

# AUTHOR QUERY SHEET

---

**Author(s)** : M. Gutkowska E. Swiezewska

**Article title** : Structure, regulation and cellular functions of Rab geranylgeranyl transferase and its cellular partner Rab Escort Protein

**Article no** : 693211

**Enclosures** : 1) Query sheet  
2) Article proofs

---

Dear Author,

**Please check these proofs carefully.** It is the responsibility of the corresponding author to check against the original manuscript and approve or amend these proofs. A second proof is not normally provided. Informa Healthcare cannot be held responsible for uncorrected errors, even if introduced during the composition process. The journal reserves the right to charge for excessive author alterations, or for changes requested after the proofing stage has concluded.

The following queries have arisen during the editing of your manuscript and are marked in the margins of the proofs. Unless advised otherwise, submit all corrections using the CATS online correction form. Once you have added all your corrections, please ensure you press the “Submit All Corrections” button.

---

|     |  |
|-----|--|
| AQ1 | Please review the table of contributors below and confirm that the first and last names are structured correctly and that the authors are listed in the correct order of contribution. |
|-----|--|

| Contrib. No. | Given name(s) | Surname    | Suffix |
|--------------|---------------|------------|--------|
| 1            | Malgorzata    | Gutkowska  |        |
| 2            | Ewa           | Swiezewska |        |

|     |  |
|-----|--|
| AQ2 | We have inserted a running head. Please approve or provide an alternative running head.  |
| AQ3 | Please check that the author names and affiliations have been set correctly.   |
| AQ4 | Please clarify -CXCX, -CCXX or -XXCC. Are the letters prefixed with hyphens, dashes or minus signs and should they be identical? |
| AQ5 | Please confirm whether the statement is accurate.  |
| AQ6 | Is there an update for Coussa and Traboulsi (2011) in print? If so, please give journal volume no. and page span.                |
| AQ7 | Is there an update for Tolmachova et al. (2012) in print? If so, please give journal volume no. and page span.                   |

# Structure, regulation and cellular functions of Rab geranylgeranyl transferase and its cellular partner Rab Escort Protein

MALGORZATA GUTKOWSKA, & EWA SWIEZEWSKA

**AQ3** Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

(Received 24 February 2012; and in revised form 4 May 2012)

## Abstract

Rab geranylgeranyl transferase is an enzyme responsible for double geranylgeranylation of Rab proteins in all eukaryotic cells. In the present article we would like to focus on new findings concerning the holoenzyme structure and mechanism of catalytic activity, its mode of regulation and consequences of RGGT deficiency in different eucaryotic model organisms and patients.

**Keywords:** Rab geranylgeranyl transferase, Rab Escort Protein, choroideremia

## Introduction

Protein prenylation is the post translational modification leading to an attachment of a 15-carbon farnesyl or 20-carbon geranylgeranyl isoprenoid chain to a cysteine residue in a protein by a thioether bond. Three enzymes catalyse this modification: Farnesyl transferase (FT), Geranylgeranyl transferase I (GGT I) or Rab geranylgeranyl transferase (RGGT syn. GGT II). The cysteine is localized in a conserved amino acid motif at the C-terminus of the polypeptide and the recognised sequence is specific for each of the enzymes. FT and GGT I recognize the -CAAX motif (cysteine, two aliphatic amino acids, any amino acid) and RGGT recognizes the group of motifs -CXCX, -CCXX or -XXCC. RGGT is an enzyme responsible exclusively for prenylation of proteins belonging to the Rab GTPase family. The RGGT complex is built of two subunits:  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  forming a catalytic core and an accessory, substrate presenting protein – Rab Escort Protein (REP). The double geranylgeranylation enables the proper localization of Rab proteins in the cell membranes. Unmodified Rabs localize to the cytoplasm where they are unable to perform their normal function in vesicle budding, transport and fusion.

The RGGT activity was discovered in 1980s and since then has been the subject of increasing attention. Many interesting aspects of the enzyme structure, mode of substrate recognition and involvement in

pathophysiology of human disease have been thoroughly reviewed in earlier articles by Alory and Balch (2001), Leung et al. (2006), Hutagalung and Novick (2011), Nguyen et al. (2010) and Coussa and Traboulsi (2011).

In the present article we would like to focus on new findings concerning the holoenzyme structure and mechanism of catalytic activity, its mode of regulation and consequences of RGGT deficiency in different eucaryotic model organisms and patients.

## The structure of RGGT

Crystallographic studies on the structure of Rab Geranylgeranyl Transferase (RGGT) have started more than a decade ago with the release of the structure of the heterodimer of the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits of the rat enzyme (Zhang et al. 2000). So far, efforts to obtain the structure of the whole complex of RGGT  $\alpha\beta$  heterodimer together with Rab Escort Protein (REP) bound to Rab protein and prenyl substrate (geranylgeranyl diphosphate GGPP) or prenylated product have not been successful due to the low diffraction of a crystal of such a multidomain complex (Rak et al. 2001, Wu et al. 2009). Structures of distinct functional modules of the complex ( $\alpha\beta$  heterodimer of RGGT (Zhang et al. 2000), REP/monoprenylated Rab7 (Rak et al. 2004), REP with  $\alpha\beta$  heterodimer (Pylypenko et al. 2003) and structure of a ternary complex with truncated  $\alpha$  subunit (Guo et al. 2008)

68 have been solved experimentally leading to a detailed  
69 computational model of the whole Rab geranylgera-  
70 nylation machinery from mammals (Wu et al. 2009).  
71 No structures of the enzymatic complex from other  
72 organisms have been solved so far. Literature data on  
73 the structure of the RGGT holoenzyme and the  
74 catalytic mechanism of the RGGT enzymatic complex  
75 are summarized in a following chapter.

## 76 $\alpha$ subunit of RGGT

77 The rat  $\alpha$  subunit of RGGT (RGGTA) is very similar  
78 to the corresponding  $\alpha$  subunit of farnesylprotein  
79 transferase (FT), containing 15  $\alpha$ -helices arranged in  
80 a crescent-shaped, double layered right-handed super-  
81 helix, enveloping the beta-subunit (Zhang et al. 2000).  
82 Structurally it can be classified as a tetratricopeptide  
83 repeat (TPR) superfamily protein. Phylogenetic anal-  
84 ysis showed that the one and only duplication event of  
85 CAAX prenyltransferase  $\alpha$  subunit leading to RGGTA  
86 subunit must have happened and the diversification  
87 preceded the split of the eukaryotic main groups  
88 (Rasteiro and Pereira-Leal 2007).

89 RGGTA subunit interacts with REP on one surface  
90 and with  $\beta$  subunit of RGGT (RGGTB) on the opposite  
91 surface of the protein (Pylypenko et al. 2003). The  
92 contact side with REP covers a surprisingly small  
93 area, compared with the large interaction surface of  
94 both subunits of the  $\alpha\beta$  heterodimer. Binding of REP  
95 causes only small rearrangements in overall structure of  
96 the RGGTAB heterodimer, mainly in the phosphoisoprenoid  
97 binding pocket in the RGGTB subunit and on  
98 the REP binding surface of the RGGTA. The RGGTA/  
99 REP interaction is allosterically regulated by the binding  
100 of phosphoisoprenoid by the means of a long range  
101 trans-domain transduction (Pylypenko et al. 2003).

102 Rat RGGTA displays a globular domain inserted in  
103 the middle of the TPR domain. The function of this  
104 insertion is unclear but it is not involved in contacts  
105 either with REP or Rabs (Pylypenko et al. 2003) as  
106 was suggested earlier (Zhang et al. 2000). This  
107 domain belongs to a class of C2-like domains which  
108 are involved in signalling, vesicular transport and  
109 modification of lipids (Nalefski and Falke 1996).  
110 C2s function in establishing phospholipid complexes;  
111 sometimes they mediate protein-protein interactions  
112 by direct binding to phosphotyrosine (Benes et al.  
113 2005). This domain is not present in the yeast ortho-  
114 logue and interestingly in a related  $\alpha$  subunit of the  
115 FT another domain,  $3_{10}$  helix, is inserted in the same  
116 place. Only metazoa, plants and alveolata display  
117 insertions of an IgG-like domain in this region of  
118 RGGT. The domains in different groups of organisms  
119 result from independent insertion or expansion  
120 events. They are similar within taxonomical groups,

121 but different beyond recognition across taxa (Rasteiro  
122 and Pereira-Leal 2007). Multiple insertions in the  
123 same site suggest that this site is capable of accom-  
124 modating structural variations more easily than  
125 others. Whether the structural diversity of this region  
126 between animals and plants is mirrored by the diver-  
127 sity of functions remains unknown.

128 At the C-terminus of the rat RGGTA subunit a  
129 Leucine Rich Repeat domain is present, not found in  
130 the related FT. This domain is a right handed  $\beta\alpha$   
131 superhelix. LRRs are involved in the establishment of  
132 complexes with other proteins (Kobe and Kajava  
133 2001). LRR in RGGTA is not universal, it is found  
134 in some animals, angiosperms and alveolata. The  
135 phylogenetic data point to multiple losses of this insert  
136 during evolution (Rasteiro and Pereira-Leal 2007).  
137 RGGTA lacking the LRR and IgG domains is stable  
138 in a dimer with RGGTB subunit and shows prenyla-  
139 tion activity comparable to a wt enzyme (Guo et al.  
140 2008). The arrangement of the TPR domain of  
141 RGGTA subunit with the RGGTB subunit in the  
142 truncated enzyme is nearly identical to the structure of  
143 the intact complex.

