

Citation: Smolińska E, Moskot M, Jakóbkiewicz-Banecka J, Węgrzyn G, Banecki B, Szczerkowska-Dobosz A, et al. (2018) Molecular action of isoflavone genistein in the human epithelial cell line HaCaT. PLoS ONE 13(2): e0192297. https://doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192297

Editor: Nicola Amodio, University of Catanzaro, ITALY

Received: October 16, 2017

Accepted: January 22, 2018

Published: February 14, 2018

Copyright: © 2018 Smolińska et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files, except for: gene expression data have been deposited in the NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo, GEO Series accession number GSE60971), according to the Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment (MIAME) standards.

Funding: This work was supported by National Centre for Research and Development (Poland) (project grant no. NCRD - PBS1/B7/7/2012). http:// RESEARCH ARTICLE

Molecular action of isoflavone genistein in the human epithelial cell line HaCaT

Elwira Smolińska^{1,2}, Marta Moskot^{1,3}, Joanna Jakóbkiewicz-Banecka¹, Grzegorz Węgrzyn⁴, Bogdan Banecki⁵, Aneta Szczerkowska-Dobosz⁶, Dorota Purzycka-Bohdan⁶, Magdalena Gabig-Cimińska^{1,3}*

1 Department of Medical Biology and Genetics, University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland, 2 Department of Physiology, Medical University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland, 3 Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Gdańsk, Poland, 4 Department of Molecular Biology, University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland, 5 Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Intercollegiate Faculty of Biotechnology UG-MUG, Gdańsk, Poland, 6 Department of Dermatology, Venereology and Allergology, Medical University of Gdańsk, Gdańsk, Poland

* m.gabig@biol.ug.edu.pl

Abstract

Due to its strong proliferation-reducing effects on keratinocytes, and also anti-inflammatory properties, the isoflavone genistein has already been proposed as a possible antipsoriatic compound. As there is still no detailed information on this topic, we examined the effects of genistein by using an in vitro model of both, normal and "psoriasis-like" keratinocytes at this stage of our work exhaustively testing the selected flavonoid in a mono-treated experimental design. Gene expression studies revealed transcriptional changes that confirms known disease-associated pathways and highlights many psoriasis-related genes. Our results suggested that aberrant expression of genes contributing to the progress of psoriasis could be improved by the action of genistein. Genistein prevented "cytokine mix" as well as TNF-αinduced NF-kB nuclear translocation, with no effect on the PI3K signaling cascade, indicating the luck of turning this pathway into NF- κ B activation. It could have attenuated TNF- α and LPS-induced inflammatory responses by suppressing ROS activation. Regardless of the type of keratinocyte stimulation used, reduction of cytokine IL-8, IL-20 and CCL2 production (both at RNA and protein level) following genistein treatment was visible. Because investigations of other groups supported our commentary on potential administration of genistein as a potential weapon in the armamentarium against psoriasis, it is believed that this paper should serve to encourage researchers to conduct further studies on this subject.

Introduction

A broad spectrum of natural compounds is routinely applied in the daily diet of many patients with no information about possible mechanisms of their actions. Such agents with anti-inflammatory activities are applied all over the world as alternative medicines for psoriasis (Ps) because of their perceived beneficial impact on the skin. Although many treatments are available to reduce the bothersome symptoms and appearance of psoriasis, it continues to remain incurable, and its cause stays unelucidated. Within the last decade, substantial advances have



www.ncbr.gov.pl/en/. The funders had a role in the study design, data collection and analysis.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

been made in clarifying the molecular pathogenesis of psoriasis. Transcriptomic analyses have been widely used in recent years to identify differentially expressed genes (DEGs) associated with psoriasis pathology [1–6]. While there is now increasing insight into the genes conferring disease susceptibility, much less is known about the types of regulatory networks of expressed genes that define the molecular signature of the disease. Besides important mRNA expression alterations, Ps is also characterized by a specific microRNA expression profile, distinct from that of healthy skin [7]. In addition, the meta-analysis produced a reference list of consistent candidate genes for further investigation of psoriasis pathology and new therapeutic target selection with the use of genetic markers [4].

Genistein (4,5,7-trihydroxyisoflavone) is a naturally occurring plant compound that exhibits multidirectional biological action. It displays antioxidant, antiproliferative, proapoptotic, antiangiogenic, as well as estrogenic and anti-estrogenic activity. Ito's group [8] investigated topical application of Glyteer (GL, soybean) on a psoriatic model in mice. This isoflavone has also attracted attention as a potent agent in the treatment of Ps [9, 10] not only due to its antiproliferative and immunosuppressive properties but also as a mediator modulating expression of various genes whose products are involved among others in different phases of inflammation and proliferation [11]. However, the explicit mechanism of genistein's action, especially in human epithelial cells, is still not elucidated. Thus, we examined the effects of genistein on activated spontaneously immortalized human keratinocytes, "psoriasis-like" HaCaT cell line, to find new potential targets for therapy and/or to develop a tool for treatment. Despite being limited by the lack of many of the cellular players in psoriasis, including fibroblasts and inflammatory cells, our monolayer in vitro model of "psoriasis-like" HaCaT has proved to be a valuable first step model system in the evaluation of nutraceutical agents such as genistein for Ps improvement [12–16]. Our results suggest that the aberrant expression of genes contributing to the progression of Ps can be improved by the action of genistein; they also explain in detail the effects of this isoflavone on signaling cascades in the human epithelial cell line HaCaT.

Materials and methods

Cell lines, culture media and reagents

Spontaneously immortalized human keratinocytes (HaCaT cell line) were purchased from the CLS Cell Lines Service GmbH (Eppelheim, Germany) [17]. HaCaT cells from passages 35–50 were maintained in Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 medium (RPMI 1640, Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 1% antibiotic/antimycotic solution (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 1% antibiotic/antimycotic solution (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% carbon dioxide (CO₂). THP-1 cells (CLS Cell Lines Service GmbH) were routinely maintained in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 2 mM glutamine (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Dibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Dibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherlands) and 10% FBS (Dibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Bleiswijk, Netherland

Cell-viability assays

Cell viability was evaluated by MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay. For cell cytotoxic assay and proliferation assay, 1.25×10^4 or 10^3 HaCaT cells,

respectively, were seeded per well in 96-well culture plates and incubated overnight. Growth medium was then substituted with fresh medium supplemented with tested compounds at appropriate concentrations or 0.05% DMSO as a control (in cultures with tested compounds, DMSO final concentration was also adjusted to 0.05%). Following incubation for 24 and 48 hours (to test cytotoxicity) or for 7 -days (to test cell proliferation), medium was substituted with MTT solution (1 mg/mL in RPMI 1640 medium, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA); and after a 2-hour incubation at 37°C the formazan product was dissolved in DMSO and absorbance was read at 550 nm (VICTOR Multilabel Plate Reader, PerkinElmer). The optical density of formazan formed in the control was taken as 100% of cell viability. Samples were measured in quintuplicate, and the experiment was repeated three times. LC (cytotoxicity assay) and IC (proliferation assay) index values of genistein was determined for each compound in comparison to non-treated cultures (incubated with DMSO only).

Two-dimensional (2D) engineered skin psoriatic cells model development

Direct psoriatic stimulation. HaCaT cells were cultured to 80% of confluence in flasks containing RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% antibiotic/antimycotic solution and then the cells were disaggregated using 0.025% trypsin with 0.01% EDTA. Cells were seeded at a density of 4 x 10^5 cells/well in 6-well plates in defined serum-free defined keratinocyte medium (Keratinocyte-SFM, Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA) supplemented with a pituitary extract including insulin (BPE) and epidermal growth factor (EGF) (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA). After a 24-hour incubation cells were cultivated in serum-free keratinocyte medium without growth supplements for 16 hours. For further experiments, cells were stimulated with a combination of a proinflammatory "cytokine mix": IL-1A, IL-17A, IL-22, oncostatin M (OSM), and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA) 2 ng/mL each or lipopolysaccharide (LPS, from *Escherichia coli 055:B5*, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) 1 µg/mL in the presence or absence of genistein for 24 hours [21]. The control cells were left untreated.

Indirect psoriatic stimulation. The Transwell cell culture system was used to co-culture THP-1 with HaCaT. THP-1 cells (3×10^6) were cultured in the upper compartment in cell culture membrane inserts (Corning #353102), and HaCaT cells (4×10^5) were cultured in the lower compartment in 6-well plates, both cell lines in RPMI medium supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% antibiotic/antimycotic solution. For the co-cultivation studies, HaCaT cells grown in six-well plates were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS). The cell culture insert was then placed on top of the HaCaT cells to avoid physical contact of the different cell lines (indirect co-culture). HaCaT and THP-1 cells were stimulated with 1 µg/mL LPS (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) for 24 hours of co-culturing with the Transwell cell culture inserts. Additionally, 3 mL supplemented RPMI medium was added to the HaCaT monolayer (control).

DNA microarray processing and real-time quantitative RT-PCR assays for mRNA analysis

Total RNA was extracted from cells using the High Pure RNA Isolation Kit (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, USA) following the manufacturer's instructions. The quality and quantity of each RNA sample was evaluated using the RNA 6000 Nano Assay on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyser (Agilent Technologies Inc., USA). Next, total RNA was retrotranscribed with the Transcriptor First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, USA). To identify genes regulated differentially by genistein, we compared the expression levels of *ca*. 25,000 genes from genistein- and vehicle-treated keratinocytes. Gene expression data have

been deposited in the NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ geo, GEO Series accession number GSE60971), according to the Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment (MIAME) standards. Three biological replicates (*n*) were conducted for the microarray analysis with the use of Illumina's Human HT-12 v4 Expression BeadChips (Illumina Inc.). The Illumina TotalPrep RNA amplification kit (Ambion) was utilized in order to amplify total input RNA. BeadChips were scanned using the Illumina BeadArray Reader and Bead Scan software (Illumina Inc.). To identify the genes that are differentially expressed between studied groups, we have applied an arbitrary threshold in the form of fold change (FC) > 1.3, and below 0.7, with the p-value 0.05 based on *t*-test comparisons. In addition, our criteria for selection of the DEGs were dictated by other considerations. A small fold change, if it co-occurred with the respective factor, would have a much larger impact than a larger fold change when the factor was not expressed. This to say that we prefer to be less stringent in detecting the DEGs, but then we put more care in extracting the important and coherent biological signals from the output (e.g., through gene set enrichment analysis [GSEA]).

In addition, we performed statistical testing for multiple comparisons by the false discovery rate (FDR) correction calculation (Benjamini-Hochberg's test). In this, all differential expression *p*-values were FDR adjusted using the *q*-value Bioconductor package [22].

Real-time quantitative reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (real-time qRT-PCR) was carried out with the Human Reference Gene Panel (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, USA), Real-Time ready Custom Panels (panel 1: config. no. 100072633; and panel 2: config. no. 100078604, Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, USA) and the LightCycler (R) 480 Probes Master (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, USA) using the Light Cycler 480 II detection system (Roche). Expression values were normalized against three control genes *RPLP0, TBP*, and *YWHAZ* of constant expression level. The primers used for real-time qRT-PCR assessment of psoriatic HaCaT cell line stimulation were: *LOR* (Left: GCTCTGTCTGCGGCTACTCT; Right: AGTGACCTG CTGCGAGGA), *KRT10* (Left: TAGCAGCTTTGGTGGGAGTT; Right: CTGCCACCTCCGAAACTG), *S100A7* (Left: AAGCCTGCTGACGATGATG; Right: CGAGGTAATTTGTGCCCTTT), *S100A9* (Left: AGAAGATGCACGAGGGTGAC; Right: TGGCCACTGTGGTCTTAGG) and Universal ProbeLibrary Human *TBP* Gene Assay (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, USA).

Gene Ontology and gene set enrichment studies

Gene Ontology analysis and data visualization were performed using the web tools GOrilla (http://cbl-gorilla.cs.technion.ac.il/) and lists of genes with up- and down-regulated expression separately, restricting the output to biological process and cell compartment.

GSEA was performed as previously described [23, 24] to illustrate Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG)_Pathways, Biological Processes and Molecular Function enriched among genistein treated HaCaT gene sets. A nominal *p*-value < 0.01 and a FDR \leq 0.25 were used to assess the significance of the enrichment scores. GSEA ranked list of KEGG defined pathways was created with the normalized enrichment score (NES) as the leading parameter. NES is the primary statistic for examining gene set enrichment results and can be used to compare analysis results across gene sets. Additionally, a particular pathway was considered significantly enriched if its NES had a *q*-value of an FDR-correction below or equal 25%. An FDR of 25% indicates that the result is likely to be valid 3 out of 4 times. The use of a more stringent FDR cutoff may lead to overlooking potentially significant results.

PI3K phosphorylation analysis

In this part of the work we studied the activity of phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) in HaCaT cells treated with 0.05% DMSO only, stimulated with a combination of

proinflammatory "cytokine mix" and treated with 100 µM genistein or stimulated with a combination of proinflammatory "cytokine mix" and treated with wortmannin (a PI3K inhibitor). Percentage of inactivated cells, activated cells (via PI3K phosphorylation), and non-expressing cells was determined for each experimental condition. HaCaT cells were seeded at a density of 4×10^{5} cells/well into 6-well plates in defined Keratinocyte-SFM medium (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA) supplemented with a pituitary extract including BPE and EGF (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA). After 24 hours, the culture medium was replaced with serum-free keratinocyte medium without growth supplements for a 16-hour incubation. For further experiments cells were pretreated with 100 µM genistein for 2 hours, and then incubated with a combination of proinflammatory "cytokine mix" (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific CA, USA; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) for 30 minutes. The control cells were left untreated. The suspended cells were collected, and the phosphorylation of PI3K was determined by MUSE® Cell Analyzer (Merck Millipore, Germany) using a commercial kit Muse R PI3K Activation Dual Detection Kit (Merck Millipore, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. An average of 10,000 cells were analyzed for each condition. Triplicate independent experiments were conducted.

NF-KB activation assessment

For immunostaining, rabbit monoclonal antibody NF-κB p65 (D14E12) XP(R) Rabbit mAb (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, USA) was used (1:400, incubated overnight in 4°C) with a secondary antibody, anti-rabbit IgG (H+L), F(ab')2 Fragment (Alexa Fluor® 488 Conjugate, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, USA) used at 1:250 (incubated 2 hours at room temperature in the dark). Rabbit serum (10%) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA), used as a blocking buffer, eliminated all non-specific binding of the secondary antibody. Nuclei were counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Slow Fade Diamond Antifade Mountant with DAPI, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) for 1 hour in the dark. Images were taken using a fluorescent microscope (Leica) with x40 magnification. Cells were plated in chamber slides (Millicell EZ SLIDES, Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) in supplemented defined Keratinocyte-SFM medium (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA). After a 24-hour incubation, cells were cultivated in serum-free keratinocyte medium without growth supplements for 16 hours. For further experiments, the cells were pretreated with 100 µM genistein for 2 hours, and then incubated with a combination of proinflammatory "cytokine mix" (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) for 30 minutes. The control cells were left untreated.

Detection of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by fluorescent microscopy

To elucidate the mechanism of genistein-induced inhibition of the NF- κ B nuclear translocation, we performed experiments to determine the effects of the tested isoflavone compound on intracellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) accumulation. The intracellular accumulation of ROS was monitored using CellROX® Deep Red Reagent (Molecular Probes, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA). HaCaT cells were seeded in chamber slides (Millicell EZ SLIDES, Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) in supplemented defined Keratinocyte-SFM medium (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA). After a 24-hour incubation cells were further cultivated in serum-free keratinocyte medium without growth supplements for 16 hours. For experimental procedure cells were pretreated with 100 μ M genistein or 10 mM N-acetyl-l-cysteine (NAC) for 2 hours, and then incubated with a combination of proinflammatory "cytokine mix" or TNF- α 10 ng/mL or LPS 1 μ g/mL (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA); Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) for 30 minutes. The control cells were left untreated. At the end of the treatment, cells were loaded with the CellROX (R) Reagent at a final concentration of 5 μ M and incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C. Cells were then washed three times with PBS. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (Slow Fade Diamond Antifade Mountant with DAPI, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) for 1 hour in the dark. Samples were observed under a fluorescence microscope (Leica) with x40 magnification.

