⁵⁶ at a maximum of ZnA complex formation (83%, major contamination from the Zn₃A²⁻ species). This spectrum contained 11 expected intrarresidual crosspeaks, two interresidual crosspeaks analogous to those seen for the GSSG complex and four additional interresidual crosspeaks, involving the methyl protons of the ethyl substituent on the Gly residue. The spectral assignments in the

Table 4. Thermodynamic Description of Reactions of Zn(II) Complexation to GSSG at T = 25 °C, I = 0.1 M (KNO₃).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>reaction</th>
<th>thermochemical constants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>association reactions</td>
<td>Δ_H⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn⁺⁺ + HL⁻ ⇌ ZnHL⁻</td>
<td>-15(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn⁺⁺ + L⁻ ⇌ ZnL⁻</td>
<td>21(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn₂⁺⁺ + L²⁻ ⇌ Zn₂L²⁻</td>
<td>43.7(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn₂⁺⁺ + ZnL⁻ ⇌ Zn₂L⁻</td>
<td>23(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"β_i = [H_iL]/[H_i][L]". Statistical errors of constant determinations are given in parentheses. Statistical errors of constant determinations are given in parentheses. Units are kilojoules per mole (kJ mol⁻¹). Units are joules per Kelvin per mole (J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹).
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Table 5. Cumulative Stability Constants of Zinc Complexes of Disulfides at \( T = 25 ^\circ \text{C}, I = 0.1 \text{ M (KNO}_3\)\(^\text{a}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>peptide</th>
<th>( \log \beta_{i\alpha} )</th>
<th>( -\Delta G^\circ )</th>
<th>CI_{0.01/7.4} (^\text{a})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ZnHA</td>
<td>ZnA</td>
<td>ZnA(_2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tripeptide disulfides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma\text{ECSC}_2 )</td>
<td>13.80(1)</td>
<td>7.75(3)</td>
<td>10.68(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma\text{ECSC}_2 )</td>
<td>15.08(9)</td>
<td>8.618(6)</td>
<td>10.847(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma\text{ECNH}_3)_2</td>
<td>12.71(1)</td>
<td>7.01(3)</td>
<td>10.576(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma\text{ECOE}_2 )</td>
<td>13.13(9)</td>
<td>7.31(2)</td>
<td>10.646(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma\text{ECG}_2 )</td>
<td>13.78(4)</td>
<td>7.69(1)</td>
<td>10.67(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dippeptide disulfides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma\text{EC}_{2} )</td>
<td>14.46(7)</td>
<td>8.132(4)</td>
<td>10.79(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma\text{EC}_{2} )</td>
<td>13.31(5)</td>
<td>7.43(1)</td>
<td>10.62(7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mixed disulfides</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma\text{EC-EC} )</td>
<td>14.31(3)</td>
<td>8.075(8)</td>
<td>10.77(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \gamma\text{EC-EC} )</td>
<td>13.85(4)</td>
<td>7.739(6)</td>
<td>10.68(9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Statistical errors of constant determinations are given in parentheses. \(^b\) \( \beta_{i\alpha} \) = \([\text{ZnH}_i\text{L}_j]/([\text{Zn}]^+\text{[H]}^+\text{[L]}] \). \(^c\) Units are kilojoules per mol (kJ mol\(^{-1}\)). \(^d\) CI\(_{0.01/7.4}\) is \( \log K_{\text{Mz}} \) fulfilling the condition \( \Sigma_{i\alpha}([\text{ZnH}_i\text{L}_j] = [\text{ZnZ}] \).

Table 6. Cumulative Stability Constants of Zinc Complexes of Non-Disulfide Analogs at \( T = 25 ^\circ \text{C}, I = 0.1 \text{ M (KNO}_3\)\(^\text{a}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>compound</th>
<th>( \log \beta_{i\alpha} )</th>
<th>CI_{0.01/7.4} (^\text{a})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S-Me-GSH</td>
<td>4.63(2)</td>
<td>4.84(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSO(_3)H</td>
<td>4.73(3)</td>
<td>8.75(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glu</td>
<td>4.83(2)</td>
<td>9.04(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Statistical errors of constant determinations are given in parentheses. \(^b\) \( \beta_{i\alpha} \) = \([\text{ZnH}_i\text{L}_j]/([\text{Zn}]^+\text{[H]}^+\text{[L]}] \).