## 144 $\beta$ subunit of RGGT

145 The  $\beta$  subunit of RGGT (RGGTB) of rat is an  $\alpha$ - $\alpha$   
146 barrel composed of 12  $\alpha$ -helices, resembling the fold  
147 of the  $\beta$  subunit of farnesyltransferase (FT) and ger-  
148 anylgeranyltransferase I (GGT I) (Zhang et al. 2000).  
149 Generally, the  $\beta$  subunits are more conserved than the  
150  $\alpha$  ones. The isoprenoid (geranylgeranyl diphosphate  
151 [GGPP]) is held in the hydrophobic binding cleft  
152 buried in the barrel that is formed by the conserved  
153 aromatic residues. The phosphate moiety binds in a  
154 positively charged cleft that is located near the subunit  
155 interface and is close to the catalytic zinc ion. Binding  
156 of GGPP causes minor changes in the structure,  
157 mostly in the hydrophobic pocket. The region of  
158 binding of the phosphate group and carbons 1–12  
159 is very similar to GGT I but the bottom of the cavity is  
160 expanded, which makes RGGT more tolerant to the  
161 substitution of the distal part of the isoprenoid chain  
162 than other CAAX prenyltransferases (Nguyen et al.  
163 2009, 2010).

164 Farnesyl diphosphate (FPP) functions as an efficient  
165 lipid donor for Rab prenylation *in vitro*, but farnesylated  
166 Rabs have not been found *in vivo*. This is likely due to the  
167 fact that RGGT binds with 100-fold greater preference  
168 GGPP over FPP, providing a thermodynamically driven  
169 selection of the appropriate lipid substrate. Crystalliza-  
170 tion of the enzyme with mono- and di-prenylated  
171 peptides showed that the lipid binding cavity is occupied  
172 by the isoprenoid, but the electron density for the  
173 peptide part was very poor, indicating weak interactions

174 within the peptide-binding site. In contrast to the other  
 175 prenyltransferases, the RGGT does not possess the  
 176 exit groove for the product. This is also an indirect  
 177 indication that the affinity of the prenylated intermediate  
 178 or product for RGGT is low. Mono- and di- prenylated  
 179 peptides bind with comparable low micromolar affini-  
 180 ties. The only strong interaction is by the lipid binding  
 181 site, and the second lipidation does not positively  
 182 contribute to the affinity of the interaction of the  
 183 enzyme and the product, di-geranylgeranylated Rab  
 184 (Guo et al. 2008).

### 185 Rab Escort Protein (REP)

186 The Rab Escort Protein (REP) belongs to the same  
 187 family as Rab GDP dissociation inhibitor (RabGDI)  
 188 (Waldherr et al. 1993, Ragnini et al. 1994). Its struc-  
 189 ture is composed of two subdomains. The crystal  
 190 structures of the REP protein in complex with the  
 191 mono-prenylated or C-terminally truncated Rab  
 192 revealed that Rab interacts with the Rab-binding plat-  
 193 form of REP via an extended interface involving  
 194 the switch 1 and 2 regions (Rak et al. 2004). The  
 195 C-terminus of the REP molecule acts as a mobile lid  
 196 covering a conserved hydrophobic patch on the surface  
 197 of REP that in the complex coordinates the  
 198 C-terminus of Rab proteins. Several functional motifs  
 199 such as the Rab-binding platform and the mobile  
 200 effector loop are highly conserved between REP and  
 201 RabGDI. The RGGT-binding site in domain II is  
 202 unique for REP. The C-terminal binding region  
 203 (CBR) binds the C-terminus of Rab and directs it  
 204 towards a lipid binding site located on domain II.

205 Mammalian REPs display an insertion between  
 206 domains I and II that is absent in RabGDIs. This  
 207 insert is not involved in contacts with the RGGT  
 208 subunits nor Rab substrates. Some phylogenetic  
 209 groups display a longer insert in this position of  
 210 REP protein, its sequence is not conserved; the inserts  
 211 are similar within taxa, but different across taxa  
 212 (Rasteiro and Pereira-Leal 2007). These inserts are  
 213 not similar to any other protein, in the crystal structure  
 214 of the rat enzyme they show no clear electron density  
 215 (Rak et al. 2004). By computer analysis the inserts are  
 216 predicted to have disordered structure, and probably  
 217 the regions are natively unfolded (Rasteiro and Pereira-  
 218 Leal 2007). They might have a regulatory function.  
 219 Similarly, the very C-terminus of REP is disordered in  
 220 the structure of REP and RGGT heterodimer in the  
 221 absence of Rab (Pylypenko et al. 2003). It appears that  
 222 the REP 36 C-terminal amino acids form a lid covering  
 223 the CBR in the absence of Rab. In the structure of  
 224 Rab truncated of C-terminal tail, the two REP mole-  
 225 cules swap C-termini (Rak et al. 2004). In the structure  
 226 of REP-Rab complex the C-terminus of REP interacts

227 with the C-terminus of Rab, albeit in an inverted  
 228 direction of polypeptide chain (Rak et al. 2004).

229 The hypervariable tail of Rab protein, consisting of  
 230 amino acids C-terminal to the above mentioned motif  
 231 interacting with REP and including prenylatable  
 232 cysteines, do not substantially contribute to the affinity  
 233 of Rab to REP (Wu et al. 2009). However, together the  
 234 C-terminal hydrophobic motif (CIM) and the length of  
 235 the spacer between it and prenylatable cysteines in Rab  
 236 have a central function in Rab prenylation. Mutation in  
 237 the CIM motif results in a 30- to 70-fold reduction in  
 238 Rab-REP affinity. Deletion of the prenylation motif or  
 239 even the whole 14 amino acids downstream of CIM  
 240 has limited influence on the affinity of the interaction.  
 241 Mutations in the CIM motif lead to underprenylation  
 242 and mislocalization of Rabs in the cells (Rak et al.  
 243 2004). Extension of the C-terminus by four or five  
 244 arbitrarily chosen residues after the prenylatable  
 245 cysteines does not decrease prenylation efficiency sug-  
 246 gesting that substrate recognition is truly sequence  
 247 independent (Guo et al. 2008). The C-terminus  
 248 binding region (CBR) of REP appears to promote  
 249 prenylation by enabling the localization of the Rab  
 250 C-terminal cysteines in the vicinity of the RGGT active  
 251 site. Binding of GDP-bound Rab to REP structuralizes  
 252 the Rab Switch I and Switch II regions and strengthens  
 253 the interaction, slowing the rate of GDP to GTP  
 254 exchange. In the Rab GTP bound form, the structure  
 255 of Switch II has a different conformation that would  
 256 lead to a steric clash with REP.

257 Out of 32 residues forming contacts on the REP-  
 258 Rab interface only six are specific for REP and not  
 259 GDI. Three of them are engaged in contacts with the  
 260 C-terminus of Rab. The specific residues are located  
 261 at the edges of the interaction interface (Rak et al.  
 262 2004). REP protein may be mutagenized to perform  
 263 both REP and GDI-like functions but not *vice versa*  
 264 (Alory and Balch 2003).

### 265 Prenylation of Rab proteins

266 The following data led to a proposal of a model of Rab  
 267 prenylation (Guo et al. 2008): The Rab GTPase  
 268 domain is recognized by Rab-binding platform  
 269 (RBP) of REP. Next the complex is tightened by the  
 270 interaction of C-terminal hydrophobic motif on Rab  
 271 (CIM) with the C-terminal Binding Region (CBR) on  
 272 REP. This complex binds with high affinity to the  
 273 RGGTA by an interaction of domain II of REP.  
 274 The affinity is further strengthened by the interaction  
 275 of the very C-terminus of Rab with the active site of  
 276 RGGTB subunit. From this perspective one can view  
 277 CIM as being analogous to the AAX motif of CAAX-  
 278 type protein prenyltransferases working from a remote  
 279 location.

The lack of a well-defined substrate binding mode also explains the variation in the observed sequence of isoprenoid addition in the Rab geranylgeranylation reaction. The order of prenylation of the two cysteines is random, but the mono-prenylated product of the first reaction does not dissociate from the enzyme. The lack of precise positioning and high affinity of the enzyme-substrate interaction may be the cause why RGGT is the slowest prenyltransferase ( $K_1 = 0.16/s$ ,  $K_2 = 0.04/s$ ). Following the second prenylation finally the high affinity binding substrate GGPP dislodges the bulky product from the active site. The di-prenylated Rab molecule C-terminus consequently associates with the lipid-binding site on REP. This induces REP conformational change and liberates the RGGTAB heterodimer from Rab-REP complex.

It is possible to predict the influence of a mutation in a particular site of interaction on Rab affinity towards REP (Rak et al. 2004, Guo et al. 2008, Wu et al. 2009). However, so far it is still impossible to state arbitrarily which Rab will have a higher affinity for REP *in vitro*, since the binding surface is composed of many separated interactions, as described above. An even more complicated situation is encountered *in vivo*, where both the affinity of particular Rabs towards REP and the number of molecular species competing for the interaction must be considered. Therefore the influence of REP (or RGGT) mutation on deficiency of Rab proteins prenylation (all Rab species present in particular cell/tissue) must be considered separately. Some examples of cell or tissue specific influence of the geranylgeranylation machinery are described in later sections of this article.

### Regulatory aspects of RGGT

Apart from the well documented function of the RGGT complex machinery in Rab protein prenylation, some unexpected regulatory links of protein transport to other cellular processes have been found. The best documented results come from yeast genetic interaction screens, however, the precise mechanism of how the Rab geranylgeranylation activity may be related to other cellular processes has not always been proposed. Nevertheless, vesicular transport facilitated by Rabs seem to be coupled to pre-mRNA splicing and nutrient sensing (Fujimura et al. 1994, Jacoby et al. 1998, Bialek-Wyrzykowska et al. 2000, Singh and Tyers 2009) in yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as is described in later sections. On the other hand, the whole process of Rab geranylgeranylation in yeast is strictly dependent on the GGPP substrate availability (Miaczynska et al. 2001) and the genes responsible for GGPP synthesis are often found in genetic screens together with the RGGT complex (Newman

and Ferro-Novick 1987, Vincent et al. 2003, Singh and Tyers 2009). The coupling of isoprenoid phosphate level in a cell and Rab geranylgeranylation may be different in higher Eukaryotes.

