Proteasomal Degradation of Proteins Is Important for the Proper Transcriptional Response to Sulfur Deficiency Conditions in Plants
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Plants are continuously exposed to different abiotic and biotic stresses; therefore, to protect themselves, they depend on the fast reprogramming of large gene repertoires to prioritize the expression of a given stress-induced gene set over normal cellular household genes. The activity of the proteasome, a large proteolytic complex that degrades proteins, is vital to coordinate the expression of such genes. Proteins are labeled for degradation by the action of E3 ligases that site-specifically alter their substrates by adding chains of ubiquitin. Recent publications have revealed an extensive role of ubiquitination in the utilization of nutrients. This study presents the transcriptomic profiles of sulfur-deficient rosettes and roots of Arabidopsis thaliana rpt2a mutant with proteasomal malfunction. We found that genes connected with sulfur metabolism are regulated to the lesser extent in rpt2a mutant while genes encoding transfer RNAs and small nucleolar RNAs are highly upregulated. Several genes encoding E3 ligases are specifically regulated by sulfur deficiency. Furthermore, we show that a key transcription factor of sulfur deficiency response, Sulfur LIMitation1, undergoes proteasomal degradation and is able to interact with F-box protein, EBF1.
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Introduction

To adapt to and survive unfavorable and unexpected changes in their environment, plants maintain a high level of growth plasticity throughout their lifetime. Numerous biotic and abiotic stresses are continually challenging them because of their sessile nature. The stresses trigger responses that reprogram and adjust metabolism, growth and development. The plants use a combination of checks on transcriptional, posttranscriptional, translational and posttranslational gene expression levels to respond to diverse environmental conditions (Des Marais and Juenger 2010, Walley and Dehesh 2010, Vahtera and Brosche 2011). Accumulating data show the significance of targeted protein degradation mechanisms in the response to abiotic and biotic stresses in plant metabolism. Signaling mechanisms during stresses involve explicit timing control, which explains why crops often depend on selective protein degradation, particularly ubiquitination that leads to proteasomal degradation. The primary benefits are the speed and commitment of signaling based on ubiquitination. Ubiquitin-tagged substrates have their half-lives expeditiously reduced with fast steady-state level changes caused by particular stimuli. Improper reactivation is also prevented by the irreversible removal of modified proteins.

Ubiquitin is a very stable, small 76-amino-acid peptide that is highly conserved throughout eukaryotes. Ubiquitin attachment to protein may affect its activity, abundance, trafficking or location. This versatility of function is based on the different ways in which ubiquitin molecules can be attached to a particular protein (Komander and Rape 2012). Ubiquitin includes seven residues of lysine that can each be used to create ubiquitin–ubiquitin linkages producing structurally diverse polyubiquitin chains. Lysine 48-linked chains of four or more ubiquitins show a high affinity for ubiquitin receptors within the 19S regulatory cap of the proteasome (Thrower et al. 2000). The regulatory particle forms a so-called base and lid structure. The base contains six related AAA-ATPases (RPT1–6) and three non-ATPase units (RPN1, 2 and 10), while the lid, which interacts with the base through RPN10, contains the remaining non-ATPases (RPN3, 5–9, 11 and 12). After unfolding, the substrate is threaded into the 20S subunit of the proteasome, a barrel-shaped multi-catalytic proteinase, while the polyubiquitin chain is released for recycling (Pickart and Cohen 2004). The whole 26S proteasome complex is present in the cytoplasm and in the nucleus (Wojcik and DeMartino 2003). The 26S proteasomes are indispensable for cell survival, and only weak loss-of-function mutants (rpt2a-2, rpt2a-3, rpn10-1 or rpn12a-1) can be generated (Kurepa et al. 2009).
There are three main steps leading to the ubiquitination of target proteins, each needing a distinct enzyme that acts hierarchically with other enzymes: ubiquitin-activating (E1s), ubiquitin-conjugating (E2s) and ubiquitin ligase (E3s) enzymes (Sharma et al. 2016). The cascade begins with the activation of ubiquitin in an ATP-dependent reaction to form an E1-ubiquitin thioester-linked intermediate. The E1-ubiquitin interacts with the E2 to enable the transfer of the activated ubiquitin, thus forming a thioester-linked E2-ubiquitin intermediate. The enzyme E3 promotes the immediate transfer of ubiquitin to the target protein as it interacts with both the E2-ubiquitin and the target protein. This final step connects the C-terminal glycine carboxyl group of ubiquitin to lysine through an isopeptide bond. After the initial ubiquitin molecule has been attached, the process can be repeated to assemble a polyubiquitin chain (Komander and Rape 2012).

The substantial dependence of plants on protein ubiquitination to control organismal processes becomes apparent after sequencing their genomes. More than 6% of Arabidopsis thaliana protein-coding genes are devoted to ubiquitin–proteasome system (UPS) (Vierstra 2009). While Arabidopsis encodes for only 2 E1s and 37 E2s, it can theoretically express over 1,300 different E3s (Vierstra 2009). This enormous amount of predicted E3s in Arabidopsis is one of the plant kingdom’s largest collections of enzymes, illustrating the significance of ubiquitination to plant biology. Plant E3 ubiquitin ligases are highly diverse as they constitute the main variables that determine substrate specificity (Mazzucotelli et al. 2006). There are three main E3 types in plants: based on their action mechanisms and subunit organization: HECT (Homology to E6-Associated Carboxy-Terminus), RING (Really Interesting New Gene)/U-box and multi-subunit CRL (Cullin-RING ligases) E3s (Hua and Vierstra 2011). Plant CRLs utilize substrate-recruiting proteins belonging to either the F-box (700 members), the BTB (Broad Tramtrack Bric-a-Brac Complex 80 members) or DWD (DDB1 Carboxy-Terminus), RING (Really Interesting New Gene)/U-box (DDB1 binding WD40: 85 members) families (Stone 2014). The diverse combination of E1s, E2s and E3s offers specificity to thousands of proteins each uniquely targeted so that countless cellular processes can be tightly controlled.