ROESY spectra are presented in Supporting Information Table S2, while the lists of nondiagonal crosspeaks, together with their integrals, are presented in Supporting Information Table S3.

The molecular mechanics calculations of the structures of ZnL\(^2+\) and ZnA species from the above NMR data were based on the following assumptions regarding their structures: (i) The Zn(II) ion is coordinated to amino and carboxylate donors of both Glu residues, set in four adjacent corners of an octahedron. The Zn–N distances were set at 0.2103 nm, the Zn–O distances were set at 0.2036 nm, and the carboxylate groups were positioned as monodentate donors. These distances and arrangements were based on the X-ray structure of the Zn(II)−Glu complex.\(^1\) (ii) Two additional water molecules were coordinated in the remaining two octahedral positions around Zn(II). The Zn–O (water) distances were set at 0.207 nm.\(^1\) (iii) The C\(_2\) symmetry for both molecules was forced by distance symmetry restraints implemented in the XPLOR program.\(^1\)

The 30 lowest energy conformers of Zn(II)−GSSG, yielded by calculations, were divided into six families. The application of the criterion of −60 or +180\(^\circ\) for the \( \chi_1 \) angle of Cys, based on extreme values of measured \( \beta \)\(_{\text{H1H1}} \) couplings (11.4 and 4.3 Hz) for this residue, eliminated three of these families. Structures of the lowest energy members of each of three remaining families are shown in Figure 5. The conformational properties and mean energies of these families are presented in Supporting Information Table S4. One can observe two types of coordination patterns in calculated structures, which are labeled trans-\(N\) (\( \text{trans with respect to amine donors} \)−family 1) and trans-\(W\) (\( \text{trans with respect to water molecules} \)−families 2 and 3). They are shown at the bottom of Figure 5. Each structure is stabilized by two pairs of hydrogen bonds. The dihedral angle of the disulfide bridge assumes an energetically optimal value of −90\(^\circ\) for family 1. In the

remaining two families, the deviations of values of this dihedral angle from the optimum value of 90° (second symmetric minimum) are at least 20°. In family 1, the backbone dihedral of Cys is placed in the helical region (third quadrant) of the Ramachandran plot. In family 3, this dihedral falls into the β structure region and, in family 2, into the forbidden fourth quadrant (ϕ > 0). The structural properties presented above, together with a large mean energy difference between the first and the remaining two families, strongly suggest that a “true” structure belongs to family 1.

The 30 lowest energy conformers for Zn(II)–(γECG-OEt)₂ yielded by calculations had to be divided into as many as 12 families. Applying a similar procedure to that described above for Zn(II)–GSSG with a somewhat broader criterion (the value of measured ³J_{HaHb} coupling was here a little smaller, −10.7 Hz) allowed to discard five of the families. Structural properties of the lowest energy members of the remaining seven families and their mean energies are presented in Supporting Information Table S5. The lowest energy members of these superfamilies are shown in Supporting Information Figure S1. This result suggests that Zn(II)–(γECG-OEt)₂ complexes in solution exist in a conformational equilibrium between open and closed structures.

**Discussion**

**Protonation equilibria.** Values of protonation macroconstants of GSSG, as mentioned above, agree well with those determined previously.²⁷,⁴⁶,⁴⁷,⁵²,⁵⁴ The value of pK₆, determined by NMR, is in excellent agreement with the most recent reports.⁴⁷,⁵⁴ This value is lower than a practical acidic limit for determinations using pH-metric titrations of millimolar compounds, ca. 2.0. Errors in previous pH-metric determinations of this constant²⁷,⁵² were most likely caused by ignoring this fact, as pointed out previously.⁵³

We have confined our analysis of GSSG protonation to macroconstants, because this level of analysis is sufficient as a background for the study of Zn(II) complex formation, our main goal. Also, the macroconstants determined in the present work agree well with the results of a recent detailed study of GSSG microspeciation.⁴⁷ However, enthalpies and entropies related to GSSG protonation were not reported previously and therefore require a brief discussion. These thermodynamic parameters describing protonation processes in peptides at moderate ionic strengths were previously obtained mostly for dipeptides, such as those composed of glycine and alanine.⁶²,⁶³ The tripeptide triglycine was also studied.⁶³ These studies provide a suitable reference for the discussion of the C-terminal carboxylate in GSSG. In turn, thermochemical studies of protonation of simple amino acids, such as glycine, provide a reference for the γ-Glu moiety.⁶⁴–⁶⁶ In another thermochemical study, protonation of GSH and triglycine was investigated at a high ionic strength of 3 M NaClO₄.⁶⁷