### RGGT regulation by GGPP in yeast, mammals and plants

Binding of the Rab-REP complex to mammalian RGGT was found to be strongly modulated by GGPP (Thoma et al. 2001a). The affinity of RGGT for the Rab-REP complex increases from 120–2 nM in the presence of GGPP. Affinity of doubly prenylated Rab-REP complex to RGGT was 2 and 18 nM in the absence and presence of the GGPP, respectively. Binding of the new isoprenoid substrate molecule facilitates the diprenylated product release by RGGT. As was shown on the basis of crystal structures, RGGTA subunit changes conformation upon GGPP binding to RGGTB subunit by means of long distance allosteric interaction (Pylypenko et al. 2003). This conformational change in the RGGT-GGPP complex increases its affinity for REP and REP-Rab complex. GGPP plays three different roles in the catalytic cycle of the RGGT: as an allosteric activator, phosphoisoprenoid donor and substrate release trigger (Thoma et al. 2001b). In yeast enzyme the  $K_m$  values for the prenyl diphosphates are approximately an order of magnitude larger than for their mammalian counterparts (Witter and Poulter 1996). This phenomenon may reflect differences in the concentrations of isoprenoid metabolites in mammalian and fungal cells. In the case of yeast RGGT  $K_m$  for GGPP is 40 nM and for prenylated Rab-REP or unprenylated Rab-REP both  $K_m$  are 200 nM, GGPP does not influence the affinity of REP to RGGT, in contrast to the mammalian enzyme (Dursina et al. 2002), so it does not serve as an activator. Similarly to the mammalian enzyme the binding of a new molecule of lipid substrate enables product release. As it has been mentioned above, the synthesis and demand for GGPP as a substrate for geranylgeranylation of proteins in yeast are coupled. Despite differences in affinity of RGGT to GGPP, the mode of product release in mammalian RGGT resembles its yeast counterpart. In both cases there exists only one gene coding for the GGPP synthase. Moreover, human REP may substitute for its yeast counterpart in yeast *mrs6<sup>-/-</sup>* strain.

In plants where several genes coding for GGPP synthases are present the situation might be strikingly different. Additionally, it should be taken into consideration that in plants isoprenoid precursors are derived from two biosynthetic pathways, unlike in yeast or mammals. The GGPP moieties used for geranylgeranylation of proteins are synthesized mainly by a

333  
334  
335  
336  
337  
338  
339  
340  
341  
342  
343  
344  
345  
346  
347  
348  
349  
350  
351  
352  
353  
354  
355  
356  
357  
358  
359  
360  
361  
362  
363  
364  
365  
366  
367  
368  
369  
370  
371  
372  
373  
374  
375  
376  
377  
378  
379  
380  
381  
382  
383  
384  
385

plastidial methylerythritol phosphate (MEP) pathway (Gerber et al. 2009); however, the metabolite exchange of the intermediates between the classical cytosolic mevalonate (MVA) pathway and the plastidial MEP pathway cannot be excluded (Skorupinska-Tudek et al. 2008). Therefore, the availability of the metabolites for geranylgeranylation must be completely different than in yeast and animals. Interestingly, the conserved amino acid residue involved in REP binding to RGGTA subunit is changed in the whole plant phylogenetic lineage. It is known that plant REP cannot substitute for yeast REP *in vivo* due to this single amino acid change. This situation may reflect a different mode of regulation of plant RGGT by isoprenoid donor than in the case of mammalian and yeast enzymes (Hala et al. 2005, Wojtas et al. 2007).

#### Genetic interactions of RGGT subunits in yeast

The first interesting, but not fully understood aspect of RGGT genetic interactions is a suppressor role of RGGT  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  subunits (together with other proteins engaged in vesicle transport) in pre-mRNA splicing (Vincent et al. 2003, Pandit et al. 2009).

Overexpression from *bet4* gene (block in early transport-coding for yeast RGGTA) suppresses the mutation (but not the complete loss) of *clf1* spliceosome assembly factor even though both proteins seem not to interact with each other physically, since the affected strain growth defect is rescued without detectable improvement in pre-mRNA splicing efficiency (Vincent et al. 2003). The authors suggest that similarly to earlier findings (Chen et al. 1998) mutations in splicing factor genes cause the vesicular transport defect. Overexpression of genes responsible for the early stages (ER to Golgi) of sorting may rescue the splicing phenotype simply by increasing the pool of modified and active transduction molecules if some of the molecules have overlapping and redundant functions. This may be true, as a gene coding for *ypt1p* (yeast Rab), one of the main ER to Golgi transport molecules, modified by RGGT complex, is intronless. Interestingly, overexpression of the RGGTB coding gene, *bet2*, interfered with growth of another splicing defective strain carrying the mutation in *prp38* helicase (Pandit et al. 2009).

Another well documented genetic interaction of RGGT complex subunit is *mrs6* (yeast gene coding for REP) suppression of *mrs2* mutation (*mrs2* is a  $Mg^{2+}$  channel involved in mitochondrial cytochrome assembly). *mrs6p* overproduction rescues the respiratory deficiency in *mrs2* strain (Waldherr et al. 1993); however, the precise mechanism has never been elucidated.

In parallel to the aforementioned *mrs6* genetic interaction, the same gene (formerly called *msi4*) was discovered as a multicopy suppressor of the *ira1* mutation in

yeast (*ira* codes for a GTPase activating protein for *ras2p*, acting competitively with *cdc25p* guanine exchange factor for *ras2*, and upstream from adenylate cyclase in a pathway regulating response to the nutrient supply). *mrs6* overexpression reverts the heat shock phenotype caused by accumulation of a high level of cAMP, stimulation of protein phosphorylation and lack of cell cycle arrest at G1 upon nutrient starvation in an *ira1* mutant strain (Fujimura et al. 1994). The *mrs6* gene must therefore act negatively on the *ras/cAMP* pathway, downstream of the cAMP dependent protein kinase. The precise mechanism of this genetic interaction has never been solved, however, it has been more recently confirmed by the observation that *mrs6* overexpression partially bypasses the growth defect caused by hyperactivation of the PKA pathway in *ras2<sup>Val19</sup>* strain (in which *ras2p* is constitutively active) (Singh and Tyers 2009).

The most interesting observations concerning *mrs6p* function have been published recently by two groups (Lempiäinen et al. 2009, Singh and Tyers 2009). In two independent genetic screens in yeast the link between vesicular transport and TOR kinase signalling has been demonstrated. In search for a direct protein interaction, the *sfp1* and *mrs6p* tight and stoichiometric binding was discovered by the proteomic methods (Singh and Tyers 2009). The transcription factor *sfp1* couples nutrient status to cell growth rate by controlling the expression of ribosome biogenesis (*Ribi*) and ribosomal protein (RP) genes. *sfp1* is localised to the nucleus in rich nutrients, but upon nutrient limitation or TOR pathway inhibition by rapamycin, *sfp1* rapidly exits the nucleus, leading to repression of the *Ribi* and RP regulons. PKA signalling inhibition affects the localization status of *sfp1*. In a *ras2<sup>Val19</sup>* strain the nuclear localization of *sfp1* is affected as well (Jorgensen et al. 2004).

*mrs6* protein exhibits a nutrient sensitive interaction with *sfp1*. Overexpression of *mrs6p* prevents nuclear localization of *sfp1* in rich nutrients and loss of *mrs6p* causes nuclear localization of *sfp1* even in poor nutrients. Unexpectedly, this effect is independent of protein kinase C (Fujimura et al. 1994). *mrs6p* and *sfp1* interaction links the secretory pathway and TOR dependent nutrient signalling to ribosome biogenesis. *mrs6* is able to override the nutrient control of *sfp1* localization. The TOR network and PKA network relay amino acid and glucose supply to the cellular machinery, but some of the targets of the kinases are different. So the regulation of *sfp1* must be parallel to the PKA pathway.

The authors also localized the probable site of interaction of *sfp1* and *mrs6p*. TOR, its activators and effectors localise to the internal membrane system. In a pull down-proteomic screen for interactors

439  
440  
441  
442  
443  
444  
445  
446  
447  
448  
449  
450  
451  
452  
453  
454  
455  
456  
457  
458  
459  
460  
461  
462  
463  
464  
465  
466  
467  
468  
469  
470  
471  
472  
473  
474  
475  
476  
477  
478  
479  
480  
481  
482  
483  
484  
485  
486  
487  
488  
489  
490  
491  
492  
493

of *sfp1* the prominent band was *mrs6p*. *mrs6p* was also shown to localize in the ER/Golgi membranes (Miaczynska et al. 1997). Its localization and sensitivity to salt and detergents is very similar as for *sfp1* and suggests that they may meet with TOR on the endomembranes.

The interaction of *sfp1* with *mrs6p* engage the same surface on *mrs6* protein that is occupied by Rabs. In a screen for protein interactions, *ypt1p* overexpression interfered with the growth of the *mrs6/sfp1* strain. The *sec4p* overexpression gave a weaker effect. Rapamycin-resistant alleles of *mrs6*, mimicking constitutively active TOR, are defective for *sfp1* relocalization. The mutations in RGGT interactions, responsible for growth defects and G2/M arrest (Bialek-Wyrzykowska et al. 2000) are separable from the mutations responsible for *sfp1* re-localization alleles. The location of the *mrs6p* amino acid substitutions in the Rab-binding domain suggests that the Rab and *sfp1* compete for closely juxtaposed binding sites on *mrs6p*.

In one of the non-lethal *mrs6* mutated strains, *mrs6-2* (Bialek-Wyrzykowska et al. 2000), bi-nucleated cells are formed. A similar effect is apparent in *rho3* and *pkc1delta* strains. General secretion defects do not show the phenotype of nuclear segregation defects that may be independent of the role of *mrs6p* in geranylgeranylation. The *slg* suppressor of *mrs6-2* codes for a protein able to activate *pkc1p* (Jacoby et al. 1998), a kinase that mainly transmits the signals for transcription of genes involved in cell wall biosynthesis and cell cycle progression.