E3 ubiquitin ligases are actively involved in hormone perception and signaling pathways, degradation of hormone-specific transcription factors and hormone biosynthesis regulation (Santner and Estelle 2010). Hormones function to integrate diverse environmental cues with endogenous growth programs. Most of them are involved in multiple processes and affect each other through multilevel crosstalk strategies (Santner and Estelle 2010). There is ample evidence of one hormone regulating the expression of genes involved in the metabolism of another hormone, particularly in the areas of growth regulation and stress response (Stone 2014). The UPS elements engaged in hormonal signaling regulation can also be part of the response to nutrient deficiency conditions due to a high interference of the environmental cues with hormonal signaling. The significance of the ubiquitination pathway in abiotic stress tolerance has been indicated by the finding that the expression of the ubiquitin gene in plants subjected to elevated temperature stress is upregulated (Genschik et al. 1992, Sun and Callis 1997). Mutations of the 26S proteasome regulatory subunit, affecting its function, may decrease complex accumulation and reduce the rate of ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis, resulting in hypersensitivity to salt and osmotic stresses of the corresponding Arabidopsis mutant lines (Smalle et al. 2003, Smalle and Vierstra 2004). UPS has been shown to control the response of plants to elevated temperatures, UV irradiation, salt and drought (Lyzenga and Stone 2012). Recent studies have disclosed that in addition to its extensive role in stress signaling, ubiquitination followed by selective degradation is essential for the utilization of nutrients. The data highlighting the role of UPS in the ability of plants to uptake and process nutrients are still very limited and concern few examples of carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, zinc and iron metabolism (Peng et al. 2007, Sato et al. 2009, Long et al. 2010, Lingam et al. 2011, Sakamoto et al. 2011, Sato et al. 2011, Liu et al. 2012, Pratelli et al. 2012, Lin et al. 2013, Shin et al. 2013, Pan et al. 2019).

Growth of healthy, high-yielding crop plants requires a stable input of yet another nutrient: sulfur (S). The growing awareness for the significance of plant S nutrition has attracted the attention of many researchers; therefore, our knowledge about S metabolic pathways and regulatory aspects of S assimilation has expanded significantly during the last decades. The biochemistry of S assimilation is well characterized; however, there are still many unresolved questions regarding the regulation of S metabolism in response to both the availability of S in the environment and the increased demand of plants for S metabolites under certain environmental conditions (e.g. UV irradiation or heavy metals contamination inducing oxidative stress and the need for higher synthesis rate of glutathione).

With the prevalent occurrence of S deficiency in soils, it is essential to comprehend the molecular processes of plant responses to changing S nutrition conditions. Therefore, particular attention has been given to plant responses to S starvation, including transcriptomics and metabolomics approaches (Wawrzyńska et al. 2005, Watanabe and Hoefgen 2019). A general outcome of these works is that multilevel regulation is required to adjust the entire S flux to fulfill the new demands. Besides gene expression also translation efficiency, the activity of enzymes needed for sulfate assimilation and synthesis of S metabolites are regulated (Takahashi et al. 2011). The molecular bases of these regulations remain largely unknown.

Regulation of gene expression at the transcription stage is a major control point in many biological processes (BPs); however, the only trans-acting factor specifically regulating the transcription of the genes during S deficiency identified so far is SLIM1 (Sulfur LIMitation1) from Arabidopsis (Maruyama-Nakashita et al. 2006). SLIM1 belongs to a small plant-specific multigenic family of which several members were cloned and described in distinct species (Wawrzyńska and Sirko 2014). Six genes are annotated in the Arabidopsis genome to encode the EIL [Ethylene INSensitive3 (Eln3)-Like] family proteins with the best-characterized member being EIN3 (Guo and Ecker 2004). The transcription factor EIN3, together with its functional homologs EIL1 and EIL2, controls the expression of ethyleneresponsive genes (Chao et al. 1997, Solano et al. 1998). Many reports have highlighted the role of UPS in ethylene signaling.
Three groups independently discovered that EIN3 is ubiquitinated by interaction with two E3 proteins, EBF1 and EBF2 (EIN3 Binding F-box), and is therefore aimed at the 26S proteasome (Potuschak et al. 2003, Gagne et al. 2004, Guo and Ecker 2004). EBF1 acts constitutively at a low concentration of ethylene, thus repressing the ethylene response pathway. EBF2 works mainly in silencing the signal, after its activation by withdrawing EIN3, so the plants can resume normal growth more quickly (Binder et al. 2007). Other signals, such as glucose, light, phosphorylation and jasmonate, quantitatively regulate the amount of EIN3 (Wawrzynska and Sirko 2014), although the precise mechanisms of degradation are not yet identified. SLIM1 transcription is not influenced by S deficiency, so it is sensible to speculate that SLIM1 may involve posttranscriptional/post-translational processes to regulate its performance, comparable to its close EIN3 homolog. However, not much is known about posttranslational modifications in SLIM1 and the influence of ubiquitination on its stability. Unlike EIN3, whose protein level in the nucleus is affected by the stabilizing ethylene signal that blocks the action of EBF1 (Guo and Ecker 2003, Potuschak et al. 2003), S levels have not been known to alter the nuclear localization or abundance of SLIM1 protein tagged with Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) in Arabidopsis seedlings (Maruyama-Nakashita et al. 2006).

As there is an increasing understanding of UPS participation in nutrient deficiency response, its input to the S metabolism can be anticipated. Nevertheless, no reports connecting UPS with metabolism reprogramming due to S deficiency stress are available. Therefore, we decided to investigate the consequences of proteasome malfunction in Arabidopsis in S-deficient conditions. We discovered that the proper response to S deficiency is impaired due to the mutation of one of the proteasome regulatory proteins, RPT2A. This mutation affects the assembly and accumulation of the 26S proteasome resulting in reduced rates of UPS-dependent proteolysis (Kurepa et al. 2008). Studies in yeast revealed that RPT2 is necessary for the channel opening of the core proteasomal complex, and thus for proteasome activity (Kohler et al. 2001). In Arabidopsis, RPT2a is essential for maintaining root and shoot apical meristems' cellular organization and plays an important role in response to zinc deficiency and pathogens (Ueda et al. 2004, Sakamoto et al. 2011, Ustun et al. 2016). We further examined the stability of SLIM1 protein and its ability to interact with E3 ubiquitin ligases. In this capacity, we propose that ubiquitination and subsequent selective protein degradation undoubtedly have a role in adjusting plant metabolism to lower sulfur supply.