The deprotonation of a terminal carboxylic group in simple di- and tripeptides is accompanied by small enthalpic...
effects, typically less than 10 kJ mol$^{-1}$, together with sizable entropic contributions (40–70 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$).\textsuperscript{62,63} Glycine carboxylate protonation reactions involve similarly low $\Delta H$ values, with $\Delta S$ about 30 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$.$\textsuperscript{36–60}$ The $\alpha$-amine protonation is controlled primarily by enthalpy (40–50 kJ mol$^{-1}$), with little entropy change (usually less than 10 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$).\textsuperscript{62,63} In turn, entropic effects are significant for the amine of the Glu moiety in GSH, and in glycine, with $\Delta S$ values of 40–60 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$.$\textsuperscript{64–67}$ This difference is due to a salt bridge formation between the deprotonated carboxylate and the neighboring protonated amine in $\alpha$-amino acid moieties. The protonation of GSSG amines (top two lines in Table 1) fits in this pattern, with significant $\Delta H$ and $\Delta S$ contributions. The thermodynamics of the Gly residue protonations is similar to the dipeptide and tripeptide data, although the enthalpic contribution to the free energy is slightly negative and is accompanied by higher entropic contributions (two middle lines in Table 1). The major difference with simple models is demonstrated by the protonation of a Glu carboxylate (H$_2$L$^+$ + H$^+$ $\leftrightarrow$ H$_3$L$^-$). It involves a very high negative enthalpy, compensated by a very large entropy change, nearly 10-fold higher from that determined for simple amino acids. The explanation of this effect is provided by the crystal structure of the H$_4$L species of GSSG,\textsuperscript{66} which indicates additional salt bridges between the COO$^-$ and NH$_3^+$ groups belonging to different Glu moieties. A disorganization of this long-range structuring is entropically favorable. Also, the crystal of GSSG contains four ordered water molecules. Two of them are located within the salt-bridged macrochelate loop, composed of the two Glu and two Cys residues. The commercial polycrystalline GSSG samples contain one water molecule per GSSG. It is therefore reasonable to assume that one or two water molecules remain bound to GSSG after dissolution, and their release contributes to both a decrease of enthalpy and an increase of entropy accompanying the protonation of the H$_4$L species of GSSG.

**Solution Structures of Uncomplexed Disulphides.** The comparison of GSSG with its analogs demonstrated a dependence of values of amine protonation constants on electronic charges on their C-terminal residues, $z_C$. \textsuperscript{30} We observed such an effect previously in a corresponding series of GSH analogs.\textsuperscript{30} As shown in Figure 6, a good linear correlation of the averaged amine p$K_a$ on $z_C$ includes all disulfides studied, but secondary effects related to peptide sizes are also present. A correlation coefficient $R = -0.95$ ($p = 0.003$, $n = 6$) was found in the series of tripeptides. The slope of this correlation, $-0.16 \pm 0.03$ pH units per unit charge, is very similar to that observed in GSH analogs. The value of $R = -0.88$ with the same slope was calculated for all peptides with an even higher significance ($p = 0.0008$, $n = 10$; Figure 6). Notably, the difference of amine p$K_a$ values, p$K_1 - pK_2$ did not correlate significantly with $z_C$ ($R = -0.55$, $p = 0.09$, $n = 10$).

The influence of $z_C$ on amine p$K_a$ is particularly strong in the dipeptide disulfide ($\gamma$EC$_2$). The presence of negatively charged C-terminal carboxylates next to the glutamic acid residue results in the elevation of the average amine p$K_a$ by 0.09 log units, compared to ($\gamma$ECG)$_2$, while the difference of these values between ($\gamma$ECG-OEt)$_2$ and ($\gamma$EC-0Et)$_2$ is less than 0.02 log units. The effect in the mixed disulfide $\gamma$ECG-$\gamma$EC is intermediate, 0.04 log units, vs ($\gamma$ECG)$_2$.