It remains unknown whether there is a link of the machinery introducing geranylgeranyl groups to Rab proteins (*mrs6p*/REP) and therefore having a role in the intracellular transport, to one of the main regulatory proteins of cell growth in higher Eucaryotes (TOR kinase). Moreover, a tempting possibility is that the system is also regulated by the input from other important regulatory kinase pathways, PKA and PKC. In mammals a functional homologue of TORC1 and *mrs6p* exist and the c-myc proto-oncogene product has a similar function to *sfp1*. c-myc is one of the few known regulators of RP and Ribi genes, its function is linked to TOR and PKA signalling, c-myc overexpression leads to increased expression of genes encoding ribosomal proteins (Lempiainen et al. 2009). The homologues of ras, PKC and PKA are also present in mammals. Plants, similarly to animals, lack the homologue of *sfp1* and its potential analogue, c-myc, but the remaining elements of the machinery (TOR, PKA, PKC, REP) are present. The potential similarities in REP function as a molecular switch in nutrient response in higher organisms cannot be ruled out; this concept, however, needs experimental proof.

## RGGT complex regulation in higher Eukaryotes

While compared to yeast, the knowledge on regulation of the RGGT complex in plants and animals still remains elusive. Some aspects of regulation and phenotypic effects of RGGT or REP deficiency are described in the following section. Here attention will be paid to a few results directly concerning the aspects of RGGT regulatory roles.

As a less obvious aspect of the RGGT complex activity we have to mention the results on the human protein phosphatase PRL2 function in the RGGT activity regulation (Si et al. 2001). Protein phosphatase PRL2 was found to specifically interact with the RGGTB subunit in human HeLa cells and in yeast two-hybrid system. The protein is natively farnesylated (but never geranylgeranylated) and the modified form localizes to the early endosome while the unmodified one is found in the nucleus. The interaction is strongly dependent on an intact farnesyl moiety and residues in the C-terminus preceding the CAAX motif, since an unmodified protein or one devoid of the C-terminus does not interact with RGGTB. Binding of RGGTA and PRL2 to RGGTB is mutually exclusive. By this means PRL2 overexpression inhibits RGGT activity. This suggests a cellular mechanism by which the activities of protein prenyltransferases may be reciprocally balanced.

A very recent report (Lachance et al. 2011) states that the human RGGTA subunit interacts with a dileucine motif in the  $\beta 2$  adrenergic receptor to regulate its maturation and trafficking. The receptor regulates Rab prenylation by RGGTA. The  $\beta 2$  adrenergic receptor co-localizes with RGGTA to intracellular membrane compartments and the plasma membrane. RGGTA binds the dileucine motif in the  $\beta 2$  adrenergic receptor C-terminus known to be involved in the transport of the receptor from the ER to the cell surface. RGGTA has a positive role in maturation and anterograde trafficking of the receptor and the receptor modulates the geranylgeranylation of some, but not all Rabs. The geranylgeranylation function in RGGTA and the receptor maturation competence are independent.

## Pathophysiological manifestations of RGGT activity impairment

The following section attempts to summarize the consequences of RGGT impairment. Its structure reflects composition of the RGGT holoenzyme. Thus literature referring to the effects of the disturbance in the cellular function of REP – the RGGT

549  
550  
551  
552  
553  
554  
555  
556  
557  
558  
559  
560  
561  
562  
563  
564  
565  
566  
567  
568  
569  
570  
571  
572  
573  
574  
575  
576  
577  
578  
579  
580  
581  
582  
583  
584  
585  
586  
587  
588  
589  
590  
591  
592  
593  
594  
595  
596  
597  
598  
599  
600

601 accessory protein – is followed by the observations  
602 concerning heterodimeric enzyme subunits.

### 603 Rab Escort Protein

604 As mentioned earlier Rab Escort Protein is an acces-  
605 sory subunit of RGGT absolutely required for proper  
606 catalysis. So far at least one REP sequence has been  
607 identified in all studied species. In contrast to most  
608 species, mammals have two paralogous sequences –  
609 REP1 (CHM) and REP2 (CHM-like, CHML),  
610 sharing 75% amino acids identity (Cremers et al.  
611 1994); duplication of REP has also been noted for  
612 *Xenopus laevis* (Rasteiro and Pereira-Leal 2007).

### 613 Humans

614 REP1 is a *CHM* gene product and the *CHM/REP1*  
615 gene is the only gene to date associated with chor-  
616 oideremia (CHM), it refers to the absence of the  
617 choroid. This rare inherited disease is caused by  
618 loss-of-function mutations leading to a truncated,  
619 non-functional, or rapidly degraded REP1 protein  
620 (Scriver et al. 1995). CHM is an X-linked recessive  
621 progressive retinal degeneration disease affecting  
622 males and with milder symptoms in carrier females,  
623 its incidence is 1 in 50,000. In CHM-affected males  
624 night blindness is the most common first symptom in  
625 childhood (first or second decade of life). As the  
626 disease progresses constrictions of the visual field  
627 and progressive loss of vision are noted. Most patients  
628 are legally blind by their mid-40s (MacDonald et al.  
629 1993). In parallel to the changes of visual acuity fine  
630 pigmentary changes with focal choroidal atrophy  
631 appear around the equatorial fundus ('salt and  
632 pepper' pattern) and degeneration progresses more  
633 centrally (atrophy of the choroid and retinal pigment  
634 epithelium, RPE) (Coussa and Traboulsi 2011).  
635 Female carriers of CHM are mostly asymptomatic,  
636 except for the enlargement of the blind spot and  
637 with clinical findings resembling those of young  
638 affected males (patchy fundal pigmentation). This  
639 characteristics could be explained by the hypothesis  
640 of unbalanced X chromosome inactivation (the pres-  
641 ence of embryologically distinct lines of photorecep-  
642 tors and RPE clones expressing either the mutant or  
643 normal *REP1* allele) or by the X-autosomal translo-  
644 cations of Xq21 (Coussa and Traboulsi 2011, and  
645 references therein). Even though CHM is most often  
646 an isolated ophthalmic disease a few reports of asso-  
647 ciated abnormalities resulting from defects in the  
648 *REP1* adjacent loci have been reported (manifested  
649 as psychomotor retardation, birth defects, deafness,  
650 cognitive deficit) (Coussa and Traboulsi 2011, and  
651 references therein).

In humans *CHM/REP1* loss-of-function mutations  
652 result most often in eye disease; lack of symptoms  
653 in other tissues is explained by the functional redun-  
654 dancy provided by the presence of REP2, *CHML*  
655 (choroideremia-like) gene product with 75% amino  
656 acid identity to REP1. REP-2 in mammals has  
657 emerged presumably through reversed transcription  
658 of REP-1 gene message and is devoid of introns that  
659 makes it refractory to mutations at splicing sites. Both  
660 REP1 and REP2 are ubiquitously expressed in human  
661 tissues (Cremers et al. 1994). Two possible explana-  
662 tions of CHM background have been suggested. The  
663 first hypothesis suggests that prenylation of different  
664 Rabs by REP1 and REP2 is performed with variable  
665 efficacy. Consequently, REP2 efficiently compensates  
666 for the loss of REP1 in all tissues except the eye where  
667 a subset of Rabs, such as Rab 27a remains under-  
668 prenylated (Seabra et al. 1995). According to the  
669 second hypothesis the rate of prenylation of Rab27a  
670 mediated by REP2 is only 2-fold lower than that  
671 mediated by REP1; however, the affinity of Rab27a  
672 is generally lower for both isoforms of REP. Compe-  
673 tition among all the cellular Rabs for REP2 upon  
674 reduction of overall REP activity caused by the  
675 absence of REP1 discriminates against those Rabs  
676 of low affinity (Rak et al. 2004). In contrast to  
677 REP1, no disease resulting from the loss of REP2  
678 has been identified so far.

680 Several studies have been performed aimed at iden-  
681 tification of the molecular cause of the disease. Most  
682 of the pathogenic mutations reported so far in the human  
683 *CHM* gene result in a complete loss of REP1 protein or  
684 its function (MacDonald et al. 2004). A few selected  
685 reports are summarized below. In some CHM patients  
686 the *CHM* reading frame is maintained but the protein  
687 product lacks several amino acids belonging to the  
688 structurally conserved regions thus the disease is prob-  
689 ably caused by the loss of function of the REP-1 protein  
690 rather than by its absence (Garcia-Hoyos et al. 2008,  
691 Esposito et al. 2011). Analysis of the functional effects  
692 of some *CHM* mutations revealed a point mutation  
693 L550P which results in an unfolded protein product  
694 that is rapidly degraded. Analysis of the structure of this  
695 and three other mutated (shortened by 150 C-terminal  
696 amino acids or devoid of internal 473 or 100 amino  
697 acids) REP1 protein variants based on homology  
698 modeling (rat and human REP1 were superimposed)  
699 explained the effects of mutations as a loss of the  
700 REP1 essential activity or protein-protein interactions  
701 (Sergeev et al. 2009).

702 To follow CHM pathogenesis and genotype/pheno-  
703 type correlations peripheral cells of CHM patients  
704 (primary skin fibroblasts and CD14+ fraction of  
705 monocytes) have been employed (Strunnikova et al.  
706 2009). pH was found to be increased in lysosomes of



monocytes of CHM patients and consequently significantly reduced rates of proteolytic abilities of the monocytes were noted. Elucidation of the secretion processes revealed significantly lower levels of secreted cytokine/growth factors (macrophage chemoattractant protein-1 MCP-1, pigment epithelial derived factor PEDF, tumor necrosis factor TNF $\alpha$ , fibroblast growth factor FGF $\beta$  and interleukin IL-8) in CHM fibroblasts. Microarray analysis revealed significant up-and-down regulation of a number of genes involved in the immune response, small GTPase regulation, secretion, the regulation of transcription, cell adhesion and the regulation of exocytosis in both CHM fibroblasts and monocytes.

It has been also suggested that CHM could result from genetically altered renewal systems (defect of phagocytosis) in photoreceptors and the retinal pigment (Rodrigues et al. 1984), however, the direct connection with the dedicated Rab(s) remains elusive.

#### Rodents

Studies on rat tissues have revealed ubiquitous expression of both REPs (Seabra, 1996). Analysis of the mRNA localization of *Chm* (coding for REP1) and *Chml* (coding for REP2) transcripts in the mouse retina proved their overlapping broad expression profile, however, the pattern of REP1 protein localization was found to be different from what had been reported in the human (Keiser et al. 2005).