Analysis of ROS by fluorescent cell analyzer

Quantitative measurements of ROS was acquired with Muse \mathbb{R} Oxidative Stress Kit (Merck Millipore, Germany). HaCaT cells were seeded at a density of 4 x 10⁵ cells/well into 6-well plates in defined Keratinocyte-SFM medium (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA) supplemented with a pituitary extract including BPE and EGF (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA). After a 24-hour incubation, cells were cultivated in serum-free keratinocyte medium without growth supplements for 16 hours. For experimental analysis cells were pretreated with 100 μ M genistein or 10 mM NAC for 2 hours, and then incubated with a combination of proinflammatory "cytokine mix" or TNF- α 10 ng/mL or LPS 1 μ g/mL (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) for 30 minutes. The control cells were left untreated. Trypsinized cells were collected, and the count and percentage of cells undergoing oxidative stress based on the intracellular detection of superoxide radicals were determined by MUSE Cell Analyzer (Merck, Millipore, Germany) using a commercial kit Muse \mathbb{R} Oxidative Stress Kit (Merck Millipore, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. An average of 10,000 cells were analyzed for each condition. Triplicate independent experiments were conducted.

ELISA for cytokines

The cells were seeded at the 4 x 10^5 cells per well in 6-well-plates in defined Keratinocyte-SFM medium (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA) supplemented with a pituitary extract including BPE and EGF (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA). After a 24-hour incubation cells were cultivated in serum-free keratinocyte medium without growth supplements for 16 hours. Then, the cells were, respectively, pretreated with 100 µM genistein or 1 µM MTX for 2 hours and stimulated with a combination of proinflammatory "cytokine mix" or TNF- α 10 ng/mL or LPS 1µg/mL (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA, USA; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) for 24 h. The control cells were left untreated. The IL-1B, IL-8, IL-20, CCL2, and TGF- β 1 levels in the cell culture supernatants were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using kits purchased from EIAab Science (Wuhan, China). The optical density of each well was determined using a microplate reader (VICTOR Multilabel Plate Reader, PerkinElmer).

Results

Identification of genistein-responsive genes

No remarkable cytotoxicity features of genistein were observed in the range of tested conditions, while inhibition of proliferation of keratinocytes in a dose-dependent manner was detected (S1 Fig and raw data in S1 Table, S2 Table). Although a decline in proliferation by 50% was observed for keratinocytes incubated in the presence of about 20 μ M genistein for more than 7 days, we decided to set the concentration of genistein at 100 μ M for the following experiments, as rather short in time expositions of cells (i.e., maximally 48 hours) to the compound were carried out.

To identify genes regulated differentially by genistein, we compared the expression levels of ca. 25,000 genes from genistein- and vehicle-treated keratinocytes. Gene expression data have been deposited in the NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO, http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/ geo, GEO Series accession number GSE60971), according to the Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment (MIAME) standards. Testing the effects of genistein on human HaCaT transcriptome via the microarray analysis, we found that this compound induced significant dose- and time-dependent alterations in profiles of hundreds of transcripts (Fig 1A). As discovered in three independent assays, in total 4039 transcripts for 24 hours and 4186 for 48 hours handling with 100 µM genistein were affected. These changes included many psoriasis-related genes, which design a so-called psoriasis gene-expression profile (Fig 1B). In total, 214 psoriasis related-genes with differential expression (PRGwDE) were selected on the basis of the classification criteria referring to the most up-to-date scientific reports describing a list of genes with significantly differentiated activity obtained in both in vitro and *in vivo* analyses when studying psoriatic phenotypes, at least a couple of times stated in unrelated literature sources [1, 2, 4–6, 25–28]. Except for published psoriasis-related DEG lists, we also studied and reviewed the psoriasis associated genes database (PAGD), as well as the database of genes associated with psoriasis (dbGAP). Among those 214 PRGwDE, 39 genes (i.e. AKR1C3, ALDH3A2, AMD1, C1QBP, CCL2, CCNF, CD47, CDC25A, CEBPD, EIF5, EIF5A, FOXO1, GJB2, HPSE, HSPA8, HSPE1, HSPH1, ID1, IL-1A, IL-1B, IL-20RB, IL-8, IRAK1, KPNB1, LAD1, LDLR, MALL, MAPKAPK3, PGAM1, PGD, PI3, POR, RNF141, S100A9, SPRR1B, SRM, TCN1, TCP1 and TIMP3) belong to a common set of 2622 genes with modulated expression in cells exposed to 100 µM genistein for 24 and 48 hours. These genes are potential therapeutic targets due to the normalization of their dysfunctional activity in psoriatic cells after exposure to genistein (including some key transcripts) and may be important for the condition. The application of Fisher's exact test gave a one-tailed probability of p = 0.002523, which is significant beyond the 5% level. In total, 39 out of 214 genes belonging to the 2611 DEGs modulated by genistein are a significant quantity when referred to ca. 25,000 cellular genes. Interestingly, taking into consideration our previous results on the use of genistein-treated HDFa fibroblasts [29] we found that 19 (i.e., AKR1C3, ALDH3A2, AURKA, CCNF, CD47, CEBPD, EIF5, FOXO1, HMOX1, HSPE1, IL8, KPNA2, KPNB1, LDLR, MALL, PGD, POR, RNF141, and TIMP3) of the 39 genes deregulated upon treatment with genistein and PRGwDE were common for keratinocytes and fibroblasts, both treated with 100 µM genistein for 24 hours (S2 Fig).

Furthermore, by selecting informative genes from microarray data via Gene Ontology (GO) analysis, we recognized that tested conditions altered the expression of genes belonging to a wide range of pathways involved in "Biological processes" and "Cellular Compartment" organization (Fig 1C). The functional annotation analyses of the genes with up-regulated expression upon treatment with genistein of HaCaT highlighted GO groups associated with lipid metabolic pathways, autophagy regulation processes and oxidation-reduction processes, while for the transcripts at the reduced level, a number of processes involved in ncRNA, rRNA, nucleic acid and cell cycle procedures were revealed (Fig 1C-1). Further, GO "Cellular Compartment" categories related to intracellular, cytoplasmic and membrane-bounded organelles were highlighted for the analysis of the up-regulated genes from the comparison between genistein-treated and control keratinocytes. In turn, the examination of genes with down-regulated expression upon treatment with genistein of HaCaT showed modulation of transcripts related to nuclear and intracellular organelle parts, pre-ribosome and mitochondrial compartments (Fig 1C-2). Accordingly, bench of KEGG_Pathways enriched among genes up- and down-regulated by 100 μ M genistein treatment for 24 and 48 hours in HaCaT cells based on GSEA analysis is presented in Fig 2, sections A–D, with a significant enrichment of gene sets





Fig 1. Identification of genistein-responsive genes. (A) Graphs illustrating distribution of up- (in red) and down-regulated (in blue) genes of whole HaCaT genomes after 24 and 48 hours treatment with 30, 60, and 100 μ M genistein. The digits are numbers of transcripts identified as changed under studied conditions. DMSO-treated cells were used in control experiments. Significantly differentially expressed genes had a fold change of > 1.3, and below 0.7, with the *p*-value < 0.05 and *n* = 3. (B) Venn diagrams illustrating distribution of deregulated genes of whole HaCaT genomes after 24 hours (HaCaT_24 h) and 48 hours (HaCaT_48 h) of treatment with 100 μ M genistein or PRGwDE compared to the respective untreated conditions. (C) GO analysis by "Biological Processes" (C-1) and "Cellular Compartment" (C-2) category of the genes with up- and down-regulated expression upon 100 μ M treatment with genistein for 24 hours (black columns) and 48 hours (yellow columns) of HaCaT, with FDR \leq 0.25, fold change > 1.3 and < 0.7, *p* < 0.01 and *n* = 3.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192297.g001