We interpret these results as evidence for a direct, through-space electrostatic interaction between the protonated amine and the deprotonated C-terminal carboxylate(s). The lack of effect on p$K_1 - pK_2$ indicates that this interaction is confined to monomeric units of disulfides, behaving largely independently. The further confirmation of this effect is provided by the specific sensitivity of Gly, but not Cys protons, to the amine group deprotonation seen in NMR spectra (Figure 2, Supporting Information Table S3). Larger differences of p$K_a$ values of the amine groups are observed for nondisulfide analogs with the modified thiol, S-methylglutathione, and glutathione sulfonic acid. This effect is consistent with our conformational model of GSH, which includes the p$K_a$ modifying effect, exerted on the amine by the deprotonated thiol group, or, in principle, any charged group in its vicinity.\textsuperscript{30}

**Thermodynamics of Zn(II) Complexes with GSSG.** The Zn(II) complexation pattern in GSSG and its analogs includes two major complexes, ZnL$_2^-$ at neutral and weakly alkaline pH and ZnH$_2$L$^-$ under more alkaline conditions, as well as two overlapping minor species, ZnHL$^-$ and Zn$_2$L in weakly acidic solutions. The two previous potentiometric studies of Zn(II) coordination to GSSG were done under similar, although not identical, conditions ($T = 25 \degree C$, I of 0.15$\textsuperscript{28}$ or 0.20$\textsuperscript{25}$). The earlier of these studies proposed only the ZnL$_2^-$ complex. The model presented in the later one is similar to ours, except for its omission of the ZnH$_2$L$^-$ species, and slightly lower formation constant values (ca. 0.4 log units per Zn(II) ion). In contrast with the preceding studies, however,
our potentiometric results were confirmed quantitatively by $^1$H NMR spectroscopic titrations, as shown in Figure 4. These results, in particular the magnitudes of chemical shift effects, confirm the glutamic amines and carboxylates as Zn(II) binding sites in all complexes (Figures 3 and 4).

The stability constant of the ZnHL$^{-}$ complex, corrected for protonation of the uncomplexed amine ($\log K_{ZnHL} = \log \beta_{ZnHL} - \log \beta_{HL}$) at 25 °C, equal to 4.50, is quantitatively similar to $\log K_{ZnA}$ values with A representing simple amino acids, ca. 4.2–4.7. However, as seen in Table 4, this value is a result of an unfavorable enthalpic effect, as opposed to low, but favorable $\Delta H$ effects for analogous amino acid complexes (−5 to −15 kJ mol$^{-1}$), compensated by the entropic contribution, which is 2 to 3 times higher (40–70 J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$). These differences are consistent with the breaking of the electrostatic bridges between Glu moieties (enthalpic cost), compensated by an increased disorder (likely including the release of water molecules from the uncoordinated GSSG molecule). This complex may be “unfolded”, with Zn(II) bonded solely to one glutamic unit, or “folded”, with an additional coordination of the carboxylate from the second glutamic unit (see Scheme 2 for schematic structures of complexes discussed here and below). The comparison of log a $K_{ZnHL}$ value of 4.50 with one of 4.17, the analogous value determined for glutamine, the closest structural analog of relevant amino acids, ca. 4.2–4.7, is quantitatively similar to log $K_{ZnA}$ values with A representing simple amino acids, ca. 4.2–4.7.

The high stability constant of the ZnL$^{2-}$ species is due to a lowering of the amine pK$\alpha$ by 3.56 log units, compared to uncomplexed GSSG. NMR titrations support the intuitive notion that this species contains both amine nitrogen residues coordinated to the Zn(II) ion. The formal process Zn$^{2+}$ + L$^{4-}$ ⇌ ZnL$^{2-}$ contains favorable enthalpic and entropic contributions, but interestingly the reaction ZnHL$^{-}$ ⇌ ZnL$^{2-}$ + H$^{+}$, which constitutes an important pathway for the formation of this complex (see Figure 4), is controlled purely by entropy (the enthalpic contribution amounts to less than 1 kJ per mole).