Knock-out of the *Chm* gene results in much more severe symptoms in animals. Mice with disrupted *Chm/rep-1* gene were obtained by a gene targeting approach which produced a mutated REP1 protein with a C-terminal truncation of 274 amino acids (van den Hurk et al. 1997). In these mice *Chm* KO is lethal in hemizygous male embryos (*Chm*<sup>-</sup>/Y); in heterozygous female embryos it is only lethal if the mutation is of maternal (*Chm*<sup>-</sup>/*Chm*<sup>+</sup>) but not paternal (*Chm*<sup>+</sup>/*Chm*<sup>-</sup>) origin (van den Hurk et al. 1997). Heterozygous *Chm*<sup>+</sup>/*Chm*<sup>-</sup> females are viable and exhibit progressive degeneration of the photoreceptors reminiscent of human CHM. The observed imprinted pattern is explained by the preferential inactivation of the paternally inherited X-chromosome in murine extra-embryonic tissues. Abnormalities in extra-embryonic mouse tissues, yolk sac and placenta (severe defects in vasculogenesis) have been observed despite the presence of the *Chml* gene. Moreover, it has been suggested that REP1 acts in maintaining proliferation and in differentiation of diploid trophoblast (Shi et al. 2004).

As mentioned above, heterozygous females are unable to transmit the *Chm*<sup>null</sup> allele to either heterozygous females or hemizygous males. This problem has been avoided by creating a conditional model

of CHM – a tissue-specific (in the retinal pigment epithelium and photoreceptors, independently) and tamoxifen-inducible knockout of the *Chm* gene. Heterozygous females show early onset and progressive retinal degeneration similar to human CHM. Cell-autonomous degeneration associated with different subsets of underprenylated Rabs in photoreceptors and retinal pigment epithelium has been observed (Tolmachova et al. 2006).

#### Non-mammalian vertebrates

In zebrafish and other non-mammalian vertebrates only a single *chm* gene encoding protein similar to mammalian REP is present. Mutation in the *chm* gene (a stop codon position at 32 out of 666 amino acids) results in a 90% reduction in inner ear hair-cell number, causing deafness, disequilibrium and abnormality of the lateral-line organ and partial retinal degeneration by 5 days post-fertilization (Starr et al. 2004). Even though the loss of REP results in lethality of zebrafish larva, a transient rescue of the mutant is quite unexpected in light of the requirement for functional Rab-dependent vesicular transport machinery. This phenomenon has been attributed to the presence of the maternally derived *chm* transcript in the larva (Starr et al. 2004). Careful examination of the retinal phenotype of the *rep* mutant reveals consistency with CHM (photoreceptor degeneration, loss of visual function, and defects in RPE pigmentation and outer segment phagocytosis) (Krock et al. 2007). Further studies of the same *chm* KO zebrafish (Moosajee et al. 2009) revealed catastrophic degeneration of the retina after 4.5 days-post-fertilization with a severe multisystem disease. At the late stage of these symptoms accumulation of unprenylated Rabs in the cytosol was demonstrated. It is suggested that the absence of full-length REP is a lethal mutation in zebrafish and that once the maternal supply derived from the egg sac is exhausted, RGGT dysfunction results in general cellular malfunction and death. Additionally, a pool of geranylgeranylated Rabs of maternal origin might also persist for a time resulting in prolongation of the shortage of RGGT activity until the pool of prenylated Rabs had turned over (Moosajee et al. 2009).

#### Invertebrates

It has been suggested that in a model invertebrate *Caenorhabditis elegans*, the RGGT may function without REP activity, at least in some tissues (Tanaka et al. 2008). *rep-1* mutation is a single missense causing an amino acid substitution (E107K). This is probably a weak hypomorphic allele and not a null mutation.

760  
761  
762  
763  
764  
765  
766  
767  
768  
  
769  
770  
771  
772  
773  
774  
775  
776  
777  
778  
779  
780  
781  
782  
783  
784  
785  
786  
787  
788  
789  
790  
791  
792  
793  
794  
795  
796  
797  
798  
799  
800  
801  
802  
803  
  
804  
805  
806  
807  
808  
809  
810

This mutant shows a mild defect in synaptic transmission and in defecation behaviour. The disruption of *rep* by siRNA showed mild, Rab27-dependent and Rab3-independent defects in synaptic transmission at neuromuscular junctions. The defects of *rep-1* did not cause such strong effects on defecation as defects in the *rab27* gene or *rggta*. However, the exact level of REP inhibition in particular cells in the mutant strain or the effectiveness of the siRNA treatment with anti-*rep* siRNA was not specified. It is well known from the yeast (Bialek-Wyrzykowska et al. 2000), plant (Hala et al. 2005) and also mammalian (Detter et al. 2000) models that even a low level of enzyme activity sustains growth and basic functions of the organism. Mutant animals (*rep-1* and siRNA treated) produce many lethal embryos but still are able to reproduce in comparison to a complete sterility in *rggta* siRNA-treated animals. Probably the defect is correlated with abnormal germ-line development and gonad morphology.

In all organisms studied so far REP has a broad profile of expression but in *Caenorhabditis elegans* the authors suggest that it is not present in every cell. In the *rep-1* mutant the patterns of localization of Rab5 and Rab7 have been disrupted partially and in *rep* RNAi this effect was stronger, comparable to *rggta* RNAi. The RNAi treatment has a smaller effect on localization of Rab10 and Rab11. In Y2H Rab5 and Rab7 showed interaction with REP and Rab10 and Rab11 did not.

The authors suggest that some Rabs may require REP for geranylgeranylation while some may be modified by the RGGT heterodimer alone. Moreover the requirement of REP for the same Rab may depend on its site of action. In contrast to other organisms, *C. elegans* RGGT would then possess a weak binding affinity to some Rabs. Alternatively REP interacts with all Rabs, but the binding affinity between REP and each Rab may be easily affected by their environment and correspond to the binding affinity of Rab proteins with REP.

#### Yeast

In yeast *mrs6/msi4*, a homologue of *REP1*, is an essential gene (Fujimura et al. 1994). The conditional mutant is impaired in protein transport to the vacuole on the ER to Golgi step. In mutant cells a soluble form of Ypt1p (Rab) accumulated, because level of Ypt1p geranylgeranylation was very low. *mrs6* overexpression caused a decrease in cell size on non-fermentable carbon sources (Ragnini et al. 1994) but depletion caused an increase in cell size in poor nutrients (Singh and Tyers 2009). An opposite effect should have been expected from a simple secretory system

perturbation. Repression of *mrs6* while grown on glucose (fermentable carbon source) compromised cell growth and caused a G2/M delay. Microscopic observations of spores from a heterozygous *mrs6* strain (completely lacking one copy of the gene) show 2:2 segregation, the lethality was ascribed to the fact that spores either did not germinate or underwent not more than three cell divisions. A conditional mutant was lethal under non-permissive conditions, so the gene is important for both vegetative growth and germination (Ragnini et al. 1994). Overexpression of the *mrs6* protein can suppress the thermosensitive phenotype of the *ypt<sup>N121I/A161V</sup>* mutant but not the absence of ypt1 protein (Ragnini et al. 1994). Mutational analysis of *mrs6p* led to the conclusions that *mrs6p* with deletions in the non-conserved C-terminal amino acid stretch or mutations in all but the first SCR were able to rescue the *mrs6<sup>-/-</sup>* conditional phenotype (Bauer et al. 1996). All the mutants sustaining growth were tested for geranylgeranylation activity and each showed a detectable (but sometimes lower than wt) activity. Mutated *mrs6p* and ypt1p showed to interact by the yeast two-hybrid assays and pull downs, albeit with different strength. Interestingly, the C-terminal truncations of *mrs6p* lead to a protein with higher affinity to the ER and Golgi membranes (Miaczynska et al. 1997).

Interesting studies, disclosing more information on *mrs6p* function and the effects of single amino acid changes in this important protein, are based on mutant *mrs6-2* (Bialek-Wyrzykowska et al. 2000). This mutant, with reduced prenylation even at the permissive temperature, was constructed by random mutagenesis, causing a double mutation in a conserved region. Shift to a restrictive temperature causes no changes in growth of the mutant cells after 3 h, but reduces the amount of some Rab (*sec4p*) but not other (*ypt1p*) bound to membranes. For comparison, a complete lack of *mrs6p* prevents transport and causes the absence of Rabs on the membranes, as was mentioned earlier (Fujimura et al. 1994). Mutation *mrs6-2* also causes defects in polarization and budding (cell division). The phenotype is attributed to dysfunction in polar transport along the cytoskeleton rather than vesicle budding or fusion, because there is no vacuole fragmentation or vesicle clusters near the bud, but only the even distribution of the vesicles in the cytoplasm (Bialek-Wyrzykowska et al. 2000). Genes involved in cell wall maintenance rescue the phenotype. *mrs6-2* mutant does not show massive accumulation of ER, Golgi and PM as do *mrs<sup>-/-</sup>*, *ypt<sup>-/-</sup>* and *sec4<sup>-/-</sup>*, pointing to the fact that some Rabs remained functional, while others, underprenylated, could not perform their normal function.

864  
865  
866  
867  
868  
869  
870  
871  
872  
873  
874  
875  
876  
877  
878  
879  
880  
881  
882  
883  
884  
885  
886  
887  
888  
889  
890  
891  
892  
893  
894  
895  
896  
897  
898  
899  
900  
901  
902  
903  
904  
905  
906  
907  
908  
909  
910  
911  
912  
913  
914  
915  
916  
917  
918

*Elucidation of the pathogenic processes in choroideremia*

It seems not clear whether CHM results from the independent or sequential layer-by-layer degeneration of retina, retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) and choroid. There are three hypotheses based on the clinical observations of CHM patients and on the animal models mentioned above. (i) The suggestion that RPE damage is a primary defect in CHM is based on a study on the zebrafish model which indicates that loss of REP1 from the RPE is sufficient for early photoreceptor degeneration (Krock et al. 2007); (ii) The concept of the independent photoreceptor and RPE degeneration has been drawn from the results of experiments with tissue specific *CHM* KO mice (Tolmachova et al. 2006); and (iii) Photoreceptor degeneration as the primary event has been based on the studies of retinas of female mice chimeric for a nonfunctional *REP1* (van den Hurk et al. 1997). This finding is consistent with the night blindness noted early in CHM male patients. So far the potential use of gene augmentation therapy (Tolmachova et al. 2012) and transplantation (Lund et al. 2001) have been proposed as therapeutic alternatives.