ΔΤΗΨΔΥ		SIZE	NES	EDR g-val				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	PATHWAY	SIZE	NES	FDR a-
		JILL	HLS	T Dit q-var	PATHWAY	SIZE	NES	FDR q-val				
EGG_GLUTATHIONE_METABOLISM		50	1.986	0.043	KEGG NOD LIKE RECEPTOR SIGNALING PATHWAY	59	-1.638	0.249	KEGG_METABOLISM_OF_XENOBIOTICS_BY_CYTOCHROME_P450	70	1.747	0.
GG_PYRUVATE_METABOLISM		40	1.923	0.043	KEGG_PATHOGENIC_ESCHERICHIA_COU_INFECTION	55	-1.601	0.244	KEGG_ARGININE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM	54	1.712	0
GG_PHENTLALANINE_INETABOLISM		15	1.917	0.043	KEGG_PYRIMIDINE_METABOLISM	97	-1.594	0.224	KEGG_GLYCOSAMINOGLYCAN_BIOSYNTHESIS_CHONDROITIN_SULFATE	22	1.706	C
GG ARGININE AND PROLINE METABOLISM		54	1.900	0.052	KEGG_PATHWAYS_IN_CANCER	326	-1.578	0.222	KEGG_NEUROTROPHIN_SIGNALING_PATHWAY	126	1.694	0
GG ARACHIDONIC ACID METABOLISM		57	1.878	0.050	KEGG_SMALL_CELL_LUNG_CANCER	84	-1.570	0.218	KEGG_TERPENOID_BACKBONE_BIOSYNTHESIS	15	1.688	0
GG_GLYCOLYSIS_GLUCONEOGENESIS		62	1.812	0.049	KEGG_ARRHYTHMOGENIC_RIGHT_VENTRICULAR_CARDIOMYOPATHY_ARV				KEGG LYSOSOME	121	1.679	C
GG_BUTANOATE_METABOLISM		34	1.804	0.048		75	-1.567	0.205	KEGG_DRUG_METABOLISM_CYTOCHROME_P450	72	1.668	0
GG_GLYCOSYLPHOSPHATIDYLINOSITOL_GPI_ANCHOR_BIOSY	NTHESIS	25	1.778	0.056	KEGG_ALZHEIMERS_DISEASE	159	-1.560	0.205	KEGG SNARE INTERACTIONS IN VESICULAR TRANSPORT	38	1.658	(
GG_CITRATE_CYCLE_TCA_CYCLE		32	1.778	0.055	KEGG ONE CARRON ROOL BY FOLATE	17	-1.554	0.204	KEGG GLUTATHIONE METABOLISM	50	1.655	
GG_HISTIDINE_METABOLISM		29	1.776	0.054	KEGG ECM RECEPTOR INTERACTION	83	-1.527	0.215	KEGG OTHER GLYCAN DEGRADATION	15	1.628	
GG_METABOLISM_OF_XENOBIOTICS_BT_CTTOCHROME_P45	50	121	1.758	0.055	KEGG_PANCREATIC_CANCER	70	-1.518	0.209	KEGG PENTOSE PHOSPHATE PATHWAY	27	1.627	
GG FATTY ACID METABOLISM		40	1 743	0.054	KEGG_NUCLEOTIDE_EXCISION_REPAIR	44	-1.510	0.206	KEGG VALUE LEUCINE AND ISOLEUCINE DEGRADATION	44	1 6 2 2	
GG PENTOSE PHOSPHATE PATHWAY		27	1.739	0.053	KEGG_BLADDER_CANCER	42	-1.509	0.198	KEGG WALKE LEOCINE AND ISOLEOCINE DEGRADATION	44	1.025	
GG_PROPANOATE_METABOLISM		33	1.690	0.062	KEGG_RNA_POLYMERASE	29	-1.508	0.190	NEGG_WINI_SIGNALING_PATHWAT	151	1.015	
GG_PEROXISOME		76	1.651	0.067	KEGG_HEMATOPOIETIC_CELL_LINEAGE	87	-1.494	0.198	KEGG_P53_SIGNALING_PATHWAY	67	1.608	
GG_TRYPTOPHAN_METABOLISM		40	1.650	0.066	KEGG_GAP_JUNCTION	86	-1.493	0.193	KEGG_ENDOCYTOSIS	181	1.606	
GG_STEROID_HORMONE_BIOSYNTHESIS		55	1.649	0.065	KEGG_UNA_REPLICATION	36	-1.491	0.187	KEGG_RETINOL_METABOLISM	64	1.604	
GG_DRUG_METABOLISM_CYTOCHROME_P450		72	1.635	0.067	KEGG PNA DECRADATION	12	-1.469	0.185	KEGG_STARCH_AND_SUCROSE_METABOLISM	52	1.595	
GG_OTHER_GLYCAN_DEGRADATION		15	1.631	0.066	KEGG GINOVVIATE AND DICARROVVIATE METADOLISM	55	-1.469	0.183	KEGG_SYSTEMIC_LUPUS_ERYTHEMATOSUS	136	1.584	
GG_VALINE_LEUCINE_AND_ISOLEUCINE_DEGRADATION		44	1.606	0.074	KEGG EPITHEIIAL CELL SIGNALING IN HELICOBACTER PYLOPI INFECTI	10	-1.402	0.155	KEGG_PROPANOATE_METABOLISM	33	1.579	
GG_SYSTEMIC_LUPUS_ERVTHEMATOSUS		136	1.569	0.086	ON	67	-1.452	0.198	KEGG_HISTIDINE_METABOLISM	29	1.570	
GG ADIROCYTOKINE SIGNALING RATHWAY		66	1.502	0.092	KEGG CELL ADHESION MOLECULES CAMS	134	-1.445	0.198	KEGG PPAR SIGNALING PATHWAY	68	1.563	
GG TYROSINE METABOLISM		42	1.558	0.088	KEGG_MAPK_SIGNALING_PATHWAY	262	-1.444	0.194	KEGG BASAL CELL CARCINOMA	55	1.562	
GG NITROGEN METABOLISM		23	1.534	0.099	KEGG_GLIOMA	64	-1.442	0.193	KEGG EPITHELIAL CELL SIGNALING IN HELICOBACTER PYLORI INFECTION	67	1.557	
GG_LINOLEIC_ACID_METABOLISM		28	1.530	0.097	KEGG_WNT_SIGNALING_PATHWAY	151	-1.440	0.190	KEGG PHENYI ALANINE METABOLISM	18	1.557	
GG_FRUCTOSE_AND_MANNOSE_METABOLISM		34	1.515	0.099	KEGG_BASE_EXCISION_REPAIR	33	-1.425	0.190	KEGG BRIMARY BILE ACID BIOSYNTHESIS	16	1 540	
GG_PPAR_SIGNALING_PATHWAY		68	1.507	0.098	KEGG_GLYCOSAMINOGLYCAN_DEGRADATION	21	-1.397	0.210		10	1.540	
					KEGG_ITPE_IL_DIABETES_MELLITUS	46	-1.386	0.214	REGG_PEROXISOME	76	1.532	
						23	1 275	0.202	KEGG_FATTT_ACID_METABOLISM	40	1.509	
							-1.575	012.00	KEGG_ADIPOCYTOKINE_SIGNALING_PATHWAY	66	1.500	
									INCOME AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTIO			
									KEGG_GLYCINE_SERINE_AND_THREONINE_METABOLISM	31	1.484	
D				E					KEGG_GLYCINE_SERINE_AND_THREONINE_METABOLISM KEGG_GLYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM	31 75	1.484 1.464	C
D	6176	NEC	EDP a	E					KEGG_GLYCINE_SERINE_AND_THREONINE_METABOLISM KEGG_GLYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM	31 75	1.484 1.464	(
D PATHWAY	SIZE	NES	FDR q- val	E	۱۵۵ μM 24 h GENISTEIN 100 μM 24 h				KEGG_GLYCINE_SERINE_AND_THREONINE_METABOLISM KEGG_GLYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h GENISTEIN 10	31 75 Ο μΜ 48 h	1.484 1.464	C
D PATHWAY	SIZE	NES	FDR q- val	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLU	4 100 μM 24 h	OR_SIGN	NALING_P	ATHWAY	KEGG_GUYUNE_SERINE_AND_THERONINE_METABOLISM KEGG_GUYUEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARGININE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM KEGG_ARGININE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM	31 75 Ο μΜ 48 h GENIC_ESCH	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_cori
D PATHWAY KEGG, PATHOGENIC, ESCHERICHIA, COLI, INFECTION	SIZE 55	NES -1.684	FDR q- val 0.198	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLU	N 100 µM 24 h GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h JTATHIONE_METABOLISM KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT	OR_SIGN	NALING_P	PATHWAY	EEGG_GLYCINE_SERINE_AND_THREONINE_METABOLISM EEGG_GLYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h GERUSTEIN 100 µM 48 h GEGG_GLYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GEGG_GLYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GEGG_GLYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GEGG_GLYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GEGG_GLYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GEGG_GLYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GEGG_GLYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GEGG_GLYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GEGG_GLYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCH	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_coli_
D PATHWAY KEGG ,PATHOGENIC, ESCHERICHIA_COU_INFECTION KEGG , RIBOSOME	SIZE 55 87	NES -1.684 -1.666	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLU 8 °	4 100 μM 24 h TATHIONE_METABOLISM KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT 5 8 6 1.000	OR_SIGN	NALING_P	YATHWAY	EEGG_GLYCINE_SERINE_AND_THREONINE_METABOLISM EEGG_GLYCEROPHOSHIOURID_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARGININE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM g 04 g 04 g 04	31 75 0 μM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_coli_
D PATHWAY KEGG_PATHOGENIC_ESCHERICHIA_COU_INFECTION KEGG_RUSOSMIE	SIZE 55 87 120	NES -1.684 -1.666 -1.658	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146		A100 JIM 24 h GENISTEIN 100 JIM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPI	OR_SIGN	NALING_P	ATHWAY	EEGG_GUTCINE_SERINE_AND_THREONINE_METABOLISM EEGG_GUTCEROPHOSHUPID_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARGININE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM B 9 9 9<	31 75 0 μM 48 h GENIC_ESCH	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_COLI_
D PATHWAY KEGG PATHOGENIC_ESCHERICHA_COU_INFECTION KEGG RIBOSOME KEGG CHURK METAROLISM	SIZE 55 87 120 156	NES -1.684 -1.666 -1.658 -1.649	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.140	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLU	4100 µM 24 h TATIHIONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT	OR_SIGN	NALING_P	YATHWAY	EEGG_GUTCINE_SERINE_AND_THREONINE_METADOLISM EEGG_GUTCROPHOSHDIP_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM B <	31 75 0 μM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_coli_
D PATHWAY KEGG_PATHOGENIC_ESCHERICHIA_COU_INFECTION KEGG_RUSSOME KEGG_CILL_CYCLE KEGG_CULLINIK_METABOLISM	SIZE 55 87 120 156 26	NES -1.684 -1.666 -1.658 -1.649 -1.649	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.140 0.129	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLU soos tea tea tea tea tea tea tea tea tea tea	A 100 µM 24 h JTATHIONE METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h StathiONE METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT	OR_SIGN	NALING_P	YATHWAY	EEGG_GUTURE_SERINE_AND_THREONINE_METABOLISM EEGG_GUTUREOPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARGININE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM Strateging Strateging <	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = -1.684	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_cou_
D aathway IEEEG, pathogenic, Eschericha, cou_infection IEEG, pathogenic, Eschericha, cou_infection IEEEG, pathogenic, eschericha, cou IEEEG, pathogenic, eschericha, cou IEEE, pathogenic, eschericha, cou IEE	SIZE 55 87 120 156 26	NES -1.684 -1.666 -1.658 -1.649 -1.630 1.617	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.140 0.129	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLU (E2) (E2) (E2) (E2) (E2) (E2) (E2) (E2)	4100 µM 24 h TJTATHIONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT	OR_SIGN	NALING_P	YATHWAY	EGG_GUTCINE_SERINE_AND_THREONINE_METABOLISM EGG_GUTCROPHOSPHOLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_RARGINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 20 µM 48 h GENISTEIN 20 µM	31 75 0 μM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = -1.684 FDR = 0.198	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_cou_
D PATHWAY KEGG_PATHOGENIC_ESCHERICHIA_COU_INFECTION KEGG_RUSSOME KEGG_CILL_CYCLE KEGG_UNUIK_METABOLISM KEGG_PYRIMIDINE_METABOLISM	SIZE 55 87 120 156 26 97	NES -1.684 -1.666 -1.658 -1.649 -1.630 -1.617	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.140 0.129 0.123	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLU (E3) (E3) (E3) (E3) (E3) (E3) (E3) (E3)	A 100 µM 24 h JTATHIONE METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG NOD LIKE RECEPT	OR_SIGN	VALING_P	YATHWAY	EEGG_GUTCINE_SERINE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_GUTCEROPHOSPID_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_AND EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGININE_METABOL	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = -1.684 FDR = 0.198 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_cou_
D PATHWAY KEGG, PATHOGENIC, ESCHERICHA, COU_INFECTION KEGG, CILL, OYCLE KEGG, PURINE, METABOLISM KEGG, PURINE, METABOLISM KEGG, PATHIODIE, METABOLISM KEGG, PATHIODIE, CANER METABOLISM	SIZE 55 87 120 156 26 97 70	NES -1.684 -1.666 -1.658 -1.649 -1.630 -1.617 -1.614	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.140 0.129 0.123 0.114	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLU euoy, tuemutyiuu (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S)	4100 µM 24 h TJTATHIONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	OR_SIGN	NALING_P	ATHWAY	EEGG_GUTCINE_SERINE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_GUTCROPHOSPHOLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_RARGINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	31 75 0 μM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI FDR = -1.684 FDR = 0.198 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_cou_
D PATHWAY IEGG PATHOGENIC_ESCHERICHIA_COU_INFECTION IEGG RESOME IEGG CHLICYCLE IEGG PANICRATEGLISSM IEGG PANICRATIC_CANICR IEGG PANICRATIC_CANICR IEGG PANICRATIC_CANICR	SIZE 55 87 120 156 26 97 70 88	NES -1.684 -1.666 -1.658 -1.649 -1.630 -1.617 -1.614 -1.593	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.140 0.129 0.123 0.114 0.131	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GUL (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E) (E)	A 100 µM 24 h JTATHIONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h GENISTEI	OR_SIGN	NALING_P	ATHWAY	EEGG_GUTCINE_SERIEADO_THRECONNE_METADOLISM EEGG_GUTCEROPHOSPICAUETADOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h EEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h EEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h EEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_AND_PROLINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGUINE_AND_PROLINE_AN	31 75 0 μM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = -1.684 FDR = 0.198 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_cou_
D anthway tege, Mathogenic, Eschericha, coul, infection tege, Irubosome tege, Irubic, Methodusm tege, Irubic, Could State tege, Irubic, Could State tege, Irubic, Could State tege, Aportosis tege, Maturation tege, Maturation tege, Aportosis tege, Aportosis	SIZE 55 87 120 156 26 97 70 88 88 85	NES -1.684 -1.658 -1.658 -1.649 -1.630 -1.617 -1.614 -1.593 -1.539	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.146 0.129 0.123 0.114 0.131 0.173	E GENISTEIM KEGG_GLU (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S)	Al 100 µM 24 h TJATHIONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01 01		NALING_P	YATHWAY	GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_RISTEIN 100 µM 4	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = -1.684 FDR = 0.198 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_cou_
D SATHWAY LEGG_PATHOGENIC_ESCHERICHIA_COU_INFECTION LEGG_RISOME LEGG_CILL_CYCLE LEGG_DIVOLCOORD SECONBINATION LEGG_PANCERATIC_CANCER LEGG_PANCERATIC_CANCER LEGG_PANCESTEINENE_MEDIATED_OOCYTE_MATURATION LEGG_NAD_GESALTION	SIZE 55 87 120 156 26 97 70 88 88 85 55	NES -1.684 -1.666 -1.658 -1.649 -1.630 -1.617 -1.614 -1.593 -1.539 -1.529	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.129 0.123 0.114 0.131 0.173 0.173	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLU suoos (S3) (S3) (S3) (S3) (S3) (S3) (S3) (S3)	A 100 µM 24 h JTATHIONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT G G GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT G G GENISTEIN 200 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT G G G G G G G G G G G G G		REFEC	YATHWAY	GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_GUCKEOPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARGINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_PATION GENISTEIN 100 µM 48	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = -1.684 FDR = 0.198 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_cou_
D CEGG, PATHOGENIC, ESCHERICHA, COU, INFECTION EEGG, IRBOSOME EEGG, IRBOSOME EEGG, IRBOSOME EEGG, IRBOSOME EEGG, IRBOSOME EEGG, IRBOSOME EEGG, IRBOSOME EEGG, PARCEATEC, CAREER EEGG, APOCOSTERIONE, MEDIATED, OCCYTE, MATURATION EEGG, RAUCESTEDE, EXISTON, REPAIR	SIZE 55 87 120 156 26 97 70 88 85 55 44	NES -1.684 -1.666 -1.658 -1.649 -1.630 -1.614 -1.539 -1.529 -1.515	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.140 0.129 0.123 0.114 0.131 0.173 0.173	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLU	A 100 µM 24 h JTATHIONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		NALING_P	ATHWAY	GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARCENDERADURE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARCENDERADURE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_PARCINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_PARCINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM HEGG_PARCINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM HEGG_PARCINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM HEGG_PARCINE_AND_PROLINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM HEGG_PARCINE_AND_PROLINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM HEGG_PARCINE_AND_PROLINE_A	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = -1.684 FDR = 0.198 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_coli_
D SATHWAY LEGG_PATHOGENIC_ESCHERICHIA_COU_INFECTION LEGG_RISOME LEGG_CILL_CYCLE LEGG_DIVOLCOOLS RECOMBINATION LEGG_PATCRATIC_CANCER LEGG_PATCRATIC_CANCER LEGG_PATCRATIC_CANCER LEGG_NAD.GEGALATION LEGG_NALCOTIONE_LEADATION LEGG_NALCOTIONE_LEADATION LEGG_NALCOTIONE_LEXCISION_REPAIR LEGG_LIVOLOTIONE (SCALSON_REPAIR LEGG_LIVOLOTIONE (SCALSON_REPAIR LEGG_LIVOLOTIONE (SCALSON_REPAIR LEGG_LIVOLOTIONE (SCALSON_REPAIR LEGG_LIVOLOTIONE (SCALSON_REPAIR LEGG_LIVOLOTIONE (SCALSON_REPAIR LEGG_LIVOLOTIONE (SCALSON_REPAIR LEGG_LIVOLOTIONE (SCALSON_REPAIR LEGG_LIVOLOTIONE (SCALSON_REPAIR) LEGG_LIVOLOTIONE (SCALSON_REPAIR) LEGG_LIVOL	SIZE 55 87 120 156 26 97 70 88 85 55 54 44 21	NES -1.684 -1.666 -1.658 -1.639 -1.639 -1.614 -1.539 -1.539 -1.529 -1.515 -1.501	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.140 0.129 0.123 0.114 0.131 0.173 0.175 0.170	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLU (S) (S)	A 100 µM 24 h JTATHIONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT G G G MIS - 1.468 FOR - 0.03 Pval = 0.0 GEN Crit GEN CRIT		NALING_P	athway	EEGG_GUTCINE_SERIE_AND_THREENINE_METADOLISM EEGG_GUTCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINIE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINE_AND_THREENINE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINE_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ARGINE_AND_THREENINE_AND_THREE	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = -1.684 FOR = 0.198 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_cou