The formation of the ZnHL$_{2}$L$^{3-}$ complex under alkaline conditions is confirmed by small, but evident changes of chemical shifts of α-Glu, β-Cys, and Gly protons, compared to those for the ZnL$^{2-}$ complex (Figures 3 and 4). The pK$\alpha$ value for ZnH$_{-1}$L$^{2-}$ formation obtained from glutamic and β-Cys protons was 9.37(3), only somewhat lower from the potentiometric value of 9.745(9). The low magnitudes of these NMR effects indicate that the binding mode of ZnL$^{2-}$ is retained in this complex, thus excluding the possibility of an amide bond deprotonation. Therefore, the hydrogen ion must be released from a water molecule associated with the complex. The pK$\alpha$ value is rather low for a −2 charged complex (typical pK$\alpha$ values range between 8 and 10 positively charged complexes and are usually above 10 for neutral or −1 charged complexes).

Correlations of Properties in GSSG Analogs. Similarly to the case of protonation equilibria, a deeper understanding of Zn(II)−GSSG complexes can be gained from the analysis of tendencies in the series of Zn(II) complexes of disulfide analogs studied. As shown in Supporting Information Figure S2, the stabilities of individual complexes are very strongly dependent on basicities of amine groups. Correlation coefficients R as high as −0.98 were found for ZnHA, Zn$_{2}$A, and ZnA complexes. Only the stabilities of ZnH$_{-1}$A complexes were a little less correlated, with $R = −0.91$. Inspired by the existence of the relationship between the amine basicities of disulfides and their C-terminal charges, discussed above, we performed analogous calculations, presented in Figure 7. Indeed, stabilities of all complexes exhibited strong correlations with $\Delta C$. The qualities of these linear fits for ZnHA, Zn$_{2}$A, and ZnA complexes were somewhat lower than the previous ones, $R = −0.92$, −0.87, and −0.93, respectively. Interestingly, the correlation with $\Delta C$ was higher than that with pK$_{1} + pK_{2}$ for the ZnH$_{-1}$A complex, $R = −0.93$. Figure 7 demonstrates a very strict relationship between Zn(II) binding properties of ZnHA and ZnA complexes, with R as high as 0.996. These results allow one to conclude that the overall ability of GSSG analogs to bind Zn(II) is controlled directly by amine basicities, and indirectly by C-terminal charges. Nevertheless, significant secondary effects are present among the studied disulfides. The inspection of Supporting Information Figure S2 indicates that the impact of amine basicity on species stability

is highest for ZnHA complexes, with a slope of the linear correlation of $3.0 \log \beta_{\text{ZnHA}}$ per $1 (pK_1 + pK_2)$ unit, followed by ZnA, a slope of 2.0; ZnH$_{-1}$A, a slope of 1.3; and Zn$_2$A, as little as 0.36 units. These differences cannot be explained by a simple electrostatic mechanism, present in uncomplexed GSSG analogs, and require the presence of an additional bonding mechanism.

Figure 8 presents the relationship between equilibrium constants for reactions $\text{Zn}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{A} \rightleftharpoons \text{ZnHA} + \text{H}^+$ (top) and $\text{Zn}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{A} \rightleftharpoons \text{ZnA} + 2\text{H}^+$ (bottom) and amine basicities. These reactions provide actual processes of formation of these complexes, as $\text{H}_2\text{A}$ is the predominant protonation form of disulfides in the pH range of formation of these complexes (cf. Figure 1 vs. Figure 4). They further demonstrate the presence of an additional factor, which increases the stability of complex of tripeptides with unmodified C-terminal carboxylates. It accounts for $0.3 \log$ units of the stability constant, corresponding to a $\Delta \Delta G$ of 1.6 kJ/mol for both ZnHA and ZnA complexes. The mixed disulfides in which one unit belongs to the above family, and another is either shorter or modified, exhibit average stabilities, compared to parent symmetrical disulfides. Therefore, the mechanism in question is additive with respect to monomeric units of the disulfides.

The extremely tight correlation between ZnHA and ZnA stabilities and the conservation of the unblocked tripeptide effect further support the presence of similar conformations in these two species. The similarity of conformations between ZnA and ZnH$_{-1}$A complexes was discussed above for GSSG. Stabilities of these three species exhibited strong, albeit varied correlations on $pK_1 + pK_2$, in contrast to that for Zn$_2$A. The weak dependence on $pK_1 + pK_2$ is therefore a consequence of the unfolded structures in the Zn$_2$A complexes, due to the electrostatic repulsion between $+1$ charged Zn(II) sites in them.