Results

Transcriptome response of rpt2a mutant to S deficiency

To examine the role of the proteasome during S deficiency, we compared transcriptional changes between 5-week-old Arabidopsis Columbia-0 (wild type, WT) and rpt2a mutant plants subjected to 5-day S starvation. The stressed plants did not show any S deficiency phenotype. Microarray chip hybridization was performed with the RNA isolated from rosettes and roots separately. The principal component analysis showed extensive clustering of the transcriptome data among the three samples from WT nS, WT dS, rpt2a nS and rpt2a dS (Supplementary Fig. S1). These results indicated that the transcriptome profile data were of sufficient quality to permit robust statistical analysis and interpretation.

First, we analyzed the S deficiency-responsive genes in the WT. The microarray data obtained from control WT were compared with that of S-starved WT. Most importantly, the S starvation stress was sensed by the plants as the S deficiency marker genes, SD1 (At5g48850) and LSU1 (At3g49580) (Sirko et al. 2014, Aarabi et al. 2016), showed clear induction in rosettes and root tissue (Supplementary Table S3). Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were defined as those with at least ±1.5-fold difference in expression and P-values ≤0.05 in comparison to WT grown in nS (Supplementary Table S3). We observed that the transcript levels of 336 and 484 genes changed significantly in S-starved rosettes and roots, respectively. Of these, in rosettes, 171 genes were identified as upregulated and 165 genes were qualified as downregulated by S starvation (Fig. 1B). Moreover, in roots, 303 genes were identified as upregulated and 181 genes were qualified as downregulated by S starvation (Fig. 1B). Remarkably, the number of
overlapping DEGs between the two plant tissues was very small, prompting us to analyze the two data sets separately.

To elucidate the importance of proteasome during S starvation, we next compared the transcriptional changes in rpt2a mutant challenged with S deficiency. In rosettes, of the 854 DEGs, 446 were upregulated and 408 were downregulated, while in roots, out of the 416 DEGs, 269 were upregulated and 147 were downregulated (Fig. 1B and Supplementary Table S3). Notably, rosettes of rpt2a mutant showed much more variation in gene expression than that of WT and shared only 68 common DEGs (Fig. 1A, B). For roots, the number of DEGs was of similar size for each genotype; however, only about one-quarter of the genes contributed to the common part (Fig. 1A, B). The higher number of DEGs observed in rpt2a rosettes might reflect the fact that rpt2a plants show different expressions of 891 genes in comparison to WT, even in non-S-starved conditions (Supplementary Fig. S2), underlining the necessity of properly functional 26S proteasomes for plant metabolism.

We performed a gene ontology (GO) analysis of DEGs using the functional annotation chart of the web-based tool agriGo v2.0. The BP GO classification of the DEGs for the WT and rpt2a plants identified 13 common GO terms ($P \leq 0.01$, Fig. 2, red bars) for rosettes and 9 for roots (Supplementary Fig. S3). Among the categories associated with DEGs in rosettes, ‘primary’ and ‘secondary metabolic processes’ and ‘response to stimulus’ were significantly overrepresented. Interestingly, ‘gene expression’, ‘protein metabolic process’ and ‘translation’ were especially enriched in rpt2a mutant, whereas ‘regulation of gene expression’, ‘response to ethylene’ and ‘sulfur compound metabolic process’ were better represented in the WT plants (Fig. 3). In roots, ‘regulation of gene expression’ and ‘sulfur compound metabolic process’ were again categories with augmented DEGs frequencies, mostly for WT plants (Supplementary Figs. S3, S4).

### Sulfur metabolism-connected genes show milder response in rpt2a mutant

It is now widely known that a single stressor, such as nutrient deficiency (e.g. S starvation), will affect not only S metabolism per se but also other interconnected and downstream processes. However, the GO category ‘sulfur compound metabolic process’ appeared to be enriched but mostly in the WT rosettes and roots. There are 474 genes assigned to the ‘sulfur compound metabolic process’ GO category. Surprisingly, we noticed that genes from this GO category were generally less regulated in rpt2a mutant. Despite the observed overreaction to S starvation of the rpt2a rosette transcriptomes in comparison to the WT plants, there were fewer genes with expression changed to the lesser extent that were actually connected with S metabolism (Fig. 4A and Supplementary Table S4). This phenomenon was even more visible for the root tissue (Fig. 4B).
Transcription and translation are enhanced by proteasome malfunction in S-deficient rosettes

Two GO terms, ‘gene expression’ and ‘translation’, were significantly overrepresented in the group of DEGs regulated in rosettes, but only in the rpt2a mutant background (Figs. 2, 3 and Supplementary Table S4). The main contributors to those categories were small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA) and pre-transfer RNA (tRNA) genes, both being significantly upregulated. The change in the expression level of ‘gene expression’ and ‘translation’ GO categories genes in the rosettes of the WT plants and rpt2a mutant was illustrated with volcano plots (Fig. 5A, B). There are 426 pre-tRNA genes according to the TAIR10 Arabidopsis genome release. After trimming them to 155 genes encoding transcripts that could be differentiated by means of microarray hybridization, 77 transcripts showed upregulation and only 7 were downregulated. Among the upregulated transcripts, tRNAs with anticodons for asparagine, glutamine, glycine, histidine and tryptophan showed specific enrichment (Supplementary Fig. S7). To estimate the translation efficiency, the total level of proteins was assayed in rosettes and roots but also in 7- and 14-day S-starved seedlings of the WT plants and rpt2a mutant. No significant differences were noticed apart from clear inhibition of translation in long-term S-starved seedlings of both genotypes (Supplementary Fig. S8).