 **$\alpha$  subunit of RGGT (RGGTA)**

Analysis of the genome sequences revealed presence of the *rggta* in all the eukaryotic species analyzed so far (Rasteiro and Pereira-Leal 2007). Duplication of the *rggta* has been noted exclusively for *Arabidopsis thaliana* but not for other plants (Hala et al. 2010).

The best studied animal model indicating the consequences of *rggta* mutation is *gunmetal* mouse (*gm* mutation results from a G→A substitution in a splice acceptor site) (Detter et al. 2000). RGGT activity and RGGTA protein levels were reduced 4-fold in *gm* platelets. In line with this was the hypoprenylation of the Rab27 protein. The residual RGGT activity is ascribed to the aberrant splicing that to some extent rescues RGGTA expression. The phenotype of the homozygous *gm* mouse manifests as prolonged bleeding caused by defects in platelets and megakaryocytes, macrothrombocytopenia and reduced platelet  $\alpha$ - and  $\delta$ -granule content (storage pool deficiency), the megakaryocyte count is higher but they have abnormal intracellular membranes, the animals also have partial cutaneous albinism (Detter et al. 2000). The *gm* phenotype resembles the rare human disorder gray platelet syndrome (GPS) and platelet  $\alpha$ , $\delta$ -storage pool deficiency. Moreover, association of RGGTA with appropriate platelet function suggests applications of RGGT inhibitors as a novel therapeutic strategy for cure of thrombocytosis and clotting disorders, e.g., stroke (Detter et al. 2000).

In a follow-up study (Li et al. 2000) analysis of the 5'-untranslated structure of the human *RGGTA* gene in patients with deficiencies of platelet-dense granules ( $\alpha$ , $\delta$ -storage pool deficiency) and GPS revealed similar exon/intron structural organization of the 5'-untranslated region of the human and *gm* mouse genes. However, exon alpha and intron alpha are not homologous between mouse and human. This analysis did not disclose any obvious disease-causing mutations of human *RGGTA*, however, several polymorphisms of *RGGTA* including a putative cryptic splicing mutation in intron 4 were identified. Based on phenotypic similarities *gunmetal* mutant is sometimes considered as a model of the human Hermansky-Pudlak syndrome (symptoms include albinism, bleeding tendency and lung disease); however, definite arguments supporting this concept are still missing (Hutagalung and Novick 2011).

*Gunmetal* mouse has also been used very recently to elucidate the effect of aberrant Rab prenylation on bone resorption (Taylor et al. 2011). *gm* osteoclasts exhibit a substantial reduction in resorptive activity *in vitro* while *gm* animals possessed slightly lower bone mass than controls, indicating also defects in osteoblasts. Interestingly, *gm* mice were partially protected from ovariectomy-induced bone loss, suggesting that levels of Rab prenylation in *gm* osteoclasts may be sufficient to maintain normal physiological levels of activity, but not pathological levels of bone resorption *in vivo*. In line with this bisphosphonates (inhibitors of farnesyl diphosphate synthase, an enzyme providing precursor for geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthesis) together with inhibitors of RGGT have been used to treat bone diseases characterized by extensive resorption, such as osteoporosis (Rogers et al. 2011, and references therein). Moreover, RGGT inhibitors have been shown to induce apoptosis in certain types of cancer (Lawson et al. 2008) indicating the involvement of geranylgeranylated Rab proteins in this process.

Studies in yeast revealed that loss of RGGTA activity is lethal in *S. cerevisiae* (Newman and Ferro-Novick 1987).

 **$\beta$  subunit of RGGT (RGGTB)**

The presence of the RGGTB encoding genes has been postulated in most of the analyzed eukaryotic genomes. In some cases two (*Tetraodon nigroviridis*, *Ciona intestinalis*, *Monosiga brevicollis*, *Naegleria gruberi*) or even three (*Danio rerio*) RGGTBs have been identified (Rasteiro and Pereira-Leal 2007). Duplication of the  $\beta$  subunit, although also found in many plants such as *A. thaliana*, *Vitis vinifera*, *Physcomitrella patens* and *Selaginella moellendorffii*,

971  
972  
973  
974  
975  
976  
977  
978  
979  
980  
981  
982  
983  
984  
985  
986  
987  
988  
989  
990  
991  
992  
993  
994  
995  
996  
997  
998  
999  
1000  
1001  
1002  
1003  
1004  
1005  
1006  
1007  
1008  
1009  
1010  
1011  
1012  
1013  
  
1014  
  
1015  
1016  
1017  
1018  
1019  
1020  
1021  
1022  
1023

seems to be independent in each of the lineages and not a plant-specific feature.

So far the effects of *rggtb* mutations have been characterized in *A. thaliana*. The sequence of AtRGGTB1 and B2 subunits are very similar to each other and to its mammalian homologue (Hala et al. 2010). According to the data from Genevestigator, the *rggtb1* transcript is abundant in all vegetative and generative tissues, *rggtb2* transcript is less abundant than *rggtb1* in vegetative, but expressed to a similar level to *rggtb1* in generative male tissue.

Disruption of *Arabidopsis rggbt1* results in pleiotropic growth and developmental effects prominent in the shoot, but less visible in the root (Hala et al. 2010). The plants were dwarfed, showed loss of apical dominance and had abnormally developed flowers, however, both female and male generative organs remained fertile. The first symptoms of ageing are delayed in the mutant plants. Similarly to other transport machinery plant mutants, the *rggtb1*<sup>-/-</sup> shows a gravitropic defect of the shoot and does not etiolate in the dark. The biochemical data point to the deregulation of both exo- and endocytosis as well as to accumulation of unprenylated Rab proteins in the cytosol of the mutant plants. Ablation of the *rggtb1* gene product results in the reduction of Rab geranylgeranylation activity to approx. 25% of the wt and the phenotypic changes affect the whole shoot and not only the specific organs or tissues as in mammalian mutants (*gunmetal* mice, CHM), suggesting a higher sensitivity of plants to defects of the secretion machinery. The authors speculate that deficient secretory pathway of the *rggtb1*<sup>-/-</sup> mutant can result in a defective cell wall composition accompanied by a stress response (as was proposed for yeast geranylgeranylation mutants (Newman and Ferro-Novick 1987, Bialek-Wyrzykowska et al. 2000) or a direct signalling feedback relay from the secretory pathway to the plant morphogenic program.

Loss of RGGTB activity (*bet2*, block in early transport) is lethal in yeast. The *bet2* mutant is thermo-sensitive for growth and accumulates ER membrane network even at a restrictive temperature making cells denser in transmission electron microscopy (Newman and Ferro-Novick 1987). This mutant has pleiotropic defects in many different steps of protein transport. Secretion of acid phosphatase to the periplasm and carboxypeptidase to the vacuole is inefficient and the accumulation of the immature ER form of invertase and alfa-mating factor precursors is evident.

### Rab GTPases

Rabs, a diverse group of small GTPases, are master organizers of intracellular vesicular trafficking which

ensure transport specificity and designate organelle identity. The role of disturbed vesicular trafficking and aberrant Rab function in inherited and acquired diseases has been summarized in an excellent review (Mitra et al. 2011) published recently. Below mentioned are the disorders resulting exclusively from the mutations in the *rab* genes resulting in defects of Rab geranylgeranylation.

Mutations in *Rab27A* have been found in Griscelli Syndrome Type 2 (GS2) patients characterized by immunological defects, immunodeficiency and pigimentary dilution of the skin and hair. Rab27A plays pivotal role in melanocytes as a member of a tripartite machinery (Rab27A-myosin Va-melanophilin) responsible for movement of melanosomes along the sublemmal actin network. Additionally, Rab27A also functions in granule release within cytotoxic T lymphocytes. Mutations in *RAB27A* account for most cases of GS to date (van Gele et al. 2009). Most often these are homozygous nonsense or frameshift mutations leading to a premature stop codon and resulting in a truncated protein devoid of C-terminal geranylgeranylation motif.

Similarly, truncation or missense mutations in *RAB23* have been identified as causative agents of Carpenter syndrome which is a pleiotropic disorder manifested by craniosynostosis, polysyndactyly, obesity and cardiac defects; such symptoms are also clinical characteristics of disorders associated with impaired Sonic Hedgehog signaling. Interestingly, Rab23 has been identified as a major negative regulator of the Sonic Hedgehog pathway (Mitra et al. 2011, and references therein).

The role of Rab in oncogenesis is broadly discussed since aberrant endocytosis, vesicle targeting and receptor recycling are involved in altering cell adhesion, migration, proliferation, polarity, asymmetrical division and overall survival. Indeed, aberrant expression of Rabs has been noted in various cancers. The best characterized example is Rab25 which is a determinant of tumor progression and aggressiveness of some cancers (prostate, ovarian and breast cancer); Rab25 does not play a role in tumor initiation but rather facilitates its progression (Chia and Tang 2009). Consequently Rabs might be considered as future biomarkers for various cancers while RGGT might serve as a target of anticancer therapy (Hutagalung and Novick 2011).