Notably, the highest relative abundance of Zn$_2$A complexes occurs for the weakest ligands, which do not carry negative charges on their C-termini, and is due to a relative weakness of ZnHA and ZnA complexes with these ligands.

Scheme 2 presents the possible modes of interaction in various complexes of GSSG and its analogs. The Zn$_2$A complexes are depicted in panel A, with a possible weak interaction between Zn(II) binding sites and C-terminal carboxyl groups. Structure B depicts a hypothetical species with no C-terminal interaction. Such are clearly not dominant in complexes studied. Panel C presents a species with C-terminal groups interacting only within their monomeric units, while panel D presents a species with a “crossed” interaction, where each C-terminus may reach toward the other monomeric unit. The gain of Zn(II) binding stability of 1.5 log unit per 1 log unit of basicity of each amine (slope of 3 vs $pK_1 + pK_2$) clearly indicates the participation of structure D in ZnHA complexes, with C-terminal groups providing multiple interactions with the first coordination sphere around the Zn(II) ion. Structure C might be assumed to correspond to ZnA complexes, with a stability gain directly corresponding to amine basicity. However, such a 1:1 relation would require a phenomenally rigid species, incompatible with the noncovalent character of C-terminal interactions. Therefore, the reality of closed complexes is best depicted by mixtures of structures C and D, with a higher proportion of structure C in ZnHL complexes.

A weak negative correlation was found between the stability of ZnHA complexes and the stepwise constant of formation of ZnA complexes, slope $= -0.18$ log units. This effect is most likely due to a slight shortening of Zn–N bonds, expected in stronger complexes, which has to be compensated conformationally and induces a slight strain in ZnA species.

The stronger dependence of the stability of ZnH$_{-1}$A complexes on $z_c$ provides a further proof for the location of C-terminal groups in the vicinity of the Zn(II) binding site. The correlations between the water $pK_a$ and amine basicity or ZnA stability are weaker, with an $R$ of 0.86 (Supporting Information Figure S3), but the deviation from linearity is mostly due to the anomalous behavior of the N-Cys analog, where the Zn(II)-bonded water molecule is much more acidic. In general, the value of the water $pK_a$ is influenced by overall electrostatics, as well as
specific effects of the C-terminal carboxylates. The average water pK₆ value for the group of derivatives containing at least one tripeptide carboxylate unit (three unblocked tripeptides and two mixed disulfides) is 9.80, vs. 9.64 in the remaining five analogues. This difference is statistically significant only at a 0.19 level but becomes significant at a 0.03 level upon removal of those compounds with the strongest electrostatic effects (Zn(II)–GSSG from the first group and Zn(II)–(γEC)₃ from the second group (the average water pK₆ values become then 9.75 vs 9.55)).

Table 5 presents also the competitiveness index (CI) values, calculated for 10 mM Zn(II) and disulfide ligands at pH 7.4 (CIₓ₀.₀₁/₇.₄). CI was developed by us to aid comparisons of metal binding abilities of ligands and sets of ligands possessing various stoichiometries. It is defined as the logarithm of the conditional stability constant of Zn, the binary metal complex of a hypothetical molecule Z which takes up the same proportion of the metal ion M, as all actual complexes under consideration. For sufficiently strongly binding systems, where the amount of uncomplexed M is insignificant, this proportion is 50%. The appropriate formula is provided in the footnote to Table 5.

Defined in this way, CIₓ₀.₀₁/₇.₄ essentially combines binding abilities of ZnHA and ZnA complexes. The span of CIₓ₀.₀₁/₇.₄ values is only 0.7 log units for all compounds presented in Table 5, and most of them are confined within 0.2 log units, confirming that Zn(II) binding is mostly due to the pair of N-terminal chelates common to all disulfides studied. The bonding in GSSG is particularly effective, second only to that of the Glu analog, and equal, within the experimental error, to that of dipeptide carboxylates, indicating that specific interactions of Gly in GSSG fully compensate the stronger electrostatics of dipeptides.