Transcription factors’ expression driven by S deficiency is repressed in rpt2a mutant roots

Analysis of GO term enrichment showed that in the WT roots, in addition to the ‘sulfur compound metabolic process’, the ‘regulation of gene expression’ is specifically enhanced (Supplementary Figs. S3, S4). We do not observe such situations in rpt2a mutant roots, which is clearly depicted by volcano plots (Fig. 6A). The category ‘regulation of gene expression’ includes 2,864 genes, encoding mostly transcription factors of different families. Results show that 78 transcription factors within more than five families were differentially expressed due to S deficiency (Fig. 6B and Supplementary Table S4). Of these, the ethylene response factor (ERF), basic helix-loop–helix (bHLH), MYB, NAC and WRKY families of genes made up of the four most abundant DEGs. Whereas 14 members of the bHLH family, as well as 8 members of the MYB family, exhibited increased patterns of expression under S starvation stress, 10 members of the ERF family and 5 members of the WRKY were downregulated. Only 40 genes encoding transcription factors were regulated in rpt2a mutant under the same conditions (Fig. 6B). Interestingly, among those, there are no members of the MYB gene family, while in the WT plants there are 11 genes, mostly belonging to the R2R3 type.

Expression of several UPS genes is affected by S deficiency

We were interested in whether S deficiency affects the expression level of any of the components of the ubiquitination cycle; therefore, we checked the regulation of all genes encoding E1, E2 and E3 enzymes. Interestingly, different genes were regulated in response to S starvation in rosettes and roots of the WT plants and rpt2a mutant (Supplementary Fig. S9). While in rosettes, there were only nine genes regulated in the WT plants compared to 30 in rpt2a mutant, the opposite reaction was observed for root tissue, with 21 genes for the WT plants and only 8 genes for the rpt2a mutant showing changed expression
Supplementary Table S4. We decided to verify the expression of six selected genes in the very same plant material by qRT-PCR, namely three genes from rosettes, RING9 (At4g19700), ATL13 (At4g30400) and BTB1 (At5g67480), and three genes from roots, FBS1 (At1g61340), RING2 (At2g20030) and PHD3 (At5g40590). All six genes encode E3 ligases from different families. The data generally confirmed the results from microarrays (Fig. 7). The marker gene for S starvation conditions, SDI1, showed induction in roots of both genotypes but only for the WT rosettes, which confirmed our abovementioned observation that rpt2a mutant displays milder responses of genes belonging to the ‘sulfur metabolism’ GO category.

Fig. 4  Distribution of rosettes (A) and roots (B) transcripts based on the GO term ‘sulfur metabolism’. On the left are volcano plots for the WT plants, and on the right for rpt2a mutant, both under S deficiency. Light gray dots represent all genes, while the specific GO category is represented by dark gray dots and black dots (significantly changed; fold change \( \geq 1.5 \) and \( P \leq 0.05 \)).
The BTB1, RING9 and ATL13 showed differential expression only for the rosette tissue, and RING2 is induced only in roots while FB51 and PHD3 are regulated in both tissues.

Next, to see if the observed change of expression of selected genes encoding E3 ligases is general during S starvation we decided to look at their levels in 10-day-old S-starved seedlings (Fig. 4). The BTB1, RING9 and ATL13 showed differential expression only for the rosette tissue, and RING2 is induced only in roots while FB51 and PHD3 are regulated in both tissues.

(Supplementary Fig. S10). Both, the WT and rpt2a seedlings grown in s media demonstrated extensive upregulation of the SD1 gene, proving that the stress conditions were sensed. Almost all assayed genes showed similar patterns of differential expression in response to S starvation when compared to the mature plants.

Fig. 5 Distribution of rosettes transcripts based on the GO term (A) ‘translation’ and (B) ‘gene expression’. On the left are volcano plots for the WT plants, and on the right for rpt2a mutant, both under S deficiency. Light gray dots represent all genes, while the specific GO category is represented by dark gray dots and black dots (significantly changed; fold change ≥1.5 and P ≤ 0.05).
Protein ubiquitination status is enhanced by S deficiency

To further investigate the effects of S deficiency on ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis, we compared the profiles of ubiquitin-conjugated proteins between the WT plants and rpt2a mutant in different tissues. Western blot analysis indicated that polyubiquitinated proteins were slightly more abundant in dS in both WT plants and rpt2a mutant though the statistically significant increase was indicated only for the latter (Fig. 8 and Supplementary Fig. S11). This effect was most apparent in the WT seedlings that were grown in dS conditions for longer periods (10 d) than the mature plants (5 d). In addition, there were more polyubiquitinated proteins accumulated in the mutant seedlings compared to the WT plants under the nS conditions (Fig. 8 and Supplementary Fig. S11). This suggests that either ubiquitin-dependent 26S proteasome activity was inhibited, especially in the rpt2a mutant, or the protein ubiquitination system was activated under the dS conditions.

The level of transcriptional factor SLIM1 is controlled by the proteasome

To study the regulation and function of the major regulatory factor of S metabolism, SLIM1, we constructed transgenic Arabidopsis lines overexpressing either full-length protein (SLIM1_OX) or its C-terminal half [amino acids (aa): 286–567;
Fig. 7  Relative expression levels of the selected genes encoding E3 ubiquitin ligases in rosettes and roots of 5-week-old plants grown on dS media for 5 d analyzed by microarrays and validated by qRT-PCR. The Arabidopsis gene for Actin2 was used as an internal control to normalize the expression data and SD1 expression served to confirm S deficiency response. Bars represent mean fold change levels and SD assayed in dS-grown WT and rpt2a mutant plants in comparison with the expression of adequate Arabidopsis line grown in nS. Asterisks indicate significant differential expression ($P < 0.05$; three-way ANOVA for microarrays data and Student’s t-test for qRT-PCR results). The black horizontal lines show the cutoff value of a 1.5-fold change. The expression level showed was averaged from three independent biological replicates, split into three technical replicates, each containing a pool of three to five plants.
Next, we wanted to check whether dS conditions affect the rate of SLIM1 protein degradation. To address this issue, we blocked translation elongation using cycloheximide (CHX) and compared the levels of SLIM1 protein in the seedlings grown for 11 d on either nS or dS. As shown in Fig. 9B, the levels of SLIM1 remained constitutively high in the presence or absence of S but decreased rapidly when treated with CHX, indicating that de novo protein synthesis is required for the observed SLIM1 protein accumulation. In conclusion, prolonged 11-day S starvation of plants did not have any protective effect on SLIM1 protein. However, in the shorter times of S starvation (2 d), SLIM1 was apparently degraded at a lower rate than after longer starvation (Fig. 9C and Supplementary Fig. S12C). Thus, we assume that, at the early stages of S deficiency, the constitutive degradation of SLIM1 protein is repressed.