D PATHWAY REGG, PATHOGENIC, ESCHERICHA, COL, INFECTION REGG, DIBOSOME REGG, CILL, CICL REGG, DINICE, CALL REGG, DINICE, CALL REGG, DAVICE, TATO, CANCER REGG, APOPTOSIS REGG, PAOCESTERONE, MEDIATED_OCCYTE, MATURATION REGG, NUCLEOTIC, EXCISION, REPAIR REGG, RUCCOSTANINOGI VCAN, DEGRADATION REGG, RUCCOSTANINOGI VCAN, DEGRADATION REGG, RUC, DEGRADATION REGG, RUC, DECRISON, REPAIR REGG, RUCCOSTANINOGI VCAN, DEGRADATION REGG, RUC, DECRISON, REPAIR	SIZE 555 87 120 156 26 97 70 88 88 85 55 44 421 29	NES -1.684 -1.666 -1.658 -1.649 -1.617 -1.614 -1.593 -1.529 -1.515 -1.515 -1.501	FDR q- val 0.198 0.146 0.140 0.129 0.123 0.114 0.173 0.173 0.175 0.170 0.171	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLU auory tu curry (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S)	A 100 µM 24 h TJTATHONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		RIFIC2 RIFIC2 RIFIC2 CCL11 RELA CRUK	YATHWAY	EEGG_GUYCINE_SERIERADD_THREEDINE_METADOLISM EEGG_GUYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARCININE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = 1.684 FOR = 0.198 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_COLI_
D ATTHWAY EGG (ATTOGENIC, ESCHERICHA_COU_INFECTION EGG (ATTOGENIC, ESCHERICHA_COU_INFECTION EGG (ATTOGENIC, ESCHERICHA, COU_INFECTION EGG (ATTOGENIC, METADOLSM EGG (ATTOGENIC, METADOLSM EGG (ATTOGENIC, ATTOGENICATION EGG (ATTOGENICATION EGG (ATTOGENICATION EGG (ATTOGENICATION EGG SMALL (EGL LUNG CANCER EGG SMALL (EGL LUNG CANCER	SIZE 55 87 120 156 26 97 70 88 85 55 44 21 29 84	NES -1.684 -1.666 -1.658 -1.649 -1.630 -1.617 -1.614 -1.539 -1.529 -1.529 -1.515 -1.501 -1.459	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.140 0.129 0.123 0.114 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.175 0.170 0.171 0.193	E GENISTEIM KEGG_GLU (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S) (S)	4 100 µM 24 h TJTATHONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0		RIFEZ RIGOL CELLA REAL REAL REAL REAL REAL REAL REAL	YATHWAY	GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARIVENEAND_THERONNE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARIVENEAND_ROLINE_METABOLISM U g 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	31 75 0 μM 48 h GENIC_ESCH NES = -1.684 FOR = 0.198 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_coll_
D Construction	SIZE 55 87 120 156 26 97 70 88 88 85 55 44 4 21 29 84 44	NES -1.684 -1.666 -1.658 -1.649 -1.630 -1.614 -1.593 -1.529 -1.525 -1.501 -1.487 -1.458	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.129 0.123 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.175 0.170 0.171 0.193	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLL NOOS tHOUMUPJUNG	A100 µM 24 h JTATHONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		NALING_P	ATHWAY	EEGG_GUYCINE_SERIERADD_THREEDINE_METABOLISM EEGG_GUYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h REGG_RARGININE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = -1.684 FOR = 0.198 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	_COLI_
D ATHWAY EGG_PATHOGENIC_ESCHERICHA_COU_INFECTION EGG_BIBOSOME EGG_BIBOSOME EGG_DIBOSOME EGG_DIB	SIZE 55 87 120 156 26 97 70 88 85 55 44 21 29 84 42 21	NES -1.684 -1.658 -1.658 -1.649 -1.630 -1.617 -1.617 -1.613 -1.539 -1.539 -1.539 -1.529 -1.515 -1.449 -1.449 -1.515 -1.515 -1.515 -1.449 -1	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.140 0.123 0.123 0.114 0.173 0.173 0.175 0.170 0.171 0.193 0.184	E GENISTEIM KEGG_GLU avos treumypup g	4100 µM 24 h TJTTHIONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		RIFEZ RODI CCLII RELA CHUK RELA CCLII RELA CHUK RELA CHUK RELA CHUK RELA CHUK RELA CHUK RELA CHUK RELA CHUK RELA CHUK RELA CHUK CHUK CHUK CHUK CHUK CHUK CHUK CHUK	YATHWAY	GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARICINAR_AND_THREONINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARICINAR_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM U GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARICINAR_AND U GENISTEIN 100 µM 48	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES=-1.684 FOR=0.198 P val=0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	
D ATHWAY EGG_ATHOGENIC_ESCHERICHIA_COU_INFECTION EGG_NIBOSOME EGG_UCLLCCCL EGG_UNDOXOGUS RECOMBINATION EGG_PATRIMONIC_METABOLISM EGG_PATCREATC_CANEER EGG_ADACERTECLCCANEER EGG_RANCECONTECCENSION REPAIR EGG_RANCEGATORECESSION REPAIR EGG_RANCEGATORECESSION EGG_RANCER EGG_RAN	SIZE 55 87 120 156 266 97 70 88 85 55 44 21 29 84 44 21 29 84 22 113	NES -1.684 -1.658 -1.659 -1.639 -1.614 -1.539 -1.515 -1.501 -1.529 -1.515 -1.501 -1.459 -1.459 -1.458 -1.424 -1.424	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.140 0.123 0.114 0.131 0.173 0.175 0.170 0.171 0.193 0.184 0.202	E GENISTEIN KEGG-GLU oos (S3) (S3) U U U	A100 µM 24 h JTATHONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		REFEZ NODIL NODIL NODIL NODIL NODIL NOVE NOVE NOVE NOVE NOVE NOVE NOVE NOVE	ATHWAY	EEGG_QUYCINE_SERIER_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_GUYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h REGG_ARRINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = 1.684 Pval = 0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	
D ATHWAY Geo, INDOSMIC, ESCHERICHIA, COU, INFECTION GEO, INDOSMIE GEO, INDOSMIE GEO, CANCER, METABOLISM GEO, PRINKOME, METABOLISM GEO, PRINKOME, METABOLISM GEO, PRINKOME, METABOLISM GEO, PRINKOME, MENTABOLISM GEO, PRINKOME, GENTABOLISM GEO, ANDOST, STERNER GEO, ANDOST, STERNER GEO, ANDOST, STERNER GEO, ANDOST, STERNER GEO, STERNER, LEIL, LING, CANCER GEO, MANA, LEIL, LING, CANCER GEO, ANDOST, MENORIE GEO, GUADOTTO, MECOSIS GEO, AND, GENTABOLISM GEO, GUADOTT, MEGOSIS GEO, MAN, REJCHARCEN GEO, GUADOTT, CANCER GEO, GUADO	SIZE 55 87 120 156 26 97 70 88 85 55 44 21 29 84 4 42 113 36	NES -1.684 -1.666 -1.658 -1.630 -1.617 -1.614 -1.539 -1.529 -1.529 -1.521 -1.487 -1.487 -1.459 -1.424 -1.424 -1.420	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.129 0.123 0.114 0.133 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.170 0.171 0.193 0.184 0.202 0.197	E GENISTEIN KEGG-GLU SO SI SI SI SI SI	4100 µM 24 h TJTTHIONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEG_NOD_LIKE_RECEP 100 µM 24 h Comparison of the state of the		NALING_P	ATHWAY	GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARICHROPHOSPHOLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARICHROPHOSPHOLINE_METABOLISM H H H H H H H H H H H H H	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = -1.684 FOR = 0.198 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	
Control C	SIZE 55 87 120 55 26 97 70 88 85 55 55 44 21 29 84 42 113 36 6 23	NES -1.684 -1.666 -1.658 -1.639 -1.617 -1.614 -1.539 -1.529 -1.515 -1.529 -1.515 -1.5487 -1.459 -1.459 -1.458 -1.459 -1.558 -1.559 -1.558 -1.559 -1.558 -1.559 -1.558 -1.559 -1.558 -1.559 -1.558 -1.559 -1.558 -1.559 -1.558 -1.558 -1.559 -1.558 -1.558 -1.559 -1.558 -1.558 -1.558 -1.558 -1.559 -1.558 -1.558 -1.558 -1.558 -1.459	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.140 0.129 0.123 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.175 0.170 0.171 0.173 0.175 0.170 0.171 0.193	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLL aooy tumuuppuu	A100 µM 24 h JTATHONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		NALING_P	ATHWAY	EEGG_QUYCINE_SERIER_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_GUYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h REGG_ARRINE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM SUBJECT STEP 10 10 µM 48 h REGG_PATHO SUBJECT STEP 10 µM 48 h SUBJECT STEP 10 µM 48 h	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = -1.684 FOR = 0.184 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	COLI_
D ATHWAY EGG_PATHOGENIC_ESCHERICHIA_COU_INFECTION EGG_RIBOSOME EGG_RIBOSOME EGG_ROWENERTABOLISM EGG_PANIELCYCLE EGG_PANIELCYCL	SIZE 55 87 1206 26 97 70 88 85 55 55 84 4 4 21 29 84 42 113 36 3 23 23	NES -1.684 -1.666 -1.658 -1.649 -1.630 -1.617 -1.614 -1.539 -1.529 -1.529 -1.515 -1.501 -1.487 -1.487 -1.428 -1.424 -1.426 -1.426 -1.414	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.129 0.123 0.123 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.175 0.170 0.171 0.197 0.184 0.202 0.197 0.199	E GENISTEIN KEGG-GLU SSI SSI SSI SSI SSI SSI SSI SSI SSI SS	4100 µM 24 h TJTTHIONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEP 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		RURZ RODI CCLLI RELA CCLI RELA CAMPAA SUGTI ZAP MAPPLO NUMPAA SUGTI ZAP	YATHWAY	GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARICHAROPHOSPHOLIPIG_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARICHAROPHOSPHOLIPIG_METABOLISM HIGHING HOLIPIG_METABOLISM HIGHING HOLIPIG_METABOLISM HIGHING HOLIPIG_HOLIPIG_METABOLISM HIGHING HOLIPIG_HOLI	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = 1.684 FOR = 0.198 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA	TUBB ARIG TUBB ARICL TUBBL ARCL
ATHWAY EGG _NATHOGENIC_ESCHERICHA_COU_INFECTION GGG _INLOCOLO ESCHERICHA_COU_INFECTION GGG _INLOCOLO ESCONSINATION GGG _INLOCOLO ESCONSINATION GGG _NATCRATC, CANER EGG _NACCRATC, REVAIR EGG _NACCRAT	SIZE 55 87 120 156 26 97 70 88 88 85 55 44 421 29 84 42 113 36 23 23 23 41	NES -1.684 -1.656 -1.658 -1.639 -1.617 -1.614 -1.539 -1.519 -1.515 -1.501 -1.515 -1.501 -1.459 -1.515 -1.501 -1.459 -1.459 -1.459 -1.529 -1.515 -1.501 -1.459 -1.459 -1.459 -1.529 -1.515 -1.501 -1.459 -1.459 -1.459 -1.459 -1.459 -1.459 -1.459 -1.459 -1.459 -1.459 -1.459 -1.459 -1.459 -1.459 -1.459 -1.459 -1.445 -	FDR q- val 0.198 0.166 0.140 0.129 0.123 0.114 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.175 0.170 0.171 0.193 0.184 0.202 0.197 0.190 0.184	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLU avoy Statu Stat	A100 µM 24 h JTATHONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h GENISTEIN		REFEZ NOCILI CELL CELL CELL CELL CELL CELL CELL	итнwау	EEGG_QUYCINE_SERIER_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_QUYCEROPHOSPHOLIPID_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h REGG_ARGININE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM SUBJECT 10 00 µM 48 h FOR -0.120 Prail -0.0 GENISTEIN 10 µM 48 h FOR -0.120 FOR -	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = 1.684 FOR = 0.198 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA_	TUBB ARIC TUBB ARIC TUBB ARIC COLI
D ATHWAY EGG_MOSOME EG	SIZE 55 87 120 156 26 97 70 88 85 55 44 42 113 36 23 23 41	NES .1.684 1.668 1.658 1.649 .1.649 .1.644 1.545 1.545 1.551 1.551 1.551 1.489 .1.459 1.559 1.429 1.429 1	FDR q- val 0.198 0.146 0.140 0.129 0.123 0.114 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.175 0.170 0.171 0.193 0.184 0.184 0.187	E GENISTEIN KEG_GLU	4100 µM 24 h TJTTHIONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h KEGG_NOD_LIKE_RECEPT 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		RFFIZ RODI COLL COLL COLL COLL COLL COLL COLL COL	ATHWAY	GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARIXEROPHOSPHOLIPIG_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARIXENE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARIXENTERN 10	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES=-1.684 FOR=0.198 P.val=0.0	1.484 1.464	COLI_
Caracteria and a second a s	SIZE 55 87 120 120 156 26 97 70 88 85 55 44 42 29 84 44 22 36 23 36 41 13 36 83 41 46 83	NES -1.684 4.666 1.658 4.639 4.630 4.637 4.539 4.535 4.535 4.535 4.547 4.459 4.444 4.442 4.442 4.444 4.441 4.441	FDR q- val 0.198 0.140 0.140 0.140 0.123 0.140 0.123 0.170 0.173 0.170 0.171 0.170 0.171 0.170 0.171 0.170 0.184 0.827	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLU avoy Start Stat	A100 µM 24 h TJTATHONE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h GENISTEIN		AND A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT O	итнwау	EEGG_QUYCINE_SERIER_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_QUYCINE_SERIER_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h REGG_ARGININE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = 1.684 FOR = 0.198 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464	ТОВВ АЯКО NCL ТОВВА АКТА КАТЬВ WAS
D CIEGG, DATHOGENIC, ESCHERICHA, COU, INFECTION IEGG, MIDSOMIC, ESCHERICHA, COU, INFECTION IEGG, MIDSOMIC, ESCHERICHA, COU, INFECTION IEGG, PARCHATC, METAROLISM IEGG, HOMOLIOGOUS, BECOMBINATION IEGG, ANDROLIGO, CANCER IEGG, MICLOTOLE, CANCER IEGG, MICLOTOLE, CANCER IEGG, INAU, CELL, UING, CANCER IEGG, INAU, CELL, INAU, CELL, INAU, CANCER IEGG, INAU, CELL, INAU, CANCER IEGG, INAU, CELL, INAU, CANCER IEGG, INAU, CELL, INAU, CELL, INAU, CANCER IEGG, INAU, CELL, INAU, CELL, INAU, CANCER IEGG, INAU, INAU, IEGR, INAU, CELL, INAU, CELL, INAU, CELL, INAU, CELL,	SIZE 555 87 120 156 26 26 770 88 85 55 24 42 113 6 223 23 23 23 44 6 88 71	NES 1.684 1.666 1.649 1.630 1.647 1.617 1.614 1.555 1.555 1.555 1.555 1.429 1.429 1.429 1.441 1.445 1.441 1.445 1.441	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.140 0.129 0.114 0.123 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.174 0.196 0.198 0.197 0.197 0.197 0.197 0.197 0.197 0.197 0.197 0.197 0.197 0.197 0.197 0.197 0.197 0.197 0.198 0.199 0.199 0.199 0.198 0.198 0.199 0.0190 0.199 0.01900 0.0190 0.0190 0.0190 0.0190000000000	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLU	A 100 µM 24 h TJTTHIONE_METABOLISM		RIPPI2 RIPPI2	ATHWAY	GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARICHROPHOSPHOLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARICHNE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARICHNE_AND_ROLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARICHNE_AND	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES=-1.684 FOR=0.198 P.val=0.0	1.484 1.464	TUBB ARHIGI NCL TUBBE COLL COLL KRT15 WAS
D AATHWAY Keege parmogenic, escherichia, coll, infection Keege parmogenic, escherichia, coll, infection Keege parmogenic, escherichia, coll, infection Keege parmone, metradousm	SIZE 555 87 120 26 97 70 88 55 55 55 88 88 83 83 84 41 42 23 36 36 36 84 41 46 83 71 72	NES .1.684 1.666 1.658 1.647 1.617 1.617 1.617 1.617 1.519 1.519 1.519 1.519 1.519 1.519 1.544 1.441 1.4420 1.4414 1.4411 1.4421 1.4411 1.4421 1.4411 1.4421 1.433 1.333	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.158 0.158 0.158 0.129 0.129 0.129 0.129 0.129 0.131 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.173 0.170 0.193 0.174 0.193 0.202 0.202 0.184 0.184 0.184	E GENISTEIN KEGC_GLU ovs toomuyjug	GENISTEIN 100 µM 24 h TJTTTHIONE_METABOLISM		КИРЦ	ATHWAY	GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARIVENDAME_AND_THREONINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h KEGG_ARIVENDAME_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NSS - L64 Pror = 0.08 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464	COLI_
D PATHWAY ECCE, PATHOGENIC, ESCHERICHA, COU, INFECTION ECCE, PATHOGENIC, ESCHERICHA, COU, INFECTION ECCE, PATHOGENIC, ESCHERICHA, COU, INFECTION ECCE, PATHOGENIC, CANCER ECCE, NONCOLOUS, ECCOMBINATION ECCE, INFRANCE, CANCER ECCE, NAN, COLUM, CANCER ECCE, NAN, COLUM, DEGADATION ECCE, INFRANCE, ECKION, REPAIR ECCE, MAN, CELL, LUNG, CANCER ECCE, NAN, COLUM, ESKIN, ESKI ECCE, MARL, CELL, LUNG, CANCER ECCE, NAN, COLUM, DEGADATION ECCE, NAN, COLUM, DEGADATION ECCE, MAR, CELL, LUNG, CANCER ECCE, MARL, CELL, LUNG, CANCER ECCE, MARA, CELL, LUNG, CANCER ECCE, MARANCE, REPAIR ECCE,	SIZE 555 87 120 156 26 97 70 88 85 55 54 44 42 113 36 23 23 32 36 83 71 77 72 114	NES 1.684 1.666 1.649 1.639 1.637 1.617 1.617 1.533 1.535 1.551 1.551 1.551 1.489 1.459 1.421 1.421 1.421 1.421 1.421 1.421 1.421 1.421 1.421 1.421 1.421 1.421 1.421 1.421 1.421 1.421 1.421 1.328 1.321 1.32	FDR q- val 0.198 0.158 0.146 0.123 0.134 0.123 0.134 0.133 0.134 0.133 0.134 0.137 0.170 0.170 0.170 0.197 0.190 0.194 0.202 0.194 0.194	E GENISTEIN KEGG_GLU	Aloo µM 24 h JTATHIONE_METABOLISM		MARLING P MARLES COLOR COLL COLL COLL COLL COLL COLL COL	ATHWAY	EEGG_QUYCINE_SERIER_AND_THREENINE_METABOLISM EEGG_ACUTEROPHOSPHOLINE_METABOLISM GENISTEIN 100 µM 48 h EEGG_ARGININE_AND_PROLINE_METABOLISM 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 0	31 75 0 µM 48 h GENIC_ESCHI NES = -1.684 FOR = 0.198 P val = 0.0	1.484 1.464 ERICHIA	СОЦІ_ СОЦІ_ АРКСЕ ТУВВА АРКСЕ ТУВВА АРКСЕ ТУВВА АРКСЕ ТУВВА АРКСЕ ТУВВА АРКСЕ ТУВВА ВАКОСТОСТО СОСТОСТОСТО СОСТОСТОСТО ТОВВА АРКСЕ ТОВВА АРКСЕ ТОВВА АРКСЕ ТОВВА АРКСЕ ТОВВА АРКСЕ ТОВВА АРКСЕ ТОВВА АРКСЕ ТОВВА АРКСЕ ТОВВА АРКСЕ ТОВВА АРКСЕ ТОВВА АРКСЕ ТОВВА АРКСЕ ТОВВА АРКСЕ ТОВВА ТОВСА ТОВВА ТОВ ТОВВА ТОВВА ТОВВА ТОВВА ТОВВА ТОВВА ТОВВА ТОВВА ТО