**Structures of Zn(II) Complexes of GSSG and Its Glycine Ester.** A heavy overlap between the pH profiles of formation of minor ZnHL⁻ and Zn₂L species and that of the major ZnL²⁻ precluded NMR-based characterization of their structures. However, the above discussion proved that Zn₂L has an unfolded structure, in which two Zn(II) sites do not interact with each other, while ZnHL⁻ shares the folded, coiled structure with ZnL²⁻ and ZnH₆L₃⁻.

The structure of the ZnL²⁻ complex is fairly rigid, as indicated by such NMR spectral features as differentiation of Glu-β protons and a selective change of chemical shift of one of the Gly protons, correlated with the formation of the ZnL²⁻ complex (Figure 3). Both of these features result from the spatial fixing of these geminal protons in nonequivalent distances relative to their adjacent carboxylate oxygens. The mechanism of this fixing is provided by the structure presented in Figure 5, where Zn(II) is coordinated directly by Glu carboxylates and indirectly by Gly carboxylates, through hydrogen bonds between their oxygen atoms and Zn(II)-bonded water molecules. The latter interaction adds the stability of Zn(II) binding specifically in those tripeptide disulfides which contain unblocked C-terminal carboxylates, in contrast with weaker H-bonding available in those disulfides which have carboxyl functions modified by esterification or amidation. Hydrogen bonding of Zn(II)-coordinated water molecules to noncoordinating carboxylates is common in the solid state. Such structures were also proposed in several cases of small complexes in solution, based on indirect arguments. The overall gain of Zn(II) binding stability between the series with strong H-bonding to the carboxylate and the series with weaker H-bonding to carbonyl oxygens is low, 1.6 kJ/mol. As discussed extensively above, the nondirectional electrostatic interaction provides a major part of Zn(II) stabilization. Therefore, an equilibrium between the H-bonded and non-H-bonded species should be present in all complexes studied. Our data do not allow for estimation of the corresponding equilibrium constants. Neither experimental nor theoretical data on energetics of hydrogen bonds of Zn(II)-bonded water molecules to noncoordinated carboxylates are available. However, the above discussion of strong correlations of charges, basicities, and complex stabilities suggests a high proportion of structures C and D of Scheme 2 vs structure B, especially in the complexes of unblocked tripeptide disulfides.

The structure presented in Figure 5 also explains the rigidity of the disulfide bridge region, which manifested itself in the extreme value of the 3JHαtHβ coupling constant. The conformation with χ₁ = −60° is strongly stabilized sterically. The changes of these angles are prevented on one side by a hindrance between β protons of opposite Cys residues and on the other side by a hindrance between β-Cys protons and peptide oxygens of opposite Cys residues.

**Biological Relevance of Zn(II)–GSSG Complexes.** Two factors should be taken into account when analyzing the possible relevance of the Zn(II)–GSSG system in a biological environment: one is its relative stability, which governs the possibility of complex formation in vivo, and another is the presence of special features, which might make them important even at a low abundance. The first issue can be approached with the use of the competitivity index (CI), because it provides a method of estimating the relevance of particular complexes in a partially characterized equilibrium. As mentioned above, the CI values are concentration-dependent and therefore allow inclusion of the effects of absolute and relative abundances of individual competitors. The CIₓ₀.₀₁/₇.₄ value calculated for GSSG, 4.22 (Table 5), is lower than those calculated for likely biological low molecular weight Zn(II) ligands, ATP (5.05), GSH (4.73), and His (4.83), but the difference is not overwhelming. A direct calculation involving all four ligands in an equimolar mixture indicates the following distribution of Zn(II): GSSG, 3.7%; ATP, 22.4%; GSH, 33.9%; His, 40.0%. Furthermore, taking into account that all three ligands exist intracellularly at concentrations much higher than GSSG and available Zn(II) levels are in the nano- to picomolar range, these calculations indicate that the formation of Zn(II)–GSSG complexes intracellularly is unlikely.