The level of the close homolog of SLIM1, EIN3 protein, is controlled by specific signals like ethylene and others like glucose, light and phosphorylation (Potuschak et al. 2003, Lee et al. 2006, Yoo et al. 2008). We decided to check the effect of glucose and the ethylene biosynthetic precursor, 1-aminoacyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC), on SLIM1 protein stability (Fig. 9D and Supplementary Fig. S12D). Unlike the effects observed for EIN3, where there was a positive correlation between the levels of EIN3 protein and ACC concentration, SLIM1 protein was not protected against degradation by the ACC treatment. On the other hand, it has been found that glucose can promote EIN3 degradation by an unknown mechanism, but we did not notice a similar effect on SLIM1 abundance. The level of transgenically overexpressed SLIM1 protein did not drop after treatments of the plants with glucose (Fig. 9D and Supplementary Fig. S12D).

**SLIM1 interaction with F-box protein, EBF1**

Because SLIM1 abundance is regulated by a proteasome-dependent pathway, we speculated that one or more specific E3 ubiquitin ligases would mediate the SLIM1 degradation process. Our attempts to find such a protein by means of co-immunoprecipitation and yeast two-hybrid screen of Arabidopsis cDNA library failed. The major challenge in using these strategies is that E3 ligase–substrate interactions are often too weak for successful co-purification of the target protein. We decided to use a targeted method, to determine whether the E3 ligases, EBF1 and EBF2, described to recognize EIN3 transcription factor, can also interact with other proteins of the EIL protein family. Yeast two-hybrid results clearly demonstrated the ability of EBF1 and EBF2 to recognize EIN3, EIL1 and EIL2, which is in agreement with literature data (Potuschak et al. 2003) (Fig. 10). Surprisingly, only EBF1 dimerizes with SLIM1 while EBF2 is unable to interact with SLIM1, EIL4 and EIL5 interact with neither EBF1 nor EBF2 (Fig. 10). The interaction region with EBF1 was further localized to the C-terminal part of SLIM1 (aa: 287–568), while its N-terminal part (aa: 1–286) cannot bind to this F-box protein (Supplementary Fig. S13A). The binding abilities between SLIM1 and EBF1 were further confirmed using the pull-down assay (Fig. 10B). We could not overexpress the full-length SLIM1 or C-terminal half of the SLIM1 protein in

![Supplementary Fig. S12B](image-url)
SLIM1 protein is rapidly degraded through a proteasome-dependent pathway. (A) SLIM1 protein is stabilized by a specific proteasome inhibitor. Protein extracts from Arabidopsis 11-day-old SLIM1_OX seedlings were treated with mock (1% DMSO) or MG132 (50 μM) for 12 h at 4°C before immunoblot assays. (B) SLIM1 full-length protein is quickly degraded after translation inhibition. Arabidopsis 11-day-old SLIM1_OX and SLIM1-Ct_OX as controls were treated with CHX and/or glycerol (gIC), ACC or CHX, ACC. (continued)
Escherichia coli; therefore, the C-terminal half was further split into two equal parts: SLIM1_C1 (aa: 287–428) and SLIM1_C2 (aa: 418–568). The interaction with EBF1 was observed only for the SLIM1_C1 fragment (Fig. 10B).

Discussion

The aim of this study was to find the links connecting S metabolism with selective protein degradation. We report for the first time the involvement of the UPS-mediated degradation in the regulation of transcriptional response of Arabidopsis to S deficiency stress. It is also worth to underline that this is the first time that the transcriptomic analyses were done on rosettes and roots of mature plants subjected to short-time S starvation. All the existent microarray analyses were performed on Arabidopsis seedlings or mature plants kept in S deficiency conditions for at least 3 weeks (Watanabe and Hoeftgen 2019). Different mechanisms might be responsible for transcriptional regulation during other developmental stages (e.g. mature plants versus seedlings) and different responses might be observed under various S starvation regimes. Our goal was to assay mainly the primary response to S deficiency and to exclude the secondary effects arising from the adaptive response; therefore, the stress was applied for a short time (5 d) yet sufficient to induce marker genes.

To enlighten the role of the proteasome during S starvation, we screened the transcriptional changes in rpt2a mutant challenged with S deficiency. Notably, rosettes of rpt2a mutant showed much more gene expression variability sharing a very small fraction of DEGs with the WT (8.6%). This might suggest that plants with proteasomal malfunction are overreacting to the stressful condition. On the contrary, in the category ‘sulfur compound metabolic process’, there were fewer genes and with expression changed to a lesser extent in the rpt2a mutant rosettes and roots. This proves the importance of proteasomal degradation processes during the response of Arabidopsis to S deficiency; the malfunction of the proteasome causes the delay of the reaction, which might lead to weaker adaptation of the plant to new S conditions.

During transcriptomic analyses, we found out that genes for snoRNA and pre-tRNA are significantly upregulated in rpt2a mutant rosettes. snoRNAs can be found in archaea as well as in eukaryotes and are involved in the chemical modification in nucleotides in RNA, mainly in ribosomal RNA in regions important for translation (Swiotowy and Jagodzinski 2018) and necessary for the assembly of ribosomal subunits (Kressler et al. 2017). tRNA is responsible for the supply of free aa to the ribosomes for translation. The increased levels of both tRNAs and snoRNAs suggest the upregulation of ribosome biogenesis. Nonetheless, we did not observe the enhancement of total protein level in rpt2a mutant during S starvation (Supplementary Fig. S8). It was already verified that knockout mutation of the RPT2a gene did not alter global protein levels (Sako et al. 2012). However, mechanisms responsible for the observed upregulation of tRNA and snoRNA expressions under S deficiency and their link to proteasomal degradation remain unclear. The tRNA modulation represents a mechanism by which cells achieve altered expression of specific transcripts and proteins; however, we do not know whether such a mechanism was observed in this study. The physiological significance of variations in expression levels of individual tRNA genes is uncertain due to the large genetic redundancy of tRNA genes. This is especially true for variations in isodecoder gene sets (tRNAs having the same anticodon sequence), where no influence can be invoked on the use of codon during translation. Differences in isodecoder tRNA gene expression between different human samples do not lead to changes in the levels of mature tRNAs, but rather in a modification in the abundance of alternative products of the genes, such as tRNA degradation fragments that are linked to non-canonical biological functions unrelated to protein synthesis (Torres et al. 2019).