Fig 2. Bench of KEGG_Pathways enriched among genes up- (A, C) and down-regulated (B, D) by genistein 24 hours (A, B) and 48 hours (D, E) treatment in HaCaT based on GSEA, (Size, number of genes in each set; NES, normalized enrichment score; FDR *q*-val, *q*-value of false discovery rate), with gene sets of significant role in pathogenetic mechanisms responsible for the induction of psoriasis. The top 30 canonical pathways from 56 and 53 up- and down-regulated, respectively, after 24 hours and from 53 and 26 up- and down-regulated, respectively, after 48 hours treatment with genistein, enriched among the leading edge gene subsets, *p*-value < 0.01 and FDR \leq 0.25 are illustrated. KEGG_Pathways with roles in psoriasis development are marked in bold italics. (E) GSEA analysis of mostly enriched KEGG_Pathways with roles in psoriasis development. The enrichment score is shown as a scattered black line, and the horizontal black bars next to the plot indicate the position of pathway-associated genes. Fraction of genes with essentially modulated expression is shown as GSEA-derived heat map.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192297.g002

(marked in bold italics) with important roles in pathogenetic mechanisms responsible for the induction of psoriasis or in any other ways related to this dermatosis. In general, interpretation of the biological meaning of defining gene sets described in detail in the table in Fig 2 revealed the top 30 canonical pathways from 56 and 53 up- and down-regulated, respectively, after 24 hours and from 53 and 26 up- and down-regulated, respectively, after 48 hours treatment with genistein enriched among the leading edge gene subsets, with *p*-value < 0.01 and FDR \leq 0.25. Within the list of up-regulated gene sets, various metabolic pathways, the peroxisome and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR) signaling pathway (important in psoriasis and keratinocyte homeostasis), p53 signaling pathway, and some more were found. Among the down-regulated gene sets, we detected genes belonging to a wide range of pathways involved in nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain-like (NOD-like) receptor signaling, pathogenic *Escherichia coli* infection, purine and pyrimidine metabolism, extracellular matrix (ECM) receptor interaction associated with the mutual communications between the leukocytes-extracellular matrix, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and mechanistic target of rapamycin (MTOR) signaling pathways, and apoptosis. Fig 2, section E shows graphs presenting

genes and the enrichment plots generated by GSEA analysis of mostly enriched KEGG Pathways with role in psoriasis development (i.e., KEGG_Glutathione metabolism, KEGG_NOD-like receptor signaling pathway, KEGG_Arginine and proline metabolism, and KEGG_Pathogenic Escherichia coli infection). In addition, results of annotation enrichment analysis of "Biological Processes", "Molecular Function", and "Cellular Compartment" terms identified in the GSEA revealed that the treatment of keratinocytes with 100 μ M genistein for 24 and 48 hours significantly altered the expression of a wide range of transcripts, including psoriasis-related genes involved in numerous processes activated/deactivated in psoriasis (S3 Fig).

To provide independent validation of our microarray data and to examine in more detail the expression patterns of psoriasis-related genes involved, we used a real-time qRT-PCR approach. At this point, determination of potential candidates for endogenous control as reference genes for real-time qRT-PCR was assessed using commercially available RealTime ready Human Reference Gene Panel. Moreover, statistical analyses of the normalized gene expression data were performed in Prism (BestKeeper) (S3 Table). Among all genes, three (*RPLPO*, *TBP*, and *YWHAZ*-the first two in case of panel 1 usage, and the latter two in case of panel 2 application), were selected as references. Following confirmation of microarray results by realtime qRT-PCR, 30 from 45 tested psoriasis-associated genes belonging to real-time qRT-PCR custom panel 1 is provided in Table 1. Modulation of their activities after genistein treatment, by reducing the expression efficacy of 19 transcripts with enhanced levels in HaCaT cells and by stimulating the expression efficiency of 11 mRNAs revealing decreased activity in keratinocytes, was documented.

As already mentioned above, although genistein exerts potent anti-inflammatory effects, DNA microarray analysis on HaCaT cells hardly revealed modulation in activity of genes of inflammation-immune axis regulation. This in turn could be due to the applied cell culture model of normal, not mimicking or non-psoriatic keratinocytes. A 2D cell culture model of human skin that closely mimics the pathways leading to psoriatic skin formation was therefore developed and evaluated at the next phase of our work (S4 Table). Among the three different approaches tested in our study to induce a "psoriasis-like" inflammatory response in keratinocytes, we selected a method with direct treatment of the HaCaT cells with a mix of proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1A, IL-17A, IL-22), oncostatin M (OSM) and tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) as the most efficient in terms of the expected characteristics. The evaluation results indicated that this assay model is able to process and provide an *in vitro* system capable of testing for a compounds ability to inhibit psoriasis-driving mechanisms (S4 Table). Thus, transcriptomic profiling with the use in this case of two real-time qRT-PCR custom panels, 1 and 2 (Real-Time ready Custom Panels for screening of in sum 90 psoriasis-related transcripts), on "psoriasis-like" HaCaT cells, treated and untreated with genistein, was performed and determined relative to those on genistein-untreated non-psoriatic (i.e., not stimulated with a combination of proinflammatory "cytokine mix") keratinocytes (Fig 3A-3D). The analysis revealed significant alteration in the activity of 25 of 45 genes tested with the use of panel 1, and 38 of 45 genes tested with the use of panel 2. Measurements determined by the two transcript assessment systems, panel 1 and panel 2, using endogenous references RPLPO (Fig 3A) and TBP (Fig 3B) in the case of panel 1 and using endogenous references YWHAZ (Fig 3C) and TBP (Fig 3D) in the case of panel 2, showed various clusters of gene activity. Except for a set of genes with unchanged expression for both panels (i.e., 20 transcripts of panel 1 representative of psoriasis-related genes and 7 transcripts of panel 2 representative of inflammation-immune axis regulation genes), we observed the occurrence of four main profiles of gene responses composing particular clusters, common for both panels 1 and 2. The first and second cluster, respectively, cover genes of their reduced activity or of their increased expression in response to both "cytokine mix" stimulation and proinflammatory "cytokine mix" stimulation plus

	ONE
--	-----

		Micr	oarray	Real-time qRT-PCR		
			FC	± SD		
Gene	Gene product	24 h	48 h	24 h vs. <i>RPLPO</i>	24 h vs. TBP	
▲ up-regulated	l ·					
ACACB	Acetyl-CoA Carboxylase Beta	3.4 ± 0.4	3.9 ± 0.2	3.8 ± 0.7	3.9 ± 0.5	
CAT	Catalase	1.8 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.4	2.8 ± 0.2	
FADS1	Fatty Acid Desaturase 1	3.7 ± 0.2	3.6 ± 0.2	3.3 ± 0.3	4.0 ± 0.2	
FASN	Fatty Acid Synthase	2.1 ± 0.0	2.6 ± 0.4	6.8 ± 1.3	5.7 ± 1.0	
FOXC1	Forkhead Box C1	1.6 ± 0.2	1.9 ± 0.2	1.8 ± 0.3	2.0 ± 0.2	
FOXO1	Forkhead Box O1	1.8 ± 0.1	2.2 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.1	2.1 ± 0.2	
JUN	AP-1 Transcription Factor Subunit	1.1 ± 0.1	3.2 ± 0.1	2.9 ± 0.9	2.9 ± 0.5	
MEGF9	Multiple EGF Like Domains 9	1.7 ± 0.3	1.5 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.4	2.7 ± 0.2	
MYH10	Myosin Heavy Chain 10	1.5 ± 0.1	1.8 ± 0.0	1.5 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.0	
PCYOX1	Prenylcysteine Oxidase 1	1.8 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1	1.6 ± 0.2	1.7 ± 0.1	
RHOB	Ras Homolog Family Member B	2.0 ± 0.3	2.9 ± 0.3	3.7 ± 0.8	4.2 ± 0.1	
RHOBTB3	Rho Related BTB Domain Containing 3	2.0 ± 0.0	1.8 ± 0.1	2.3 ± 0.2	2.7 ± 0.1	
SSPN	Sarcospan	2.7 ± 0.3	2.0 ± 0.2	5.8 ± 0.5	8.5 ± 0.8	
TIMP3	Tissue Inhibitors of Metalloproteinases 3	1.5 ± 0.3	2.0 ± 0.3	2.2 ± 0.2	2.3 ± 0.4	
TUFT1	Tuftelin 1	1.5 ± 0.1	1.9 ± 0.0	2.4 ± 0.3	2.6 ± 0.2	
UST	Uronyl 2-sulfotransferase	2.3 ± 0.2	2.8 ± 0.1	2.1 ± 0.3	2.5 ± 0.1	
ZNF12	Zinc Finger Protein 12	1.2 ± 0.1	1.7 ± 0.1	1.5 ± 0.2	1.5 ± 0.1	
ZNF483	Zinc Finger Protein 483	2.1 ± 0.3	1.8 ± 0.4	3.2 ± 0.0	2.4 ± 0.0	
ZNF652	Zinc Finger Protein 652	2.0 ± 0.0	1.6 ± 0.4	1.6 ± 0.0	1.8 ± 0.2	
▼ down-regula	ited					
CDC25A	Cell Division Control Protein 42 Effector Protein 5	0.4 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.0	0.8 ± 0.2	0.7 ± 0.2	
EZH2	Enhancer of Zeste 2 Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 Subunit	0.8 ± 0.0	0.8 ± 0.0	0.8 ± 0.1	0.9 ±0.0	
F12	Coagulation Factor XII	0.4 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.0	0.2 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.0	
GJB2	Gap Junction Protein Beta	0.3 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.0	
KRT6B	Keratin 6B	0.4 ± 0.0	0.5 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.0	
MALL	T-cell Differentiation Protein Like	0.3 ± 0.0	0.5 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.1	0.5 ± 0.0	
PI3	Peptidase Inhibitor 3	0.2 ± 0.0	0.1 ± 0.1	0.2 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.1	
SERPINB8	Serpin Family B Member 8	0.4 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.0	
SRM	Spermidine Synthase	0.5 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.1	0.3 ± 0.0	
SYNCRIP	Synaptotagmin Binding Cytoplasmic RNA Interacting Protein	0.3 ± 0.0	0.4 ± 0.0	0.6 ± 0.1	0.6 ± 0.1	
THBD	Thrombomodulin	0.4 ± 0.1	0.4 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.2	0.9 ± 0.1	

Table 1. Expression patterns of 30 psoriasis-associated genes analyzed with the use of DNA microarray and real-time qRT-PCR custom panel 1.

Alterations in mRNA levels of selected genes in HaCaT after 24 and 48 hours (DNA microarray) and 24 hours (real-time qRT-PCR custom panel 1) of 100 μ M genistein treatment referred to 0.7 > FC > 1.3 with a *p*-value < 0.05. The microarray and real-time qRT-PCR data represent averaged values ± standard deviation (SD) from $n \ge 3$, and denote significant differences for samples treated with genistein against non-treated samples, with respect to the reference genes *RPLPO* and *TBP* of constant expression level implemented for real-time qRT-PCR analysis. At this point determination of potential candidates for endogenous control as reference genes for real-time qRT-PCR was assessed using the commercially available RealTime ready Human Reference Gene Panel. Moreover, statistical analyses of the normalized gene expression data were performed in Prism (BestKeeper) (see <u>S3 Table</u>).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192297.t001

genistein treatment. The third group includes genes of decreased expression after "cytokine mix" stimulation, while enhanced activity as a result of exposure to the "cytokine mix" plus genistein. The fourth cluster genes of increased activity after "cytokine mix" activation and its reduction after incubation with the "cytokine mix" plus genistein, as is depicted in the internal drawings in Fig 3. Additionally, in the case of panel 2 with inflammation-immune axis

SRN



1



Fig 3. Transcriptomic profiling with the use in this case of two real-time qRT-PCR custom panels, 1 and 2, on "psoriasis-like" HaCaT cells, treated with and without genistein. Normalized to unstimulated HaCaT cells, relative real-time qRT-PCR values denoting differences for keratinocyte samples incubated with a proinflammatory "cytokine mix" (yellow bars), and keratinocyte samples incubated with a proinflammatory "cytokine mix" (yellow bars), and keratinocyte samples incubated with a proinflammatory "cytokine mix" and treated with 100 μ M genistein (green bars), with respect to the reference genes of constant expression (i.e., *RPLPO* (A) and *TBP* (B) in the case of panel 1, and *YWHAZ* (C) and *TBP* (D) in the case of panel 2). Alterations in mRNA levels of genes are referred to 0.7 > FC > 1.3 with the *p*-value < 0.05. The data represent averaged values ± standard deviation from $n \ge 3$. The occurrence of four (A, B) or five (C, D) various profiles of gene responses is imaged for each group in the form of graphical inserts located at the bottom of the charts.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192297.g003

regulation genes, we detected the existence of an additional cluster, the fifth one, with genes of their reduced activity in response to "cytokine mix" stimulation, followed by maintenance at the same level of activity after incubation with the "cytokine mix" plus genistein (Fig 3C and 3D).

No effect of genistein on PI3K activity in keratinocytes with induced NF-κB signaling

In this part of the work we studied the activity of PI3K in HaCaT cells treated with 0.05% DMSO only, stimulated with a combination of proinflammatory "cytokine mix", stimulated with a combination of proinflammatory "cytokine mix" and treated with 100 μ M genistein, or stimulated with a combination of proinflammatory 'cytokine mix' and treated with wortmannin (a PI3K inhibitor). Percentage of inactivated cells, activated cells (via PI3K phosphorylation), and non-expressing cells was determined for each experimental condition. The results obtained from three independent experiments revealed no significant differences in PI3K activity between cells activated with "cytokine mix" and non-activated cells (S5 Table). Additionally, keratinocytes stimulated with "cytokine mix" and treated with genistein exhibited PI3K activity at the level of non-activated cells. Statistical analysis performed by using one-way ANOVA and Tukey's honest significant difference (HSD) showed no significant differences in cell viability relative to control cells (treated with 0.05% DMSO only). Despite this data, we found a two-fold increase in *PI3K* gene activity after stimulation with "cytokine mix", followed by an app. 1.5-fold decrease after exposure of these cells to genistein (S5 Table).

Next, we studied the effect of genistein on nuclear translocation of the NF- κ B p65 subunit. To accomplish this, we performed laser scanning indirect immunofluorescence confocal microscopy using an antibody to the p65 molecule. As shown in Fig 4, the immunofluorescence staining pattern showed clearly the translocation of p65 into the nucleus of human keratinocytes after activation with a cytokine cocktail. Similarly and as expected, the p65 molecule remained in the cytoplasm of stimulated keratinocytes with a combination of proinflammatory "cytokine mix" and treated with genistein. Additionally, to assess activation of the NF- κ B p65 subunit following TNF- α stimulation plus genistein exposure, we examined p65 molecule nuclear translocation in keratinocytes treated with these compounds. Our results pointed to the effect of genistein on NF- κ B p65 nuclear translocation when cells were activated with TNF- α alone instead of a cytokine cocktail, as the p65 molecule remained in the cytoplasm of cells (S4 Fig). Based on these results, we conclude that genistein suppresses either "cytokine mix" or TNF- α -induced nuclear factor κ B p65 subunit translocation into nucleus.