### Conclusions

In summary, the last few years have brought an increasing interest in the function, mode of action and regulation of the RGGT complex. Advanced crystallographic studies have led to a mechanistic model of action of mammalian RGGT in complex

1077  
1078  
1079  
1080  
1081  
1082  
1083  
1084  
1085  
1086  
1087  
1088  
1089  
1090  
1091  
1092  
1093  
1094  
1095  
1096  
1097  
1098  
1099  
1100  
1101  
1102  
1103  
1104  
1105  
1106  
1107  
1108  
1109  
1110  
1111  
1112  
1113  
1114  
1115  
1116  
1117  
1118  
1119  
1120  
1121  
1122  
1123  
1124  
1125  
1126  
1127  
1128  
1129

with REP and Rab substrate. Sequence analysis of the subunits of the complex proved a high level of conservation and despite obvious biochemical discrepancies, the modes of action of the RGGT from mammals and other organisms seem not to differ very much.

Much effort has been devoted to the construction of model organisms with knocked-out or down-regulated RGGT activity. The findings lead to the conclusion that the activity of this enzyme is indispensable for all eukaryotic organisms. The complete *rggt* or *rep* knock-outs in yeast, fish and mice are lethal. The mutant organisms with activity lowered either by mutation, siRNA treatment or silencing of one of two redundant genes revealed a general notion that even the low level of the RGGT activity is enough to sustain the basic functions in growth and development. The severity of the phenotype of the mutant is dependent on the particular level of function loss, with stronger manifestations in cells, tissues and processes strictly connected to vesicular transport.

The studies on organisms with residual RGGT activity together with yeast genetics revealed unexpected connections of RGGT subunits and processes of pre-mRNA splicing and nutrient sensing. The regulatory aspects of Rab geranylgeranylation and RGGT/REP additional cellular functions are promising directions for future investigations.

Manipulation of the activity level of RGGT by the use of specific inhibitors or gene therapy opens perspective for new therapeutic strategies against inborn syndromes such as CHM or GPS as well as bone and blood clotting diseases and cancer.

### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for financial support from the Polish Ministry of Science and Education (MNiSW) grant No. NN303 311837. We would like to thank Dr Joanna Kaminska, IBB PAS, for helpful discussions and anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments.

**Declaration of interest:** The authors report no conflicts of interest. The authors alone are responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

### References

- Alory C, Balch WE. 2001. Organization of the Rab-GDI/CHM superfamily: The functional basis for choroideremia disease. *Traffic* 2:532–543.
- Alory C, Balch WE. 2003. Molecular evolution of the Rab-escort-protein/guanine-nucleotide-dissociation-inhibitor superfamily. *Mol Biol Cell* 14:3857–3867.
- Bauer BE, Lorenzetti S, Miaczynska M, Bui DM, Schweyen RJ, Ragnini A. 1996. Amino- and carboxy-terminal domains of the

- yeast Rab escort protein are both required for binding of Ypt small G proteins. *Mol Biol Cell* 7:1521–1533.
- Benes CH, Wu N, Elia AE, Dharia T, Cantley LC, Soltoff SP. 2005. The C2 domain of PKCdelta is a phosphotyrosine binding domain. *Cell* 121:271–280.
- Bialek-Wyrzykowska U, Bauer BE, Wagner W, Kohlwein SD, Schweyen RJ, Ragnini A. 2000. Low levels of Ypt protein prenylation cause vesicle polarization defects and thermosensitive growth that can be suppressed by genes involved in cell wall maintenance. *Mol Microbiol* 35:1295–1311.
- Chen EJ, Frand AR, Chitouras E, Kaiser CA. 1998. A link between secretion and pre-mRNA processing defects in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and the identification of a novel splicing gene, RSE1. *Mol Cell Biol* 18:7139–7146.
- Chia WJ, Tang BL. 2009. Emerging roles for Rab family GTPases in human cancer. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1795:110–116.
- Coussa RG, Traboulsi EI. 2011. Choroideremia: A review of general findings and pathogenesis. *Ophthalmic Genet* Oct 21; in press.
- Creemers FP, Armstrong SA, Seabra MC, Brown MS, Goldstein JL. 1994. REP-2, a Rab escort protein encoded by the choroideremialike gene. *J Biol Chem* 269:2111–2117.
- Detter JC, Zhang Q, Mules EH, Novak EK, Mishra VS, Li W, et al. 2000. Rab geranylgeranyl transferase alpha mutation in the gunmetal mouse reduces Rab prenylation and platelet synthesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 97:4144–4149.
- Dursina B, Thoma NH, Sidorovitch V, Niculae A, Iakovenko A, Rak A, et al. 2002. Interaction of yeast Rab geranylgeranyl transferase with its protein and lipid substrates. *Biochemistry* 41:6805–6816.
- Espósito G, De Falco F, Tinto N, Testa F, Vitagliano L, Tandurella IC, et al. 2011. Comprehensive mutation analysis (20 families) of the choroideremia gene reveals a missense variant that prevents the binding of REP1 with Rab geranylgeranyl transferase. *Hum Mutat* 32(12):1460–1469.
- Fujimura K, Tanaka K, Nakano A, Toh-e A. 1994. The *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* MSI4 gene encodes the yeast counterpart of component A of Rab geranylgeranyltransferase. *J Biol Chem* 269:9205–9212.
- Garcia-Hoyos M, Lorda-Sanchez I, Gomez-Garre P, Villaverde C, Cantalapiedra D, Bustamante A, et al. 2008. New type of mutations in three Spanish families with choroideremia. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 49:1315–1321.
- Gerber E, Hemmerlin A, Hartmann M, Heintz D, Hartmann MA, Mutterer J, et al. 2009. The plastidial 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate pathway provides the isoprenyl moiety for protein geranylgeranylation in tobacco BY-2 cells. *Plant Cell* 21:285–300.
- Guo Z, Wu YW, Das D, Delon C, Cramer J, Yu S, et al. 2008. Structures of RabGGTase-substrate/product complexes provide insights into the evolution of protein prenylation. *EMBO J* 27:2444–2456.
- Hala M, Elias M, Zarsky V. 2005. A specific feature of the angiosperm Rab escort protein (REP) and evolution of the REP/GDI superfamily. *J Mol Biol* 348:1299–1313.
- Hala M, Soukupova H, Synek L, Zarsky V. 2010. Arabidopsis RAB geranylgeranyl transferase beta-subunit mutant is constitutively photomorphogenic, and has shoot growth and gravitropic defects. *Plant J* 62:615–627.
- Hutagalung AH, Novick PJ. 2011. Role of Rab GTPases in membrane traffic and cell physiology. *Physiol Rev* 91:119–149.
- Jacoby JJ, Nilius SM, Heinisch JJ. 1998. A screen for upstream components of the yeast protein kinase C signal transduction pathway identifies the product of the SLG1 gene. *Mol Gen Genet* 258:148–155.