Only recently, with the development of next-generation sequencing, very small structures of ribonucleic acids (sRNA, 18–30 nucleotides long) were discovered. Initially, these molecules were thought to be a transient product of the degradation of tRNA, tRNA or snoRNA. Their wide occurrence in all living organisms suggests that they evolved early as one of the primary mechanisms of gene expression regulation (Babski et al. 2014). Increasing evidence suggests that sRNAs are important regulatory components in plant development and stress responses (Kanthan et al. 2015). Small tRNA-derived fragments (tRFs) are involved in the inhibition of protein synthesis and gene silencing either by targeting mRNA sequences or by competing with the original small RNAs for loading onto the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) (Wang et al. 2016). Interestingly, the generation of certain tRFs might be determined by tRNAs with amino acid specificity under different stress conditions or by distinct tissue types (Park and Kim 2018). The snoRNA-derived small RNAs (sdRNA) are the second most widely discussed class of noncoding RNA degradants. Recently, a functional analysis of sdRNAs revealed that they could have an miRNA-like function.

**Fig. 9** Continued

SLIM-Ct-OX seedlings grown on nS or dS media were supplemented with 100 μM of CHX and incubated for 6 h before harvesting. (C) Short-term S deficiency stabilizes SLIM1 protein. Seven-day-old SLIM-OX seedlings were transferred to either nS or dS media for the indicated amounts of time and next supplemented with 100 μM of CHX and incubated for 6 h before harvesting. (D) The effect of glucose or ACC on the stability of SLIM1. Arabidopsis 11-day-old SLIM1-OX seedlings grown on nS were supplemented with 100 μM of CHX, 10 mM glucose, 10 μM ACC or in combinations and incubated for 6 h before harvesting. The upper panel of each picture shows the result of Western blot probed with anti-Myc antibodies while the lower panel shows the amount of Rubisco Large Subunit protein used as a loading control stained with Ponceau S after transfer to the membrane. The experiments were repeated three to four times with different biological replicates each time (Supplementary Fig. S12). The graphs below the Western blots show the densitometric analysis, normalized against Ponceau staining of total proteins and are presented as the mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). The significantly different samples (P ≤ 0.05) are indicated with different letters.
but could also affect the alternative splicing of mRNAs (Wang et al. 2016). In reaction to stress, RNA metabolism is an important element of translational repression and splice site changes. In Arabidopsis and barley, trFs and sdRNAs affect the level of these proteins through posttranscriptional regulation. Future research that identifies if trF and sdRNA formations are actually induced during S deficiency stress and what the target genes are will lead to a better understanding of the regulatory mechanisms. Analysis of GO term enrichment demonstrated that in the WT roots, the ‘regulation of gene expression’ containing mostly transcription factor genes is specifically enhanced. Only half of the DEGs encoding transcription factors were regulated in the rpt2a mutant with none of the MYB family comparing to 11 MYBs changed in the WT, mostly belonging to the R2R3 type that is only present in plants (Stracke et al. 2001). Several R2R3 MYB family transcription factors have been identified as positive or negative regulators of indole glucosinolates synthesis/degradation that are secondary S-containing metabolites found in the Brassicaceae family (Watanabe and Hoefgen 2019). The backbone of glucosinolates contains three S atoms, which can account for up to 30% of the total S content of the entire plant; therefore, it is not surprising that in the situation of S deficiency, their contents might need to be tightly regulated (Falk et al. 2007). In the WT plants, two of the MYBs suggested to be associated with S metabolism are downregulated, namely MYBS1 and MYBS6. Proteasomal malfunction and possibly ubiquitin-mediated degradation might then affect glucosinolates metabolism.

We identified several E3 ligases with genes showing changed expression due to S deficiency. The altered levels of transcript of six selected genes from different E3 ligase families, namely RING9 (At4g19700); ATL13 (At4g30400); BTB1 (At5g67480); FBS1 (At1g61340); RING2 (At2g20030); and PHD3 (At5g40590), were validated in different tissues and stages of plant growth. Surprisingly, FBS1 was strongly induced in seedlings, while it was repressed in rosettes and roots of 5-week-old plants. PHD3 did not respond to S starvation in the seedlings, suggesting that it may play a role in other developmental stages of plants. Finding the proteins that are targeted by these E3 ligases will be the subject of future research. So far, only a few E3 ligases have been associated with response to certain biotic and abiotic stresses (Xu and Xue 2019).

Comparison of the profiles of ubiquitin-conjugated proteins between the WT plants and rpt2a mutant in different tissues in the phosphate deficiency and drought stress responses, while heat and osmotic stresses induce sdRNA in wheat (Wang et al. 2016). Under the dS conditions of our experiment, Arabidopsis rosettes of rpt2a mutant showed upregulation of S7 out of 71 snoRNAs assigned in the TAIR10 Arabidopsis genome release. We do not know whether these snoRNAs undergo degradation together with induced pre-trRNAs. By switching from functional trRNAs and snoRNAs for enhancing translation into inhibitory trFs and sdRNAs for the suppression of normal metabolism, these molecules might provide a sequence-specific tool for fine-tuning the gene expression in response to S deficiency stress conditions. By immediately reducing gene expression using trFs and sdRNAs, plants can minimize unwanted cellular responses and improve survival under stress. It is tempting to speculate that, in the conditions of S deficiency stress and proteasomal malfunction where the proteins to be removed cannot be efficiently degraded, plants turn on the rescue mechanism to reduce the level of these proteins through posttranscriptional regulation. Future research that identifies if trF and sdDNA formations are actually induced during S deficiency stress and what the target genes are will lead to a better understanding of the regulatory mechanisms.
indicated that polyubiquitinated proteins were vaguely more abundant in dS in both WT plants and rpt2a mutant. This indicates that ubiquitin-dependent 26S proteasome activity was suppressed under the dS conditions. It has been found that the upregulation of 26S proteasome subunit genes reflects a decrease in its activity in plants (Kurepa et al. 2008, Sakamoto et al. 2011). The fact that proteasomal activity is inhibited in dS conditions and in rpt2a mutant suggests the possible existence of specific unknown substrates degraded through this proteolytic pathway in response to S deficiency and specifically processed through RPT2A. During zinc deficiency, RPT2A and RPT5A have been shown to be upregulated, but their paralogs RPT2B and RPT5B were not, indicating that the involvement of a certain subunit might be stress specific (Sakamoto et al. 2011). It is suggested that different proteasome subunits may play distinct and specific roles in environmental stress tolerance (Xu and Xue 2019). Although we did not find any significant changes in the expression of RPT genes in 5-week-old plants maintained in dS conditions for 5 d, we cannot exclude that they directly interact with certain elements of S metabolism, such as SLIM1. In future experiments, we are planning to check whether the degradation of SLIM1 is mediated by RPT2a or any other RPTs.