Attenuation of the level of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by genistein

To elucidate the mechanism of genistein-induced inhibition of NF- κ B nuclear translocation, we performed experiments to determine the effects of the tested isoflavone compound on intracellular ROS accumulation. At first, attenuation of ROS levels by genistein was examined by confocal fluorescence microscopy (Fig 5A). In addition, significant differences ($p \le 0.05$) between cell populations not expressing intracellular ROS (ROS [–] cells) and expressing





Fig 4. Effect of genistein on NF-κB p65 subunit induction. Keratinocytes were pretreated with or without 100 μM genistein (GEN) for 2 hours, and then incubated with a proinflammatory "cytokine mix" (ACT). DMSO-treated, unstimulated cells were used as the control (NACT). Nuclear translocation of the NF-κB p65 subunit was assessed by indirect immunofluorescence confocal microscopy using anti-p65 subunit antibodies and appropriate fluorescently tagged secondary antibodies. Nuclei were stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Results representative of three independent experiments (with scale bars 25 μm) are shown.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192297.g004

intracellular ROS (ROS [+] cells) were observed with the use of a fluorescent cell analyzer for all tested conditions except for LPS, where cell numbers of ROS (-) and ROS (+) cells were comparable (Fig 5B and raw data in S6 Table). Furthermore, stimulation of HaCaT keratinocytes with a combination of proinflammatory "cytokine mix" corresponding to a concentration of 2 ng/mL (ACT 2) or 5 ng/mL (ACT 5) in each compound of the mix did not increase intracellular ROS levels. Increased expression of intracellular ROS was observed only when TNF- α or LPS activation of HaCaT cells was applied, which in turn was effectively attenuated by treatment with genistein or NAC (a common scavenger of ROS) (Fig 5B and raw data in S6 Table).

Reduction of proinflammatory cytokine production following genistein treatment

It has been well documented that overproduction of inflammatory cytokines is implicated in the pathogenesis of psoriasis. Therefore, we explored whether genistein (100 μ M) could suppress expression levels of selected inflammatory proteins in keratinocytes stimulated with a combination of "cytokine mix" at a concentration of 2 ng/mL for each constituent compound



Α



В





Fig 5. Attenuation of the level of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by genistein. (A) Keratinocytes were stimulated respectively with a proinflammatory "cytokine mix" corresponding to a concentration of 2 ng/mL (ACT 2) or 5 ng/mL (ACT 5) in each compound of the mix and incubated with 10 mM N-acetyl-cysteine (NAC) (ACT 2 + NAC), 100 μ M genistein (ACT 2 + GEN), 10 ng/mL TNF- α or 1 μ g/mL LPS alone, and with 10 ng/mL TNF- α or 1 μ g/mL LPS incubated with 100 μ M genistein (TNF- α + GEN or LPS + GEN). DMSO-treated, unstimulated cells were used as the control (NACT). Additional control was used in the form of unstimulated cells treated with 100 μ M genistein (GEN). Intracellular ROS levels were examined by a CellROX Deep Red Reagent and confocal fluorescence microscopy. Nuclei were stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Results representative of three independent experiments (with scale bars 25 μ m) are shown. (B) Analysis of ROS were additionally performed by fluorescent cell analyzer. The data are presented as the means \pm standard deviation (SD) from three independent experiments. Significant differences ($p \le 0.05$) between cell populations not expressing intracellular ROS (ROS [-]) and expressing intracellular ROS (ROS [+]) were observed for all tested conditions, except for LPS where cell numbers of ROS (-) and ROS (+) were comparable. Most important, statistically significant differences of $p \le 0.05$ within ROS groups are indicated with $^{+}$ for sample S of TNF- α , TNF- α + GEN, and LPS versus NACT, with $^{+}$ for sample TNF- α + GEN with respect to TNF- α , while with $^{+}$ for sample LPS + GEN referred to LPS. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192297.g005

PLOS

or 10 ng/mL TNF- α or 1µg/mL LPS alone. In addition, 1 µM MTX (a drug well known drug diminishing cytokine production and their cellular amounts) was included in our tests [30–32]. In the course of our studies, we first found that the expression of IL-8, IL-20, and CCL2 (but not IL-1B and TGF- β 1) was significantly elevated in keratinocytes regardless of the cell activation method used, except for IL-20 where neither TNF- α nor LPS stimulation influenced alterations of the level of this cytokine. Next, we showed that genistein considerably inhibits levels of IL-8, IL-20, and CCL2, but not IL-1B and TGF- β 1 (Fig 6 and raw data in S7 Table). The effect of genistein was basically close to the outcome that we observed for MTX. Surprisingly, MTX, which is the drug of choice for conventional psoriasis treatment, exhibited rather weak inhibitory effects on the tested inflammatory cytokines in HaCaT cells, with the biggest impact (as much as three times more potent than genistein) only on IL-8 when cells were treated with TNF- α . On the other hand, it is also known that the results of this type of analysis may vary depending on the research model used, which in our case was HaCaT cell line (not *in vivo* material, such as serum or synovial fluid), where the effect of MTX on cytokines was conspicuous.

Discussion

First, Ps therapies included arsenic and ammoniated mercury use in the 19th Century [33, 34]. In the 1950s corticosteroids were developed as a new topical treatment [33] and were followed in the 1970s by use of MTX and psoralen ultra-violet A (PUVA) [35]. In the 1980s, Ps treatment with narrowband ultraviolet B (UVB) [36], retinoids [37], and vitamin D therapies were added [38]. From the 1990s to the present, manipulating the immune system to treat psoriasis has been explored first with cyclosporine [39] and more recently with targeted molecules-biological drugs [40]. Biologic therapies make use of specific molecules that target particular proteins implicated in immune-mediated disease. In dermatology, the approved and emerging biologic therapies work extracellularly to alter T-cell activation and differentiation, block cytokines, or eliminate pathogenic B-cells [41]. The current treatment options for psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis include TNF-α blockers and IL-12, IL-17, IL-23, T-cell and B-cell inhibitors [42]. After decades of research, there are many treatments available to help manage the symptoms of Ps, however, as yet, there is no sufficient cure. One of possible reasons might be a multi-syndromic form of the disease, which raises obstacles for one drug to focus on so many "hot spots". Another important aspect is comorbidities, not always taken into account and hard to manage with single drug therapy. Because the disease is chronic, and essentially incurable, both acutely acting agents and those effective as long-term maintenance are needed. Natural compounds with anti-inflammatory activities are applied all over the world as alternative medicines for psoriasis because of their perceived beneficial impact on the skin. Among them are isoflavones, the most abundant phytoestrogens in soybeans (Glycine max (L.) Merr.), structurally similar to 17 beta-estradiol [43]. Soybeans and its major active compound



Fig 6. Interleukin (IL)-8, IL-20, and IL-CCL2 production determined in HaCaT cells treated correspondingly with 100 μ M genistein (GEN), 1 μ M methotrexate (MTX), proinflammatory "cytokine mix" at a concentration of 2 ng/mL in each compound of the mix (ACT) and incubated with 100 μ M genistein (ACT + GEN) or 1 μ M methotrexate (ACT + MTX), 10 ng/mL tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and incubated with 100 μ M genistein (TNF- α + GEN) or 1 μ M methotrexate (TNF- α + MTX), or 1 μ g/mL lipopolysaccharide (LPS) alone, and incubated with 100 μ M genistein (LPS + GEN) or 1 μ M methotrexate (LPS + MTX). DMSO-treated, unstimulated cells were used as control (NACT). The data are presented as the means ± standard deviation (SD) from three independent experiments. Comparisons among groups were performed using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test and significant differences are marked with * for $p \le 0.05$ and with ** for $p \le 0.001$.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192297.g006

genistein have been safely used at high levels in several Asian populations in many centuries and play a brilliant role in health promotion [44]. In this regards, we embarked on profound research on the identification of the isoflavone genistein as a potential antipsoriatic compound exerting potent anti-inflammatory effects by using an *in vitro* model of keratinocytes both normal and "psoriasis-like" types and at this phase of our work exhaustively testing genistein's effects in a mono-treated experimental design.

The main hallmarks of Ps are abnormally proliferating and differentiating keratinocytes; therefore, each compound with the properties to reduce or normalize the increased proliferation and deregulated differentiation of psoriatic keratinocytes are strongly desired. In this report, we showed in fact that genistein significantly affected proliferation of human keratinocytes, with non-toxic activity (S1 Fig and raw data in S1 Table, S2 Table). Accordingly, the combination of the lack of cytotoxic and antiproliferative effects of genistein underlines the value of this compound and indicates that it may be a useful antipsoriatic agent.

Large scale gene expression studies of the effects of genistein on keratinocyte transcriptomes via microarray analysis revealed transcriptional changes that confirms known diseaseassociated pathways and highlights genomic "hot spots" for DEGs (Fig 1A). These changes included many psoriasis-related genes and were overlapped in multiple studies [1, 45]. We observed a good level of concordance between our dataset and the results of previous studies, as from 25% to 30% of dysregulated genes of keratinocytes treated with genistein for 24 and 48 hours, respectively, were present in the set of PRGwDE (Fig 1B). However, we detected the limited consensus of app. 10% microarray datasets from analyses on shared HaCaT and HDFa genes and PRGwDE transcripts, which may relate to more complex heterogeneity of subjects across studies (S2 Fig). This was not astonishing, as a study employing RNA-seq (all on psoriatic skin samples) also demonstrated the restricted consensus (with only 44% of their genes overlapping with previous microarray studies) [46]. Interestingly, our results suggested that aberrant expression of genes contributing to the progress of psoriasis could be improved by the action of genistein. Modulation of their activities, by reducing the expression efficiency of genes revealing enhanced activity in psoriatic cells and by stimulating the expression efficiency of genes revealing decreased activity in psoriatic cells, was noted. Moreover, while assembling and performing integration of our microarray data via enrichment, clustering, and correlation analyses using specific bioinformatics tools dedicated to global perspective studies, we observed a significant enrichment of functions and compartments involved in epidermal homeostasis, but also pathways related to psoriasis condition (Figs 1C and 2; S3 Fig). We detected clear modulation of psoriasis-associated transcripts levels after genistein treatment, however at this time we hardly revealed pathways related to immunity and inflammatory activation. Exceptions were the pathways of the NOD-like receptor (NLR) signaling regulation (Fig 2B and 2E) and Pathogenic Escherichia coli infection (Fig 2B, 2D and 2E), both comprising genes down-regulated by genistein. These results are interesting in respect to the data reported by Tervaniemi's group [47], where the NLR signaling pathway, belonging to endogenous cellular stress signals and pathogens recognized via pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), with highly up-regulated transcripts was highlighted in psoriatic skin lesions. Besides, KEGG

pathway analyses performed in this work identified up-regulated genes that were enriched in such function and cellular components as the lysosome (Fig 2A and 2C; I and K sections in S3 Fig). Cellular compartment terms, such as vesicle, endoplasmic reticulum, vacuole, Golgi apparatus, and lysosomal lumen, were among the most significant clusters as well (Fig 1C–2). This seems to be intriguing in light of our earlier research concerning the modulation of expression of lysosomal metabolism by flavonoids, among them the phytoestrogen genistein [29, 48]. On the other hand, one should mention that of all the components of the cell, the lysosome is an obvious candidate for a role in the inflammatory process [49]. What is more, modulation of the inflammatory response via lysosomal signaling and inflammasome-related pathways was detected in human autoimmune-mediated inflammatory diseases [50], among them in psoriasis (one of the most representative inflammatory skin disorder) [47, 51].

Both microarray and real-time qRT-PCR analyses indicated that genistein influences the expression of numerous genes linked to psoriasis (Table 1). The altered expression signatures point to stimulation of mainly the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) signaling pathway (known to limit inflammation) [52–54]), Fatty acid metabolism, Forkhead box O (FoxO) signaling pathway, Tight junction and Longevity regulating pathway, while to inhibition of the Cell division cycle and Metabolic signaling pathways as important targets for genistein (a candidate for psoriasis-inhibiting agent).

Despite the many controversies about the use of proper epidermal keratinocytes for *in vitro* studies relevant to Ps, most often HaCaT cells (with mutant p53 and loss of p16ink4a) have been employed as cellular models to investigate hyperproliferative skin diseases such as Ps and to evaluate the antipsoriatic activities of tested molecules [12-16, 55]. HaCaT are often used for psoriasis in vitro experiments instead of primary normal human epidermal keratinocytes (NHEK) since the susceptibility of this cell line to treatment may change with the increasing number of passages (whereas HaCaT cells provides an almost unlimited supply of identical cells, assuring high reproducibility) [56]. Therefore, in this study a genistein-treated human immortalized keratinocyte cell line HaCaT was utilized. In addition, for the development of a somehow more appropriate model of human skin that closely mimics the processes leading to psoriatic lesion formation, we evaluated the use of stimulated keratinocytes. As a result, we found an approach with direct treatment of the keratinocytes with a "cytokine mix" as the closest of the expected characteristics. The transcriptomic profiling with the use of real-time qRT-PCR on "psoriasis-like" HaCaT cells treated with and without genistein (determined via measurements with the use of panel 1) revealed significant alteration in the activity of most of the tested psoriasis-related genes (Fig 3A and 3B). Moreover, expression profiling of selected genes of inflammation-immune axis regulation (determined via measurements with the use of panel 2) indicated important gene activity changes (Fig 3C and 3D). Interestingly, part of the genes from these collections depicted in Fig 3A-3D were present among the transcripts regulated in genistein-treated HaCaT included in Table 1.

To characterize further the mechanism underlying the anti-inflammatory effects of genistein, we assessed the role of NF- κ B signaling cascades in the "cytokine mix" and TNF- α -mediated inflammatory responses present in keratinocytes exposed to the tested isoflavone. Translocation of the NF- κ B p65 subunit into the nucleus is an important step for its transcriptional activity, which in turn seems to be mediated by PI3K signaling [57]. Previous work has reported the importance of the PI3K pathway as an important regulator of growth and inflammation in inflammation-mediated diseases such as psoriasis [58]. Moreover, studies indicated that genistein, alone or even in combination with various pharmaceutical agents (e.g., selected non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs), inhibits EGF receptor kinase and its downstream effector PI3K, which in turn might also be implicated in NF- κ B transcriptional activation [59, 60]. Thus, to test these correlations, we primarily examined the activity of PI3K. Our experiments revealed no statistically significant differences in the activity of this kinase in keratinocytes stimulated with the cytokine cocktail versus the unstimulated one, similarly with no effect of genistein on this phenomenon (S5 Table). Interestingly, at the same time, we found modulation of PI3K gene expression in such conditions (S5 Table). Furthermore, genistein prevented "cytokine mix" as well as TNF- α -induced NF- κ B translocation (Fig 4; S4 Fig). Thus, we conclude at lack of PI3K pathway involvement in NF-κB activation in our experimental design, which is not surprising, as it seems to be a cell- and tissue-specific event [61]. Our results regarding intracellular ROS accumulation showed that stimulation of keratinocytes with TNF- α and LPS, but surprisingly not with the "cytokine mix", increases ROS levels, which on the other hand was effectively reduced by genistein (Fig 5). These data indicated that the tested isoflavone could attenuate TNF- α - and LPS-induced inflammatory responses in HaCaT by suppressing ROS activation. This is consistent with the antioxidant properties of genistein and reports of others, where this agent has been shown to protect cells against ROS by scavenging free radicals, enhancing activity of antioxidant enzymes and reducing production of hydrogen peroxide [62]. Our results are also corroborated by the research report of Young's group, which has documented that ROS are involved in TNF- α -mediated signaling pathways associated with inflammatory skin disease such as psoriasis via TNF- α -dependent NF- κ B activation [61]. After all, to further investigate the anti-inflammatory activity of genistein, we examined the expression of selected inflammatory mediators once the cells exposed or not exposed to genistein were stimulated either with the cytokine cocktail, TNF- α , or LPS (Fig 6 and raw data in <u>S7 Table</u>). We found that, regardless of the type of cell activation used, the levels of three (IL-8, IL-20 and CCL2) out of five cytokines tested were decreased in the keratinocyte supernatant in response to the isoflavone. It is worth emphasizing, too, that the same trend (i.e., first an increased expression in response to stimulation, followed by reduction after genistein treatment) was observed in both RNA and protein levels of these three cytokines (Figs 3C [the forth cluster] and 6). Based on these results, we conclude that genistein may suppress inflammatory cytokine production, at least partly, by inhibiting the ROS/NF- κ B pathway in activated HaCaT cells. We, thus, hypothesize that genistein attenuates ROS-mediated NFκB activation and subsequent inflammatory cytokine production in "psoriasis-like" keratinocytes. These data provide new insight into the anti-inflammatory mechanism and antipsoriatic activity of genistein, giving scientific support for its use in the treatment of Ps.