AQ6

AQ5

- 1246 Jorgensen P, Rupes I, Sharom JR, Schnepfer L, Broach JR, Tyers M. 2004. A dynamic transcriptional network communicates growth potential to ribosome synthesis and critical cell size. *Genes Dev* 18:2491–2505.
- 1247
- 1248
- 1249 Keiser NW, Tang W, Wei Z, Bennett J. 2005. Spatial and temporal expression patterns of the choroideremia gene in the mouse retina. *Mol Vis* 11:1052–1060.
- 1250
- 1251
- 1252 Kobe B, Kajava AV. 2001. The leucine-rich repeat as a protein recognition motif. *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 11:725–732.
- 1253
- 1254 Krock BL, Bilotta J, Perkins BD. 2007. Noncell-autonomous photoreceptor degeneration in a zebrafish model of choroideremia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:4600–4605.
- 1255
- 1256 Lachance V, Cartier A, Genier S, Munger S, Germain P, Labrecque P, et al. 2011. Regulation of  $\beta$ 2-adrenergic receptor maturation and anterograde trafficking by an interaction with Rab geranylgeranyltransferase: Modulation of Rab geranylgeranylation by the receptor. *J Biol Chem* 286:40802–40813.
- 1257
- 1258 Lawson M, Coulton L, Ebetino F, Vanderkerken K, Croucher P. 2008. Geranylgeranyl transferase type II inhibition prevents myeloma bone disease. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 377:453–457.
- 1259
- 1260 Lempiainen H, Uotila A, Urban J, Dohnal I, Ammerer G, Loewith R, et al. 2009. Sfp1 interaction with TORC1 and Mrs6 reveals feedback regulation on TOR signaling. *Mol Cell* 33:704–716.
- 1261
- 1262 Leung KF, Baron R, Seabra MC. 2006. Thematic review series: Lipid posttranslational modifications; geranylgeranylation of Rab GTPases. *J Lipid Res* 47:467–475.
- 1263
- 1264 Li W, Detter JC, Weiss HJ, Cramer EM, Zhang Q, Novak EK, et al. 2000. 5'-UTR structural organization, transcript expression, and mutational analysis of the human Rab geranylgeranyl transferase alpha-subunit (RABGGTA) gene. *Mol Genet Metab* 71:599–608.
- 1265
- 1266 Lund RD, Kwan AS, Keegan DJ, Sauvé Y, Coffey PJ, Lawrence JM. 2001. Cell transplantation as a treatment for retinal disease. *Prog Retin Eye Res* 20:415–449.
- 1267
- 1268 MacDonald IM, Sereda C, McTaggart K, Mah D. 2004. Choroideremia gene testing. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 4:478–484.
- 1269
- 1270 MacDonald IM, Smaoui N, Seabra MC. Choroideremia. 1993. In: Pagon RA, Bird TD, Dolan CR, Stephens K, Adam MP, editors. *GeneReviews™*, Seattle (WA); University of Washington. Seattle: 1993–2003; [updated 3 June 2010].
- 1271
- 1272 Miaczynska M, Lorenzetti S, Bialek U, Benito-Moreno RM, Schweyen RJ, Ragnini A. 1997. The yeast Rab escort protein binds intracellular membranes *in vivo* and *in vitro*. *J Biol Chem* 272:16972–16977.
- 1273
- 1274 Miaczynska M, Wagner W, Bauer BE, Schweyen RJ, Ragnini A. 2001. Ypt protein prenylation depends on the interplay among levels of Rab escort protein and geranylgeranyl diphosphate in yeast cells. *Yeast* 18:697–709.
- 1275
- 1276 Mitra S, Cheng KW, Mills GB. 2011. Rab GTPases implicated in inherited and acquired disorders. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 22:57–68.
- 1277
- 1278 Moosajee M, Tulloch M, Baron RA, Gregory-Evans CY, Pereira-Leal JB, Seabra MC. 2009. Single choroideremia gene in nonmammalian vertebrates explains early embryonic lethality of the zebrafish model of choroideremia. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 50:3009–3016.
- 1279
- 1280 Nalefski EA, Falke JJ. 1996. The C2 domain calcium-binding motif: Structural and functional diversity. *Protein Sci* 5:2375–2390.
- 1281
- 1282 Newman AP, Ferro-Novick S. 1987. Characterization of new mutants in the early part of the yeast secretory pathway isolated by a (3H)mannose suicide selection. *J Cell Biol* 105:1587–1594.
- 1283
- 1284 Nguyen UT, Goody RS, Alexandrov K. 2010. Understanding and exploiting protein prenyltransferases. *ChemBioChem* 11:1194–1201.
- 1285
- 1286 Nguyen UT, Guo Z, Delon C, Wu Y, Deraeve C, Fränzel B, et al. 2009. Analysis of the eukaryotic prenylome by isoprenoid affinity tagging. *Nat Chem Biol* 5:227–235.
- 1287
- 1288 Pandit S, Paul S, Zhang L, Chen M, Durbin N, Harrison SM, et al. 2009. Spp382p interacts with multiple yeast splicing factors, including possible regulators of Prp43 DExD/H-Box protein function. *Genetics* 183:195–206.
- 1289
- 1290 Pylypenko O, Rak A, Reents R, Niculae A, Sidorovitch V, Cioaca MD, et al. 2003. Structure of Rab escort protein-1 in complex with Rab geranylgeranyltransferase. *Mol Cell* 11:483–494.
- 1291
- 1292 Ragnini A, Teply R, Waldherr M, Voskova A, Schweyen RJ. 1994. The yeast protein Mrs6p, a homologue of the rabGDI and human choroideraemia proteins, affects cytoplasmic and mitochondrial functions. *Curr Genet* 26:308–314.
- 1293
- 1294 Rak A, Pylypenko O, Niculae A, Pyatkov K, Goody RS, Alexandrov K. 2004. Structure of the Rab7:REP-1 complex: Insights into the mechanism of Rab prenylation and choroideremia disease. *Cell* 117:749–760.
- 1295
- 1296 Rak A, Reents R, Pylypenko O, Niculae A, Sidorovitch V, Thoma NH, et al. 2001. Crystallization and preliminary X-ray diffraction analysis of the Rab escort protein-1 in complex with Rab geranylgeranyltransferase. *J Struct Biol* 136:158–161.
- 1297
- 1298 Rasteiro R, Pereira-Leal JB. 2007. Multiple domain insertions and losses in the evolution of the Rab prenylation complex. *BMC Evol Biol* 7:140.
- 1299
- 1300 Rodrigues MM, Ballantine EJ, Wiggert BN, Lee L, Fletcher RT, Chader GJ. 1984. Choroideremia: A clinical, electron microscopic, and biochemical report. *Ophthalmology* 91:873–883.
- 1301
- 1302 Rogers MJ, Crockett JC, Coxon FP, Monkkonen J. 2011. Biochemical and molecular mechanisms of action of bisphosphonates. *Bone* 49:34–41.
- 1303
- 1304 Scriver CR, Sly WS, Beaud, et al. Valle D, editors. 1995. *The metabolic and molecular bases of inherited disease*. New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- 1305
- 1306 Seabra MC, Ho YK, Anant JS. 1995. Deficient geranylgeranylation of Ram/Rab27 in choroideremia. *J Biol Chem* 270:24420–24427.
- 1307
- 1308 Seabra MC. 1996. New insights into the pathogenesis of choroideremia: A tale of two REPs. *Ophthalmic Genet* 17:43–46.
- 1309
- 1310 Sergeev YV, Smaoui N, Sui R, Stiles D, Gordiyenko N, Strunnikova N, et al. 2009. The functional effect of pathogenic mutations in Rab escort protein 1. *Mutat Res* 665:44–50.
- 1311
- 1312 Shi W, van den Hurk JA, Alamo-Bethencourt V, Mayer W, Winkens HJ, Ropers HH, et al. 2004. Choroideremia gene product affects trophoblast development and vascularization in mouse extra-embryonic tissues. *Dev Biol* 272:53–65.
- 1313
- 1314 Si X, Zeng Q, Ng CH, Hong W, Pallen CJ. 2001. Interaction of farnesylated PRL-2, a protein-tyrosine phosphatase, with the beta-subunit of geranylgeranyltransferase II. *J Biol Chem* 276:32875–32882.
- 1315
- 1316 Singh J, Tyers M. 2009. A Rab escort protein integrates the secretion system with TOR signaling and ribosome biogenesis. *Genes Dev* 23:1944–1958.
- 1317
- 1318 Skorupinska-Tudek K, Poznanski J, Wojcik J, Bienkowski T, Szostkiewicz I, Zelman-Femiak M, et al. 2008. Contribution of the mevalonate and methylerythritol phosphate pathways to the biosynthesis of dolichols in plants. *J Biol Chem* 283:21024–21035.
- 1319
- 1320 Starr CJ, Kappler JA, Chan DK, Kollmar R, Hudspeth AJ. 2004. Mutation of the zebrafish choroideremia gene encoding Rab

- 1374 escort protein 1 devastates hair cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 101:2572–2577. 1404
- 1375 1405
- 1376 Strunnikova NV, Barb J, Sergeev YV, Thiagarajasubramanian A, 1406
- 1377 Silvin C, Munson PJ, et al. 2009. Loss-of-function mutations in 1407
- 1378 Rab escort protein 1 (REP-1) affect intracellular transport in 1408
- 1379 fibroblasts and monocytes of choroideremia patients. *PLoS One* 4:e8402. 1409
- 1380 1410
- 1381 Tanaka D, Kameyama K, Okamoto H, Doi M. 2008. *Caenorhab-* 1411
- 1382 *ditis elegans* Rab escort protein (REP-1) differently regulates 1412
- 1383 each Rab protein function and localization in a tissue-dependent 1413
- 1384 manner. *Genes Cells* 13:1141–1157. 1414
- 1385 Taylor A, Mules EH, Seabra MC, Helfrich MH, Rogers MJ, 1415
- 1386 Coxon FP. 2011. Impaired prenylation of Rab GTPases in 1416
- 1387 the gunmetal mouse causes defects in bone cell function. *Small* 7:131–142. 1417
- 1388 1418
- 1389 Thoma NH, Iakovenko A, Goody RS, Alexandrov K. 2001a. Phos- 1419
- 1390 phoisoprenoids modulate association of Rab geranylgeranyl- 1420
- 1391 transferase with REP-1. *J Biol Chem* 276:48637–48643. 1421
- 1392 Thoma NH, Niculae A, Goody RS, Alexandrov K. 2001b. Double 1422
- 1393 prenylation by RabGGTase can proceed without dissociation of 1423
- 1394 the mono-prenylated intermediate. *J Biol Chem* 276:48631– 1424
- 1395 48636. 1425
- 1396 Tolmachova T, Anders R, Abrink M, Bugeon L, Dallman MJ, 1426
- 1397 Futter CE, et al. 2006. Independent degeneration of photo- 1427
- 1398 receptors and retinal pigment epithelium in conditional knock- 1428
- 1399 out mouse models of choroideremia. *J Clin Invest* 116:386–394. 1429
- 1400 Tolmachova T, Tolmachov OE, Wavre-Shapton ST, Tracey-White D, 1430
- 1401 Futter CE, Seabra MC. 2012. CHM/REP1 cDNA delivery by 1431
- 1402 lentiviral vectors provides functional expression of the transgene 1432
- 1403 in the RPE of choroideremia mice. *J Gene Med*; in press. 1433
- van den Hurk JA, Hendriks W, van de Pol DJ, Oerlemans F, 1404
- Jaisle G, R  ther K, et al. 1997. Mouse choroideremia gene 1405
- mutation causes photoreceptor cell degeneration and is not 1406
- transmitted through the female germline. *Hum Mol Genet* 6:851–858. 1407
- van Gele M, Dynoodt P, Lambert J. 2009. Griscelli syndrome: 1408
- A model system to study vesicular trafficking. *Pigment Cell* 1409
- Melanoma Res* 22:268–282. 1410
- Vincent K, Wang Q, Jay S, Hobbs K, Rymond BC. 2003. Genetic 1411
- interactions with CLF1 identify additional pre-mRNA splicing 1412
- factors and a link between activators of yeast vesicular transport 1413
- and splicing. *Genetics* 164:895–907. 1414
- Waldherr M, Ragnini A, Schweyer RJ, Boguski MS. 1993. 1415
- MRS6-yeast homologue of the choroideraemia gene. *Nat Genet* 1416
- 3:193–194. 1417
- Witter DJ, Poulter CD. 1996. Yeast geranylgeranyltransferase type-II: 1418
- Steady state kinetic studies of the recombinant enzyme. *Biochem-* 1419
- istry* 35:10454–10463. 1420
- Wojtas M, Swiezewski S, Sarnowski TJ, Plochocka D, 1421
- Chelstowska A, Tolmachova T, et al. 2007. Cloning and char- 1422
- acterization of Rab Escort Protein (REP) from *Arabidopsis* 1423
- thaliana*. *Cell Biol Int* 31:246–251. 1424
- Wu YW, Goody RS, Abagyan R, Alexandrov K. 2009. Structure of 1425
- the disordered C terminus of Rab7 GTPase induced by binding 1426
- to the Rab geranylgeranyl transferase catalytic complex 1427
- reveals the mechanism of Rab prenylation. *J Biol Chem* 1428
- 284:13185–13192. 1429
- Zhang H, Seabra MC, Deisenhofer J. 2000. Crystal structure of Rab 1430
- geranylgeranyltransferase at 2.0   resolution. *Struct Fold Des* 1431
- 8:241–251. 1432
- 1433

AQ7

PROOF