SLIM1 can interact with E3 ligase EBF1 but not with EBF2. EBF1 and EBF2 share a 57% identity in amino acid sequence (Guo and Ecker 2003), and each carries a well-conserved F-box motif at the N-terminus and 18 tandem leucine-rich repeats (LRRs) in the C-terminus. LRR domains from both proteins were enough to interact with EIN3 protein in yeast two-hybrid assays (Guo and Ecker 2003). Interestingly, it was also demonstrated that the C-terminus of EIN3 is necessary for the interaction, while the C-terminal part is highly divergent in the EIL protein family, sharing only a 24% identity with SLIM1. However, the interaction region with EBF1 is also located in the C-terminal part of SLIM1. The results of the pull-down locate it further to aa 287–428 (Fig. 10B). Interestingly, alignment of the amino acid sequences of the three so far described proteins able to interact with EBF1, namely EIN3, EIL1 and PIF3 (Potuschak et al. 2003, Jiang et al. 2017), with SLIM1 amino acid sequence shows several conserved residues mapping to the fragment proved to interact with EBF1 (Supplementary Fig. S13B). That motif could be potentially recognized by EBF1. Though EBF1 clearly interacts with the C-terminal fragment of SLIM1, it does not lead to its degradation (Fig. 9B). This might suggest that the lysine(s) to be ubiquitinated therefore marking SLIM1 for degradation are located out of the C-terminal region. We did not test whether the interaction of EBF1 with SLIM1 was responsible for the quick degradation of the latter protein and whether it was influenced by the S nutritional status; however, it will be the matter of the future experiments. It is especially interesting because EIN3 and SLIM1 can form heterodimers to outcompete SLIM1 from its targeted DNA-binding sites (Wawrzynska and Sirko 2016). The ability of both proteins to interact with EBF1 adds yet another layer of regulation.

**Conclusions**

The impact of ubiquitin-mediated protein degradation determines many aspects of the response to external and internal stimuli in plants. UPS degradation is critical for the rapid inhibition of target protein activity, such as transcription factors, and the rapid release or activation of downstream targets, such as protein repressors. We presented and discussed novel findings on the regulation of S metabolism through proteasomal degradation. Though it is a challenge to fully characterize the UPS and its substrates for their diverse role in S metabolism, resolving these regulation mechanisms will pave the way to improve S acquisition and utilization efficiency in crops.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant material and growth conditions**

Wild-type *A. thaliana* and all employed mutant lines were of the Columbia-0 (Col-0) ecotype. The T-DNA insertion mutants, such as rpt2a-1, rpn10-2 and rpn12a-1, were a kind gift of J. Smalle (Kurepa et al. 2009). Transgenic lines overexpressing full-length SLIM1 or C-terminal half of the SLIM1 protein were obtained by the Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated floral dip method (Clough and Bent 1998). For most assays, seeds were surface-sterilized (Zientara et al. 2009), exposed to 4°C in the dark for 3 d and then germinated on 0.7% agar containing half-strength Hoagland’s medium (Schat et al. 1996). Two types of media were used in the experiments that contained either normal sulfur supply (nS; 1 mM sulfate) or sulfur deficient (dS; 10 μM sulfate). Seedlings were grown under a 12/12-h light/dark cycle at a temperature of 22°C. For drug treatments, seedling protein extracts were treated with MG132 (50 μM), or dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; 1%) for 12 h at 4°C. Alternatively, seedlings were incubated in the presence of CHX (100 μM), glucose (10 mM) or ACC (10 μM). All chemicals were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MI, USA). Plant material for transcriptomic analyses was grown in Araponics’ boxes (Araponics, Lieve, Belgium) kept under an 8/16-h light/dark cycle at a temperature of 22°C (light intensity 157.67 ± 19.78 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹; 16 plants/box) for 5 weeks, with weekly changes in the medium. Before harvesting, plants were kept either in the same media (nS) or S starved for 5 d in dS media.

**Gene cloning and plasmid construction**

Standard techniques were used for DNA restriction/ligation manipulation and *E. coli* transformation (Green et al. 2012); all enzymes were from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA). Gateway BP and LR recombination reactions were performed as described in the manufacturer’s protocols using original plasmids (pENTR/D-TOPO, pDEST22, pDEST32) and enzymes (Thermo Fisher Scientific). For the transgenic plant construction, entry clones encoding full-length SLIM1 (aa 1–568) and SLIM-Ct truncated protein (aa 287–568) were recombined into binary vector pGWB420 (Nakagawa et al. 2007, RRID: Addgene_74814). All plasmid constructions were verified by DNA sequencing. Primers used for amplification and appropriate constructs obtained after cloning are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

**Yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) assay**

Manipulation of yeast cells and protein interaction screening were performed according to standard protocols (Clontech Yeast Protocol Handbook, PT3024-1). The Y2HGold strain of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (Takara Bio USA; Inc., Mountain View, CA) was used for transformation, and the protein interactions in Y2H were confirmed for their ability to activate the reporter genes HIS3 or ADE2 in triplicate (three independently transformed yeast colonies).