To further test whether or not GSSG can compete with zinc components of biological fluids, we applied FluoZin-3, a fluorescent chelating sensor commonly used in \textit{in vivo} and \textit{in vitro} studies of Zn(II) distribution, as a thermodynamic model of a zinc pool. The sensor becomes highly fluorescent upon Zn(II) binding and has moderate-to-high affinity for Zn(II) ($K_{d} = 8.9$ nM). Figure 9 presents the fluorescence decrease of partially chelated FluoZin-3 with Zn(II) (0.1 $\mu$M FluoZin-3 and 0.05 $\mu$M Zn(II)) in the presence of a wide range of GSSG concentrations. Initial strong emission became significantly quenched (33%) after the addition of 1 mM of GSSG, while $p_{Zn}$ ($-\log[Zn^{2+}]$) increased from 8.29 to 8.46. The addition of GSSG in the range of its intracellular concentration hardly affected the FluoZin-3 fluorescence. FluoZin-3 was demonstrated to interfere with the intracellular zinc pool, and therefore such a competition experiment may be considered as a benchmark for significant participation of a competing ligand in Zn(II) distribution. The absence of such an effect clearly confirms that GSSG cannot be a significant Zn(II) chelator in intracellular fluids. However, the situation may be quite different in the extracellular space, where available Zn(II) pools may exceed micromolar levels, and there are much fewer competing ligands (e.g., ATP and GSH are absent). The lack of quantitative data in this respect for this quickly changing and highly variable milieu prevented us from performing appropriate calculations, but the potential presence of GSSG–Zn(II) complexes will be possible to test in cell culture studies.

The above results allowed us to limit the discussion of special features of Zn(II)–GSSG complexes to the ZnL$^2-$ complex. Two features of this complex appear to be important and may be functionally related to each other. One is the presence of Zn(II)-bound water molecules susceptible to deprotonation, and another is the folding of Gly residues back on them. Such water molecules, readily convertible into hydroxyl ions, are crucial for the action of a great number of Zn(II) enzymes, such as peptidases, esterases, phosphatases, etc., as well as their synthetic models. We tested whether the Zn(II)–GSSG complex might act as an esterase or phosphatase at pH 7.4, on commonly used substrates 4-nitrophenyl acetate and tris(4-nitrophenyl) phosphate, respectively, according to standard procedures and conditions. The gain in hydrolysis rate was within the experimental error in each case (data not shown), most likely due to a very low abundance of the ZnHL$_3$L$^2-$ complex, which possesses the catalytic hydroxyl ion at this pH (ca. 0.4% of total zinc).

Figure 9. The competition for Zn(II) between FluoZin-3 and GSSG. Initial concentrations of FluoZin-3 and Zn(II) were 0.1 and 0.05 $\mu$M, respectively. Fluorescence spectra were recorded at 25 °C after the addition and equilibration of 0–9.6 mM GSSG (A). $p_{Zn}$ values at each particular step were calculated according to the following equation: $p_{Zn} = -\log(K_d \times (F - F_{\text{min}})/(F_{\text{max}} - F_{\text{min}}))$, where $F_{\text{max}}$ and $F_{\text{min}}$ were measured after the addition of 100 $\mu$M ZnSO$_4$ and 100 $\mu$M EDTA (dotted line), to the initial sample (B).

Therefore, the presence of interactions between zinc-bound water molecules and Gly carboxylates in GSSG may be treated as a measure to quench the catalytic activity of Zn(II). The blocking of this interaction lowered the $pK_a$ of water by ca. 0.2 log units, increasing the abundance of the potential catalyst by 60%. On the other hand, one may conceive of the existence of specific targets for Zn(II)–GSSG complexes, e.g., specific hydrolysis substrates which may activate Zn(II)-bound water by pulling the carboxylates away. The very specific structures of Zn(II) complexes of GSSG certainly warrant further studies of these issues.

Conclusions

The correlated thermodynamic and NMR structural description of protonation equilibria and complex formation between Zn(II) ions and GSSG and its analogs revealed the role of the Gly residue in complex stabilization and structuring. The specific, coiled structures of major GSSG complexes, including specifically bound water molecules, result from the concerted action of electrostatic interactions and hydrogen bonding. A similarly intricate network of interactions is present in the structure of the ternary Zn(II) complex with GSH and l-histidine presented by us previously. Such an interplay of individually weak interactions is more and more frequently found to govern strong thermodynamic effects. The Zn(II)–GSSG complexes were not found to be active as...
hydrolases against nitrophenyl ester and phosphate substrates, but as argued above, studies into their potential biological functions and reactivities should be continued.
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