Our work exploits and expands on recent breakthroughs in the understanding of cellular cross-talk to develop novel therapeutic approaches based on the use of the isoflavone genistein to treat complex diseases such as psoriasis. It is believed that investigations presented in this report can provide new information regarding the molecular mechanism of the action of genistein modulating the activity of genes deregulated in the cells of people suffering from psoriatic skin disease, at the same time significantly affecting the signaling pathways in such cells. Understanding of these issues may result in serious progress in therapeutic approaches, where, as it is nowadays increasingly observed, combination therapy rather than monotherapy are more effective. Indeed, monotherapy with systemic agents is effective for many patients with Ps; however, some of them require combination approaches. Perhaps the use of genistein in such therapy with, for example, biologic drugs may have an even more beneficial outcome than being used alone. Main aspects in considering a switch from monotherapy to combination treatment are less cumulative and/or acute toxicity, fewer side effects, and obviously improved therapeutic outcomes for the latter. The research on the identification of the isoflavone genistein as a potential antipsoriatic compound exerting potent anti-inflammatory effects, together with anti-Ps systemic drugs with complementary activities may be worth the attention. Because of the safety of genistein [44], its use might be recommended as adjuvant

together with other agents such as biological drugs in psoriasis management, especially while handling patients resistant to treatment.

In this study, we report the range of knowledge gained with the *in vitro* cell culture in form of keratinocytes that closely mimic the psoriatic state and on genistein used alone. The obtained results are intended to be utilized in the next phase of research involving animal models, and also the combination approach of the isoflavone genistein and selected systemic drugs. Because investigations of other groups supported our commentary on the potential administration of soybeans as a potential weapon in the armamentarium against psoriasis, it is believed that this paper should serve to encourage us and other researchers to conduct further studies on this subject.

Supporting information

S1 Fig. Effect of genistein on the viability of HaCaT measured by the level of MTT incorporated into cells. Keratinocytes were treated with different concentrations of genistein for 24 hours, 48 hours (cytotoxicity assay), and 7 days (proliferation assay); afterward the percentage of cell survival was determined. Results are expressed as mean values of three experiments with error bars indicating standard deviation. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Venn diagrams summarizing the number of deregulated genes of whole genome of HaCaT, HDFa treated with 100 μ M genistein for 24 hours or PRGwDE compared to the respective untreated conditions.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. Results of annotation enrichment analysis. Terms identified in the GSEA for correlated genes in the categories: "Biological Processes", "Molecular Functions" and "Cell Compartments" with up to 30 gene sets, enriched among those up- (A, C, E, G, I, K) and downregulated (B, D, F, H, J, L) by 100 µM genistein 24 hours (A, B, E, F, I, J) and 48 hours (C, D, G, H, K, L) after treatment in HaCaT cells (Size, number of genes in each set; NES, normalized enrichment score; FDR q-val, q-value of false discovery rate). GSEA was performed using the microarray data to design a so-called psoriasis gene expression profile between keratinocytes treated with or without genistein. Tables A-D illustrate up to 30 top "Biological Processes" from 83 up-regulated and 27 down-regulated genes, after 24 hours of treatment with genistein and from 113 up-regulated and 77 down-regulated genes, after 48 hours of treatment with genistein. Tables E-H illustrate the top 30 "Molecular Functions" from 77 up-regulated and 168 down-regulated genes, after 24 hours of treatment and from 160 up-regulated and 90 down-regulated genes, respectively, after 48 hours of treatment with genistein. Tables I-L illustrate the top 30 "Cell Compartments" from 56 up-regulated and 136 down-regulated, after 24 hours of treatment and from 112 up-regulated and 111 down-regulated, respectively, after 48 hours of treatment with genistein. All were enriched among the leading edge gene subsets, with *p*-value < 0.01 and FDR ≤ 0.25 . (PDF)

S4 Fig. Effect of genistein on NF-κB p65 subunit induction. Keratinocytes were pretreated with or without 100 μ M genistein (GEN) for 2 hours, and then incubated with a proinflammatory "cytokine mix" (ACT) or only TNF-α (10 ng/mL) (TNF-α + GEN), for 30 minutes. Only DMSO-treated, unstimulated cells were used as control (NACT). Nuclear translocation of the NF-κB p65 subunit was assessed by indirect immunofluorescence confocal microscopy using anti-p65 subunit antibodies and appropriate fluorescently tagged secondary antibodies. Nuclei were stained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Results representative of three

independent experiments (with scale bars 100 $\mu m)$ are shown. (TIF)

S1 Table. (Raw data of <u>S1 Fig</u>) Effect of genistein on the viability of HaCaT measured by the level of MTT incorporated into cells. Keratinocytes were treated with different concentrations of genistein (GEN) for 24 hours, 48 hours (cytotoxicity assay), and 7 days (proliferation assay); afterward the percentage of cell survival was determined. Results are expressed as mean values of three experiments with error bars indicating standard deviation. (DOCX)

S2 Table. LC (cytotoxicity assay) and IC (proliferation assay) index values of genistein in keratinocyte-based assay. Cytotoxicity is expressed as LC25, 50 or 75 (i.e., concentration of the tested drug [μ M]) that is lethal to 25%, 50%, or 75% of HaCaT cells, respectively, in a culture exposed to the drug for 24 and 48 hours. Antiproliferative activity is expressed as IC25, 50 or 75 (i.e., concentration of the tested drug [μ M]) that causes 25%, 50%, or 75% inhibition of keratinocyte proliferation, respectively, in a culture exposed to the drug for 7 days. (DOCX)

S3 Table. Descriptive statistics of 10 candidate housekeeping genes (HKG) based on their crossing point (CP) values. Abbreviations: n: number of samples; GM [CP]: the geometric mean of CP; AM [CP]: the arithmetic mean of CP; Min [CP] and Max [CP]: the extreme values of CP; SD $[\pm$ CP]: the standard deviation of the CP; CV [% CP]: the coefficient of variance expressed as a percentage on the CP level; Min [x-fold] and Max [x-fold]: the extreme values of expression levels expressed as an absolute x-fold over- or under-regulation coefficient; SD $[\pm$ x-fold]: standard deviation of the absolute regulation coefficients; coeff. of corr. [r]: coefficient of correlation; coeff. of det. $[r^2]$: coefficient of determination. (DOCX)

S4 Table. Expression patterns of keratinocyte differentiation markers *KRT10* and *LOR*, and inflammation markers *S100A7* and *S100A9*. The analysis was made via real-time qRT-PCR in response to various tested "psoriasis-like" activation processes: treatment with the proinflammatory "cytokine mix" (2 ng/mL of IL-1A, IL-17A, IL-22, OSM and TNF- α), coculture of HaCaT and THP-1, with or without addition of 1 µg/mL lipopolysaccharide (LPS) for 24 hours. mRNA expression levels for the four marker genes were normalized using *TBP* housekeeping reference and expressed as the fold change for stimulated vs. unstimulated cells. (DOCX)

S5 Table. Activity of PI3 kinase and *PI3K* gene in HaCaT. Keratinocytes were treated with 0.05% DMSO only (NACT), stimulated with a combination of proinflammatory "cytokine mix" (ACT), stimulated with a combination of proinflammatory "cytokine mix" and treated with 100 μ M genistein (GEN), or stimulated with a combination of proinflammatory "cytokine mix" and treated with wortmannin (a PI3K inhibitor) (WORT). (DOCX)

S6 Table. (Raw data of Fig 5(B)) attenuation of the level of reactive oxygen species (ROS) by genistein. (B) Analysis of ROS were additionally performed by fluorescent cell analyzer. The data are presented as the means \pm standard deviation (SD) from three independent experiments. Significant differences ($p \le 0.05$) between cell populations not expressing intracellular ROS (ROS [–]) and expressing intracellular ROS (ROS [+]) were observed for all tested conditions, except for LPS where cell numbers of ROS (-) and ROS (+) were comparable. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test. (DOCX)

S7 Table. (Raw data of Fig 6) interleukin (IL)-8, IL-20, and CCL2 production determined in HaCaT cells treated correspondingly with 100 μ M genistein (GEN), 1 μ M methotrexate (MTX), proinflammatory "cytokine mix" at a concentration of 2 ng/mL in each compound of the mix (ACT) and incubated with 100 μ M genistein (ACT + GEN) or 1 μ M methotrexate (ACT + MTX), 10 ng/mL tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α) and incubated with 100 μ M genistein (TNF- α + GEN) or 1 μ M methotrexate (TNF- α + MTX), or 1 μ g/mL lipopolysaccharide (LPS) alone, and incubated with 100 μ M genistein (LPS + GEN) or 1 μ M methotrexate (LPS + MTX). DMSO-treated, unstimulated cells were used as control (NACT). The data are presented as the means \pm standard deviation (SD) from three independent experiments. Comparisons among groups were performed using a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's HSD test.

(DOCX)

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by National Centre for Research and Development (Poland) (project grant no. NCRD—PBS1/B7/7/2012).

Author Contributions

- **Conceptualization:** Joanna Jakóbkiewicz-Banecka, Bogdan Banecki, Magdalena Gabig-Cimińska.
- Data curation: Marta Moskot, Joanna Jakóbkiewicz-Banecka, Grzegorz Węgrzyn, Aneta Szczerkowska-Dobosz, Dorota Purzycka-Bohdan, Magdalena Gabig-Cimińska.
- Formal analysis: Elwira Smolińska, Marta Moskot, Joanna Jakóbkiewicz-Banecka, Bogdan Banecki, Aneta Szczerkowska-Dobosz, Dorota Purzycka-Bohdan, Magdalena Gabig-Cimińska.
- Investigation: Elwira Smolińska, Marta Moskot.
- Methodology: Elwira Smolińska.
- Project administration: Magdalena Gabig-Cimińska.

Resources: Magdalena Gabig-Cimińska.

Supervision: Magdalena Gabig-Cimińska.

Validation: Magdalena Gabig-Cimińska.

Writing - original draft: Magdalena Gabig-Cimińska.

Writing – review & editing: Marta Moskot, Joanna Jakóbkiewicz-Banecka, Grzegorz Węgrzyn.

References

- Coda AB, Icen M, Smith JR, Sinha AA. Global transcriptional analysis of psoriatic skin and blood confirms known disease-associated pathways and highlights novel genomic "hot spots" for differentially expressed genes. Genomics. 2012; 100(1):18–26. Epub 2012/05/16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno. 2012.05.004 S0888-7543(12)00081-X [pii]. PMID: 22584065.
- Guo P, Luo Y, Mai G, Zhang M, Wang G, Zhao M, et al. Gene expression profile based classification models of psoriasis. Genomics. 2014; 103(1):48–55. Epub 2013/11/19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno. 2013.11.001 S0888-7543(13)00204-8 [pii]. PMID: 24239985.

- Swindell WR, Johnston A, Voorhees JJ, Elder JT, Gudjonsson JE. Dissecting the psoriasis transcriptome: inflammatory- and cytokine-driven gene expression in lesions from 163 patients. BMC Genomics. 2013; 14:527. Epub 2013/08/07. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-527 1471-2164-14-527 [pii]. PMID: 23915137; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3751090.
- Tian S, Krueger JG, Li K, Jabbari A, Brodmerkel C, Lowes MA, et al. Meta-analysis derived (MAD) transcriptome of psoriasis defines the "core" pathogenesis of disease. PLoS One. 2012; 7(9):e44274. Epub 2012/09/08. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0044274 PONE-D-12-14762 [pii]. PMID: 22957057; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3434204.
- Gudjonsson JE, Ding J, Johnston A, Tejasvi T, Guzman AM, Nair RP, et al. Assessment of the psoriatic transcriptome in a large sample: additional regulated genes and comparisons with in vitro models. J Invest Dermatol. 2010; 130(7):1829–40. Epub 2010/03/12. https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2010.36 S0022-202X(15)34898-3 [pii]. PMID: 20220767; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3128718.
- Gudjonsson JE, Ding J, Li X, Nair RP, Tejasvi T, Qin ZS, et al. Global gene expression analysis reveals evidence for decreased lipid biosynthesis and increased innate immunity in uninvolved psoriatic skin. J Invest Dermatol. 2009; 129(12):2795–804. Epub 2009/07/03. https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2009.173 S0022-202X(15)34163-4 [pii]. PMID: 19571819; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2783967.
- Bracke S, Desmet E, Guerrero-Aspizua S, Tjabringa SG, Schalkwijk J, Van Gele M, et al. Identifying targets for topical RNAi therapeutics in psoriasis: assessment of a new in vitro psoriasis model. Arch Dermatol Res. 2013; 305(6):501–12. Epub 2013/06/19. doi: <u>10.1007/s00403-013-1379-9</u>. PMID: 23775225.
- Ito K, Namikawa S, Takeuchi K. [Effect of the dry distillation tar of delipidated soybean (Glyteer) on a psoriasic model in the mouse (4)]. Nihon Yakurigaku Zasshi. 1992; 99(1):55–62. Epub 1992/01/01. PMID: 1559638.
- Polkowski K, Mazurek AP. Biological properties of genistein. A review of in vitro and in vivo data. Acta Pol Pharm. 2000; 57(2):135–55. Epub 2000/08/10. PMID: 10934794.
- Pazyar N, Yaghoobi R. Soybean: a potential antipsoriasis agent. Jundishapur J Nat Pharm Prod. 2015; 10(1):e20924. Epub 2015/04/14. PMID: 25866722; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4389673.
- Kim S, Lee KH, Hong I, Hwang JS, Rho HS, Kim DH, et al. Genistein stimulates differentiation in epidermal keratinocyte through activation of PPARs. Faseb Journal. 2006; 20(4):A612–A. PubMed PMID: ISI:000236206505114.
- Amigo M, Schalkwijk J, Olthuis D, De Rosa S, Paya M, Terencio MC, et al. Identification of avarol derivatives as potential antipsoriatic drugs using an in vitro model for keratinocyte growth and differentiation. Life Sci. 2006; 79(25):2395–404. Epub 2006/09/16. doi: S0024-3205(06)00625-4 [pii] doi: 10.1016/j.lfs. 2006.08.003. PMID: 16973179.
- Micallef L, Belaubre F, Pinon A, Jayat-Vignoles C, Delage C, Charveron M, et al. Effects of extracellular calcium on the growth-differentiation switch in immortalized keratinocyte HaCaT cells compared with normal human keratinocytes. Exp Dermatol. 2009; 18(2):143–51. Epub 2008/07/19. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1600-0625.2008.00775.x EXD775 [pii]. PMID: 18637039.
- Saelee C, Thongrakard V, Tencomnao T. Effects of Thai medicinal herb extracts with anti-psoriatic activity on the expression on NF-kappaB signaling biomarkers in HaCaT keratinocytes. Molecules. 2011; 16(5):3908–32. Epub 2011/05/11. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules16053908 molecules16053908 [pii]. PMID: 21555979.
- Schurer NY, Bass NM, Jin S, Manning JA, Pillai S, Williams ML. High-affinity fatty acid-binding activity in epidermis and cultured keratinocytes is attributable to high-molecular-weight and not low-molecularweight fatty acid-binding proteins. J Invest Dermatol. 1993; 100(1):82–6. Epub 1993/01/01. doi: S0022-202X(93)92189-2 [pii]. PMID: 8423403.
- Weng Z, Patel AB, Vasiadi M, Therianou A, Theoharides TC. Luteolin inhibits human keratinocyte activation and decreases NF-kappaB induction that is increased in psoriatic skin. PLoS One. 2014; 9(2): e90739. Epub 2014/03/04. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0090739. 0090739 PONE-D-14-00032 [pii]. PMID: 24587411; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3938790.
- Boukamp P, Petrussevska RT, Breitkreutz D, Hornung J, Markham A, Fusenig NE. Normal keratinization in a spontaneously immortalized aneuploid human keratinocyte cell line. J Cell Biol. 1988; 106 (3):761–71. Epub 1988/03/01. PMID: 2450098; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2115116.
- Kloska A, Jakobkiewicz-Banecka J, Narajczyk M, Banecka-Majkutewicz Z, Wegrzyn G. Effects of flavonoids on glycosaminoglycan synthesis: implications for substrate reduction therapy in Sanfilippo disease and other mucopolysaccharidoses. Metab Brain Dis. 2011; 26(1):1–8. Epub 2011/02/10. doi: 10. 1007/s11011-011-9233-2. PMID: 21305347; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3070076.
- 19. Piotrowska E, Jakobkiewicz-Banecka J, Baranska S, Tylki-Szymanska A, Czartoryska B, Wegrzyn A, et al. Genistein-mediated inhibition of glycosaminoglycan synthesis as a basis for gene expression-

targeted isoflavone therapy for mucopolysaccharidoses. Eur J Hum Genet. 2006; 14(7):846–52. Epub 2006/05/04. doi: 5201623 [pii] doi: 10.1038/sj.ejhg.5201623. PMID: 16670689.