**Gene expression analysis**

Total RNA was isolated from seedlings using TRI Reagent (Chomczynski and Sacchi 1987). For the qRT-PCR analysis, 2 μg of RNA was reverse-transcribed using a Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. As a template for qRT-PCR, the 100 times dilutions of synthesized cDNA were used. The qRT-PCR was performed in a PikoReal™ Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Scientific, Lithuania). The gene encoding actin 2 (At3g18780) was selected as an internal
control to normalize the quantity of total RNA present in each sample. All the primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in Supplementary Table S2. The specificity of the forward and reverse primers to the candidate gene was checked using the NCBI-BLAST website (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast/Blast.cgi) (June 16, 2020, date last accessed), RRID: SCR_004870 and melting curve analysis following qRT-PCR. The reaction mixture (6 μl) contained 3 μl of DyNAmo Color Flash SYBR Green Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific), 0.6 μM each of forward and reverse primers and 1 μl of cDNA template. The qRT-PCR was carried out in triplicate (technical repeats) of three biological repeats to ensure the reproducibility of the results. Relative gene expression levels were calculated using the delta-delta Ct method (Livak and Schmittgen 2001) as the transcription level under dS stress treatment compared to the transcription level in control conditions (nS). Statistical differences were analyzed using Student’s t-test.

Microarray analysis

For the microarray analysis, hydroponically grown 5-week-old WT and rep2a plants were transferred to dS media for 5 d. Three biological (each consisting of 4–5 plants) replicates per genotype and treatment were collected and divided into rosettes and roots. RNA was isolated from stressed and control plants using Direct-zol RNA MiniPrep kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, CA, USA) and digested with Turbo DNase (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Microarray expression analysis was performed using the Affymetrix Gene Atlas system according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A mass of 100 ng of total RNA that passed an initial quality control screen was prepared for the Affymetrix whole-transcriptome microarray analysis using the Ambion WT Expression Kit (4411973). The resulting sense-strand cDNA was fragmented and labeled using the Affymetrix GeneChip® WT Terminal Labeling Kit (part no. 900671). Labeled samples were hybridized to the Arabidopsis Gene 1.1 ST Array Strip (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The microarrays were then scanned with an Affymetrix GeneAtlas scanner, and the intensity signals for each of the probe sets were written by Affymetrix software into CEL files. The CEL files were imported into the Transcriptome Analysis Console Software 4.0 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) with the use of robust multi-array averaging. During this step, background correction was applied based on the global distribution of the perfect match probe intensities and the affinity for each of the probes (based on their sequences) was calculated. In addition, the probe intensities were quantile normalized (Bolstad et al. 2003) and log₂-transformed and the median polish summarization was applied to each of the probe sets. Qualitative analysis was then performed (e.g. principal components analysis) to identify outliers and artifacts on the microarray. The microarray data have been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) (June 16, 2020, date last accessed), RRID: SCR_005012 and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE137728.

Immunoblot analyses

For protein isolation, seedlings were homogenized in 100 μl of extraction buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5) with 1 μl of Protein Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich) and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 5 min at 4°C. Protease inhibitor MG132 (1 μl from 5 mM stock, Sigma-Aldrich) or DMSO was added, if indicated, and samples were kept at 4°C for 12 h. Proteins were separated on 10% SDS–polyacrylamide gels, transferred to the nitrocellulose membrane (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and visualized by staining with Ponceau S. Membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk and then probed with either mouse anti-c-Myc monoclonal IgG (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA Cat # sc-40, RRID: AB_627268) for tagged SLIM1 detection or anti-UBQ11 polyclonal IgG (AgriSer, Vännäs, Sweden Cat # A508 307, RRID: AB_2256904). Goat anti-mouse IgG (Sigma-Aldrich Cat # A3662, RRID: AB_258091) or goat anti-rabbit (Sigma-Aldrich Cat # A3687, RRID: AB_258103) coupled to alkaline phosphatase was used as a secondary antibody. Proteins were visualized by adding 5 ml of 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate/nitro blue tetrazolium solution (BioShop, Burlington, Ontario, Canada) at room temperature. The band intensities of the protein of interest were quantified using ImageJ (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA), and the densitometric values were normalized against Ponceau staining of the membrane. Next, they were analyzed using normalization where data points are divided by the sum of all data points in a replicate (Normalization by Sum of all Data Points in a Replicate; Degasperi et al. 2014). The statistical significance was tested by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Fisher’s Least Significant Difference (LSD) post hoc test.

Pull-down assay

The plasmids encoding the N-terminal Glutathione S-transferase (GST) or c-myc tag linked to the proteins being investigated are listed in Supplementary Table S1. All GST- and c-myc-tagged proteins were expressed in E. coli Rosetta (DE3). Total proteins containing c-myc fusion proteins (EBF1 or EBF2) were extracted from bacteria by sonication in Radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (RIPA buffer;Thermo Fisher Scientific) supplemented with Proteinase Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich) and purified on EZview® Red Anti-c-myc Affinity Gel (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Next, the crude extracts containing GST fusion proteins [SUM1_C (aa: 287–428), SLIM1_C2 (aa: 418–568) or SLIM1_Nt (aa: 1–286)] were incubated with above-prepared raisins at 4°C overnight with gentle rocking. The raisins were washed six times with RIPA buffer, boiled with 2× SDS–PAGE gel loading buffer, subjected to SDS–PAGE and then immunoblotted using mouse monoclonal anti-GST IgG and anti-c-myc IgG (Sigma-Aldrich, G1160 and Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., SC-40) as primary antibody and anti-mouse IgG conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (Sigma-Aldrich, AS562) as a secondary antibody.

Bioinformatic tools

After the quality checks of microarray data, the three-way ANOVA model using the method of moments (Eisenhart 1947) was applied, which permitted the creation of lists of genes that were significantly and differentially expressed between the biological variants (cutoff values: P-value ≤ 0.05, fold change ≥ 1.5). The function was ascribed to the obtained lists of genes using the agrigo V.20 analysis tool (Tian et al. 2017) (http://systemsbiology.cau.edu.cn/agriGOv2) (June 16, 2020, date last accessed), RRID: SCR_0069890) with TAJIR10_2017 background and Plant GO Slim list of GO terms. The heat maps were drawn using the Network Analysis Tools (NeAT) webpage (http://rsat.ab-roscoll.fr/draw_heatmap_form.php) (June 16, 2020, date last accessed).

Supplementary Data

Supplementary data are available at PCP online.
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