- Wardwell PR, Forstner MB, Bader RA. Investigation of the cytokine response to NF-kappaB decoy oligonucleotide coated polysaccharide based nanoparticles in rheumatoid arthritis in vitro models. Arthritis Res Ther. 2015; 17:310. Epub 2015/11/05. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13075-015-0824-x 10.1186/ s13075-015-0824-x [pii]. PMID: 26531309; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4632484.
- Semini G, Hildmann A, Klein A, Lucka L, Schon M, Schon MP, et al. Inositol-C2-PAF down-regulates components of the antigen presentation machinery in a 2D-model of epidermal inflammation. Biochem Pharmacol. 2014; 87(3):477–88. Epub 2013/12/03. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcp.2013.11.012 S0006-2952(13)00743-0 [pii]. PMID: 24291779.
- Storey JD, Tibshirani R. Statistical significance for genomewide studies. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2003; 100(16):9440–5. Epub 2003/07/29. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1530509100 1530509100 [pii]. PMID: 12883005; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC170937.
- Eden E, Navon R, Steinfeld I, Lipson D, Yakhini Z. GOrilla: a tool for discovery and visualization of enriched GO terms in ranked gene lists. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009; 10:48. Epub 2009/02/05. https:// doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-48 1471-2105-10-48 [pii]. PMID: 19192299; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2644678.
- Subramanian A, Tamayo P, Mootha VK, Mukherjee S, Ebert BL, Gillette MA, et al. Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2005; 102(43):15545–50. Epub 2005/10/04. doi: 0506580102 [pii] doi: 10.1073/pnas. 0506580102. PMID: 16199517; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1239896.
- Swindell WR, Sarkar MK, Liang Y, Xing X, Gudjonsson JE. Cross-Disease Transcriptomics: Unique IL-17A Signaling in Psoriasis Lesions and an Autoimmune PBMC Signature. J Invest Dermatol. 2016; 136 (9):1820–30. Epub 2016/05/22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jid.2016.04.035 S0022-202X(16)31246-5 [pii]. PMID: 27206706; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5234565.
- Swindell WR, Stuart PE, Sarkar MK, Voorhees JJ, Elder JT, Johnston A, et al. Cellular dissection of psoriasis for transcriptome analyses and the post-GWAS era. BMC Med Genomics. 2014; 7:27. Epub 2014/06/03. https://doi.org/10.1186/1755-8794-7-27 1755-8794-7-27 [pii]. PMID: 24885462; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4060870.
- 27. Dowlatshahi EA, van der Voort EA, Arends LR, Nijsten T. Markers of systemic inflammation in psoriasis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Dermatol. 2013; 169(2):266–82. Epub 2013/04/05. doi: 10. 1111/bjd.12355. PMID: 23550658.
- Martin DA, Towne JE, Kricorian G, Klekotka P, Gudjonsson JE, Krueger JG, et al. The emerging role of IL-17 in the pathogenesis of psoriasis: preclinical and clinical findings. J Invest Dermatol. 2013; 133 (1):17–26. Epub 2012/06/08. https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2012.194 S0022-202X(15)35944-3 [pii]. PMID: 22673731; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3568997.
- Moskot M, Montefusco S, Jakobkiewicz-Banecka J, Mozolewski P, Wegrzyn A, Di Bernardo D, et al. The phytoestrogen genistein modulates lysosomal metabolism and transcription factor EB (TFEB) activation. J Biol Chem. 2014; 289(24):17054–69. Epub 2014/04/29. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114. 555300 M114.555300 [pii]. PMID: 24770416; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4059147.
- Gerards AH, de Lathouder S, de Groot ER, Dijkmans BA, Aarden LA. Inhibition of cytokine production by methotrexate. Studies in healthy volunteers and patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Rheumatology (Oxford). 2003; 42(10):1189–96. Epub 2003/06/05. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/keg323</u> keg323 [pii]. PMID: 12777636.
- Weinstein GD, Jeffes E, McCullough JL. Cytotoxic and immunologic effects of methotrexate in psoriasis. J Invest Dermatol. 1990; 95(5 Suppl):49S–52S. Epub 1990/11/01. doi: S0022-202X(90)91323-4 [pii] doi: 10.1111/1523-1747.ep12505777. PMID: 16788633.
- Chan ES, Cronstein BN. Molecular action of methotrexate in inflammatory diseases. Arthritis Res. 2002; 4(4):266–73. Epub 2002/07/11. doi: <u>10.1186/ar419</u>. PMID: <u>12106498</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC128935.
- Farber EM. History of the treatment of psoriasis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1992; 27(4):640–5. Epub 1992/ 10/01. PMID: 1401327.
- Cowden A, Van Voorhees AS, editors. Introduction: History of psoriasis and psoriasis therapy: Birkhäuser Basel; 2008.
- Parrish JA, Fitzpatrick TB, Tanenbaum L, Pathak MA. Photochemotherapy of psoriasis with oral methoxsalen and longwave ultraviolet light. N Engl J Med. 1974; 291(23):1207–11. Epub 1974/12/05. doi: 10.1056/NEJM197412052912301. PMID: 4422691.
- Green C, Ferguson J, Lakshmipathi T, Johnson BE. 311 nm UVB phototherapy—an effective treatment for psoriasis. Br J Dermatol. 1988; 119(6):691–6. Epub 1988/12/01. PMID: 3203066.

- Kragballe K, Beck HI, Sogaard H. Improvement of psoriasis by a topical vitamin D3 analogue (MC 903) in a double-blind study. Br J Dermatol. 1988; 119(2):223–30. Epub 1988/08/01. PMID: 3048369.
- Griffiths CE, Dubertret L, Ellis CN, Finlay AY, Finzi AF, Ho VC, et al. Ciclosporin in psoriasis clinical practice: an international consensus statement. Br J Dermatol. 2004; 150 Suppl 67:11–23. Epub 2004/ 04/30. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0366-077X.2004.05949.x BJD5949 [pii]. PMID: 15115441.
- Kupper TS. Immunologic targets in psoriasis. N Engl J Med. 2003; 349(21):1987–90. Epub 2003/11/25. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp038164 349/21/1987 [pii]. PMID: 14627782.
- 40. Mahmood T, Zaghi D, Menter A. Emerging oral drugs for psoriasis. Expert Opin Emerg Drugs. 2015; 20 (2):209–20. Epub 2015/02/04. doi: 10.1517/14728214.2015.1010509. PMID: 25643592.
- Gu X, Nylander E, Coates PJ, Nylander K. Oxidation reduction is a key process for successful treatment of psoriasis by narrow-band UVB phototherapy. Acta Derm Venereol. 2015; 95(2):140–6. Epub 2014/ 06/10. doi: 10.2340/00015555-1905. PMID: 24909845.
- Yamauchi PS, Bagel J. Next-generation biologics in the management of plaque psoriasis: a literature review of IL-17 inhibition. J Drugs Dermatol. 2015; 14(3):244–53. Epub 2015/03/05. doi: S1545961615P0244X [pii]. PMID: 25738846.
- 43. Bu L, Lephart ED. AVPV neurons containing estrogen receptor-beta in adult male rats are influenced by soy isoflavones. BMC Neurosci. 2007; 8:13. Epub 2007/02/03. doi: 1471-2202-8-13 [pii] doi: <u>10.1186/</u><u>1471-2202-8-13</u>. PMID: <u>17266774</u>; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC1797051.
- McClain RM, Wolz E, Davidovich A, Edwards J, Bausch J. Reproductive safety studies with genistein in rats. Food Chem Toxicol. 2007; 45(8):1319–32. Epub 2007/04/17. doi: S0278-6915(07)00027-0 [pii] doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2007.01.009. PMID: 17433519.
- 45. Kim J, Bissonnette R, Lee J, Correa da Rosa J, Suarez-Farinas M, Lowes MA, et al. The Spectrum of Mild to Severe Psoriasis Vulgaris Is Defined by a Common Activation of IL-17 Pathway Genes, but with Key Differences in Immune Regulatory Genes. J Invest Dermatol. 2016; 136(11):2173–82. Epub 2016/ 10/25. doi: S0022-202X(16)31241-6 [pii] doi: 10.1016/j.jid.2016.04.032. PMID: 27185339.
- Jabbari A, Suarez-Farinas M, Dewell S, Krueger JG. Transcriptional profiling of psoriasis using RNAseq reveals previously unidentified differentially expressed genes. J Invest Dermatol. 2012; 132 (1):246–9. Epub 2011/08/19. https://doi.org/10.1038/jid.2011.267 S0022-202X(15)35459-2 [pii]. PMID: 21850022; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3381505.
- Tervaniemi MH, Katayama S, Skoog T, Siitonen HA, Vuola J, Nuutila K, et al. NOD-like receptor signaling and inflammasome-related pathways are highlighted in psoriatic epidermis. Sci Rep. 2016; 6:22745. Epub 2016/03/16. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep22745 srep22745 [pii]. PMID: 26976200; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4792137.
- Moskot M, Jakobkiewicz-Banecka J, Kloska A, Smolinska E, Mozolewski P, Malinowska M, et al. Modulation of expression of genes involved in glycosaminoglycan metabolism and lysosome biogenesis by flavonoids. Sci Rep. 2015; 5:9378. Epub 2015/03/24. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep09378 srep09378 [pii]. PMID: 25797591; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4369724.
- 49. Zweifach BW, Grant L, McCluskey RT. The Inflammatory Process: Elsevier Science; 2014.
- 50. He Y, Xu Y, Zhang C, Gao X, Dykema K, Martin K, et al. Identification of a Lysosomal Pathway That Modulates Glucocorticoid Signaling and The Inflammatory Response. Sci Signal. 2013; 4(180): ra44. https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.2001450 PMID: 21730326
- Ge W, Li D, Gao Y, Cao X. The Roles of Lysosomes in Inflammation and Autoimmune Diseases. Int Rev Immunol. 2015; 34(5):415–31. Epub 2014/07/31. doi: 10.3109/08830185.2014.936587. PMID: 25075736.
- 52. Peairs A, Radjavi A, Davis S, Li L, Ahmed A, Giri S, et al. Activation of AMPK inhibits inflammation in MRL/lpr mouse mesangial cells. Clin Exp Immunol. 2009; 156(3):542–51. Epub 2009/05/15. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2249.2009.03924.x CEI3924 [pii]. PMID: 19438609; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2691985.
- Salt IP, Palmer TM. Exploiting the anti-inflammatory effects of AMP-activated protein kinase activation. Expert Opin Investig Drugs. 2012; 21(8):1155–67. Epub 2012/06/15. doi: <u>10.1517/13543784.2012</u>. 696609. PMID: 22694351.
- O'Neill LA, Hardie DG. Metabolism of inflammation limited by AMPK and pseudo-starvation. Nature. 2013; 493(7432):346–55. Epub 2013/01/18. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11862 nature11862 [pii]. PMID: 23325217.
- Bochenska K, Smolinska E, Moskot M, Jakobkiewicz-Banecka J, Gabig-Ciminska M. Models in the Research Process of Psoriasis. Int J Mol Sci. 2017; 18(12). Epub 2017/12/01. doi: E2514 [pii] https:// doi.org/10.3390/ijms18122514 ijms18122514 [pii]. PMID: 29186769.
- 56. Zampetti A, Mastrofrancesco A, Flori E, Maresca V, Picardo M, Amerio P, et al. Proinflammatory cytokine production in HaCaT cells treated by eosin: implications for the topical treatment of psoriasis. Int J

Immunopathol Pharmacol. 2009; 22(4):1067–75. Epub 2010/01/16. doi: 23 [pii] doi: 10.1177/ 039463200902200423. PMID: 20074471.

- 57. Dan HC, Cooper MJ, Cogswell PC, Duncan JA, Ting JP, Baldwin AS. Akt-dependent regulation of NF-{kappa}B is controlled by mTOR and Raptor in association with IKK. Genes Dev. 2008; 22(11):1490– 500. Epub 2008/06/04. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1662308 22/11/1490 [pii]. PMID: 18519641; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC2418585.
- Schon MP, Boehncke WH. Psoriasis. N Engl J Med. 2005; 352(18):1899–912. Epub 2005/05/06. doi: 352/18/1899 [pii] doi: 10.1056/NEJMra041320. PMID: 15872205.
- 59. Mozolewski P, Moskot M, Jakobkiewicz-Banecka J, Wegrzyn G, Bochenska K, Banecki B, et al. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs modulate cellular glycosaminoglycan synthesis by affecting EGFR and PI3K signaling pathways. Sci Rep. 2017; 7:43154. Epub 2017/02/28. https://doi.org/10.1038/ srep43154 srep43154 [pii]. PMID: 28240227; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5327420.
- Shukla S, Shankar E, Fu P, MacLennan GT, Gupta S. Suppression of NF-kappaB and NF-kappaB-Regulated Gene Expression by Apigenin through IkappaBalpha and IKK Pathway in TRAMP Mice. PLoS One. 2015; 10(9):e0138710. Epub 2015/09/18. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0138710 PONE-D-15-30878 [pii]. PMID: 26379052; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4574560.
- Li J, Yue Y, Hu Y, Cheng W, Liu R, Pan X, et al. Genistein suppresses tumor necrosis factor alphainduced inflammation via modulating reactive oxygen species/Akt/nuclear factor kappaB and adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase signal pathways in human synoviocyte MH7A cells. Drug Des Devel Ther. 2014; 8:315–23. Epub 2014/03/29. https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S52354 dddt-8-315 [pii]. PMID: 24669186; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3962316.
- Jurzak M, Adamczyk K. Influence of genistein on c-Jun, c-Fos and Fos-B of AP-1 subunits expression in skin keratinocytes, fibroblasts and keloid fibroblasts cultured in vitro. Acta Pol Pharm. 2013; 70 (2):205–13. Epub 2013/04/26. PMID: 23614275.